Case. 1	17-1110-02804-DAP DOC#. 4177 Filed. 11/23/21 1 01 324. PageID #. 556153	L
1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO	
2	EASTERN DIVISION	
3	IN RE: Case No. 1:17-md-2804	
4	NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION Cleveland, Ohio OPIATE LITIGATION	
5	November 8, 2021 CASE TRACK THREE 9:00 A.M.	
6	CASE TRACK THREE 9.00 A.M.	
7		
8		
9	VOLUME 25	
10		
11		
12	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS,	
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAN A. POLSTER,	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE,	
15	AND A JURY.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	Official Court Reporter: Heather K. Newman, RMR, CRR	
21	7-189 U.S. Court House 801 West Superior Avenue	
22	Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-357-7035	
23		
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript	
25	produced by computer-aided transcription.	

Case. 1	17-1110-02004-DAF DOC#. 4177 11	6492
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiffs:	Peter H. Weinberger, Esq. Spangenberg, Shibley & Liber
3		1001 Lakeside Avenue, Ste. 1700 1900 East Ninth Street
4		Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-696-3232
5		W. Mark Lanier, Esq. Rachel Lanier, Esq.
6		M. Michelle Carreras, Esq. The Lanier Law Firm
7		6810 FM 1960 West Houston, Texas 77069
8		813-659-5200
9		Frank L. Gallucci, III, Esq. Plevin & Gallucci Company, LPA
10		The Illuminating Building Suite 2222
11		55 Public Square Cleveland, Ohio 44113
12		216-861-0804
13		Salvatore C. Badala, Esq. Maria Fleming, Esq.
14		Napoli Shkolnik 360 Lexington Ave., 11th Floor
15		New York, New York 10017 212-397-1000
16	For Walgreen Defendants:	Kaspar J. Stoffelmayr, Esq.
17		Brian C. Swanson, Esq. Katherine M. Swift, Esq.
18		Alex Harris, Esq. Sharon Desh, Esq.
19		Bartlit Beck LLP 54 West Hubbard Street, Ste.300
20		Chicago, Illinois 60654 312-494-4400
21	For CVS Defendants:	Graeme W. Bush, Esq.
22		Eric R. Delinsky, Esq. Alexandra W. Miller, Esq.
23		Paul B. Hynes, Jr., Esq. Zuckerman Spaeder - Washington
24		Suite 1000 1800 M Street, NW
25		Washington, DC 20036 202-778-1831

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 4177 Filed: 11/23/21 3 of 324. PageID #: 556155

Case. 1	.17-IIIU-02804-DAP DOC#. 4177 FI	ieu. 11/23/21 3 01 324. PageiD #. 550155	6493
1	For Walmart Defendants:	_ · · · · · · ·	
2		Jones Day - Columbus Suite 600 325 John H. McConnell Blvd.	
3		Columbus, Ohio 43215 614-281-3835	
4		Tara A. Fumerton, Esq.	
5		Tina M. Tabacchi, Esq. Jones Day - Chicago	
6		Suite 3500 77 West Wacker	
7		Chicago, Illinois 60601 312-782-3939	
8			
9	ALSO PRESENT:	Special Master David Cohen	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

08:35:12 1	Monday Session, November 8, 2021, at 9:00 A.M.
08:42:01 2	COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
08:42:02 3	THE COURT: Okay. Everyone can be seated,
08:42:04 4	please.
08:42:17 5	MR. DELINSKY: Your Honor, could I take one
08:42:18 6	thing off your plate real quick?
08:42:20 7	THE COURT: Okay. Sure.
08:42:21 8	MR. DELINSKY: CVS filed a Motion to Strike
08:42:25 9	last night. Plaintiffs filed an opposition. I think
08:42:27 10	there's a likelihood that we can reach a compromise on that,
08:42:30 11	and we want to return to it at lunch or maybe after court
08:42:33 12	today as we all think about it.
08:42:35 13	THE COURT: All right.
08:42:35 14	I hope that's fine. I'll obviously one of the
08:42:39 15	things I was going to take up but we'll defer that. I think
08:42:43 16	the plaintiffs have made clear they're not offering it into
08:42:47 17	evidence, so hopefully you can work that out.
08:42:51 18	MR. DELINSKY: I think we're going to be able
08:42:52 19	to, Your Honor.
08:42:53 20	THE COURT: All right. All right.
08:43:03 21	Well, someone handed up two exhibits the plaintiffs
08:43:09 22	plan want to offer with Mr. Hill.
08:43:15 23	Are the defendants offering anything?
08:43:17 24	MR. DELINSKY: No exhibits through Mr. Hill,
08:43:20 25	Your Honor.

08:43:21 1	THE COURT: All right.
08:43:21 2	The plaintiffs are offering 23011 and 01253. Any
08:43:35 3	objection to those?
08:43:37 4	MR. DELINSKY: We do we do have objections
08:43:39 5	to both, Your Honor. The two exhibits I think I'll take
08:43:44 6	them in reverse order. The first is the the ALJ opinion
08:43:49 7	and approved by the DEA administrator. I think it's the
08:43:53 8	East Main key speaker. Yeah. So it's just a case, doesn't
08:43:57 9	involve CVS. It's just a DEA case. And I think this is the
08:44:04 10	first time we've had this issue come up.
08:44:06 11	We obviously are still working through two other
08:44:09 12	opinions that pertain to CVS in particular, but this is just
08:44:12 13	a case. It's just a case particular
08:44:15 14	THE COURT: Well, it involves East Main Street
08:44:18 15	Pharmacy. I mean, what it was used in his examination.
08:44:25 16	What's the objection?
08:44:27 17	MR. DELINSKY: The objection, Your Honor, is
08:44:29 18	that the law should come from Your Honor as opposed to from
08:44:32 19	the jurors reading cases.
08:44:34 20	THE COURT: Well it's not coming in for
08:44:39 21	that purpose. It came in he was a DEA expert and I think
08:44:46 22	he was talking about what DEA's positions.
08:44:51 23	Let me see the document, please. What are the
08:44:53 24	plaintiffs offering it for?
08:44:55 25	MR. WEINBERGER: So, in the issue was how

08:44:58 1	far back did red flags exist as far as the DEA was concerned
08:45:05 2	or DEA notice, and you'll recall he said that the first time
08:45:09 3	that red flags was used was in a PDAC in 2011. And so he
08:45:15 4	was crossed on this document to demonstrate that there was a
08:45:20 5	decision that on a case that the DEA brought long before
08:45:24 6	I think 2010 or started in 2010.
08:45:27 7	THE COURT: All right.
08:45:28 8	Well, I'm going to admit it over objection. It's
08:45:32 9	relevant to DEA's enforcement.
08:45:36 10	MR. DELINSKY: Your Honor, the next document
08:45:40 11	was the <i>Touhy</i> letter. I understood that plaintiffs
08:45:46 12	introduced that for impeachment, for impeachment.
08:45:47 13	THE COURT: No, it says 23011, Robert Hill
08:45:50 14	Track 3 Expert Report Response.
08:45:53 15	MR. WEINBERGER: It's kind of mislabeled on
08:45:55 16	that. This is the <i>Touhy</i> letter from August 11th, '20
08:46:01 17	August 12th, 2021.
08:46:03 18	THE COURT: Well, I don't think this I
08:46:05 19	allowed you to cross-examine him with it, but I'm concerned
08:46:09 20	about admitting the document itself. It's the document's
08:46:15 21	hearsay.
08:46:16 22	It's so why exactly what what's the purpose
08:46:26 23	of offering it as an exhibit?
08:46:27 24	MR. WEINBERGER: To buttress the testimony and
08:46:33 25	the evidence that his opinions were not endorsed or embraced

08:46:40 1	by the DEA and they were his opinions only.
08:46:42 2	THE COURT: Well, the letter is hearsay. I
08:46:46 3	allowed it in to impeach him but I'm not going to admit the
08:46:51 4	letter.
08:46:52 5	MR. WEINBERGER: Okay.
08:46:53 6	MR. DELINSKY: And, Your Honor, I, of course,
08:46:55 7	for about the 17th time in this trial, Your Honor, I erred.
08:47:00 8	There is one Hill exhibit.
08:47:01 9	THE COURT: Okay.
08:47:02 10	MR. DELINSKY: It is the testimony to Congress
08:47:09 11	of Robert Patterson, who at the time was the acting
08:47:12 12	administrator of the DEA.
08:47:14 13	We used one page of that. We wouldn't seek to admit
08:47:18 14	the entire testimony. It was a page that talked where he
08:47:21 15	says 99.99 percent of doctors are all trying to do right by
08:47:25 16	their patients.
08:47:26 17	We would propose to work with the plaintiffs to
08:47:31 18	whether it's that page or the page before to capture the
08:47:34 19	question.
08:47:34 20	THE COURT: All right. Any objection to that?
08:47:36 21	MR. WEINBERGER: Yes. We object to that.
08:47:38 22	This document actually. If memory serves me correctly,
08:47:42 23	there was an attempt to introduce this document previously
08:47:44 24	through another witness.
08:47:45 25	This is the testimony of Mr. Patterson who was head of

08:47:50 1	the DEA before Congress. It's this isn't Hill's
08:47:59 2	testimony. This has nothing to do with Hill or in terms
08:48:04 3	of
08:48:04 4	THE COURT: Well, it if he authenticated as
08:48:09 5	DEA, let me see it. When was this testimony given?
08:48:12 6	MR. WEINBERGER: May 8th, 2018. I mean, he's
08:48:16 7	gone from the DEA at that point.
08:48:23 8	MR. DELINSKY: That is true, Your Honor.
08:48:24 9	THE COURT: All right. I agree.
08:48:26 10	I'm not going to let there's been testimony about
08:48:28 11	it but I'm not going to let it in through him. He's
08:48:31 12	MR. DELINSKY: Understood, Your Honor.
08:48:32 13	THE COURT: He can't he can't testify to
08:48:35 14	what DEA's position was three or four years after he left.
08:48:47 15	So that takes care of Hill.
08:48:49 16	The plaintiffs have offered two with Dr. Murphy. The
08:48:55 17	Case and Deaton article, 01666. Any objection to that?
08:49:02 18	MS. FUMERTON: Yes, Your Honor. We object to
08:49:03 19	it as hearsay.
08:49:04 20	MR. WEINBERGER: Your Honor, this was an
08:49:05 21	article that he cited and relied upon and he was
08:49:09 22	cross-examined on it.
08:49:10 23	THE COURT: Yeah. All right.
08:49:11 24	That comes in over objection because he cited and
08:49:18 25	relied on it. All right.

08:49:19 1	The next one is 17422.
08:49:23 2	MS. FUMERTON: And, Your Honor, we object to
08:49:24 3	this one as well. Plaintiffs did not establish any
08:49:27 4	relevancy or foundation, and Dr. Murphy repeatedly denied
08:49:30 5	knowing anything about the document and refused to agree
08:49:32 6	with plaintiffs' framing of it. It's also hearsay.
08:49:35 7	MR. WEINBERGER: So, to refresh your memory,
08:49:37 8	Your Honor
08:49:37 9	THE COURT: Let me see the document. I don't
08:49:39 10	
08:49:39 11	MR. WEINBERGER: This was a PowerPoint that we
08:49:42 12	obtained in discovery, the 30(b)(6) deposition of the Ohio
08:49:45 13	State Medical Board.
08:49:47 14	It was the page that he was shown is the Ohio State
08:49:53 15	Medical Board's analysis of OARRS and people that ultimately
08:50:03 16	died from heroin or fentanyl, and the I could show you
08:50:09 17	the page, Your Honor.
08:50:13 18	THE COURT: No. I don't see how this comes in
08:50:14 19	through him, so I'm not going to allow that.
08:50:17 20	Are the defendants offering anything through Dr.
08:50:22 21	Murphy?
08:50:22 22	MS. FUMERTON: No, Your Honor.
08:50:40 23	THE COURT: So it looks like the only
08:50:41 24	remaining witness is Glickman. Anyone offering anything
08:50:44 25	through

08:50:45 1 MS. FUMERTON: Yes, Your Honor. May I 08:50:47 2 approach? 08:50:48 3 THE COURT: Okay. Have the plaintiffs looked at these? 08:50:58 4 MR. WEINBERGER: Yes, Your Honor, and we 08:51:00 5 notified defense counsel last night, I think it was, that we 08:51:01 6 08:51:05 7 were objecting to all of those -- all of these, and let me tell you why. 08:51:08 8 08:51:08 9 These are -- these are his charts that were used 08:51:13 10 during the course of his direct examination. They are not 08:51:19 11 1006 charts, in our view. They are simply charts that 08:51:24 12 either -- that were derived directly from his report or that 08:51:28 13 he created from his report. These are opinion charts. 08:51:32 14 These are -- this would be tantamount to admitting 08:51:36 15 portions of his expert report. He certainly was entitled to 08:51:45 16 testify and use them and the defense counsel was entitled to 08:51:48 17 use them as demonstratives, but I don't believe the -- any 08:51:52 18 of these appropriately go to the jury. 08:51:54 19 MS. FUMERTON: And, Your Honor, we obviously 08:51:56 20 disagree with that. We think these fall squarely within 08:51:59 21 Rule 1006 and Your Honor's prior rulings on this. You have 08:52:02 22 admitted into evidence similar charts that were created by 08:52:05 23 Dr. McCann. You know, he -- there's no question that he 08:52:09 24 testified about them. There's no question that he laid the 08:52:12 25 foundation for them, and all they are are straightforward

08:52:14 1	summaries of voluminous data. And so in our view, they fall
08:52:18 2	squarely within Rule 1006.
08:52:20 3	I will also note that we have been providing these for
08:52:22 4	months, frankly, with plaintiffs to let them know that we
08:52:25 5	were going to use them as Rule 1006 summaries and they never
08:52:28 6	asserted specific objections until now.
08:52:33 7	MR. WEINBERGER: Well
08:52:33 8	THE COURT: I allowed I put in some summary
08:52:36 9	charts, plaintiffs put in some summary charts through
08:52:39 10	Dr. McCann and other witnesses.
08:52:40 11	MR. WEINBERGER: Well, to be clear, the charts
08:52:41 12	with respect to Dr. McCann are simply a compilation of the
08:52:50 13	dosage units per year, per defendant, per store. That's it.
08:52:55 14	They're not they are not opinions of his.
08:53:01 15	These are these were these are simply purely
08:53:04 16	1006s. Every one of these charts
08:53:07 17	THE COURT: These charts aren't opinions,
08:53:09 18	Mr. Weinberger.
08:53:10 19	MR. WEINBERGER: Well, sure they are.
08:53:12 20	Every every one of them.
08:53:16 21	THE COURT: If they were opinions, I wouldn't
08:53:18 22	let them in. They're they're summaries of data, and the
08:53:25 23	data is authentic. A lot of is McCann's data. Not an
08:53:30 24	opinion, like here's the
08:53:32 25	MR. WEINBERGER: But many of them are limited

08:53:34 1	to are is data that he created limited information on,
08:53:42 2	including pie charts, to
08:53:45 3	THE COURT: All right. I'm allowing them all
08:53:48 4	in over objection.
08:53:49 5	MR. WEINBERGER: All right.
08:53:50 6	THE COURT: They're not opinions. I mean,
08:53:54 7	here's the first one. This isn't an opinion. Annual
08:53:57 8	prescriptions dispensed by Walmart per capita for oxycodone
08:54:01 9	and hydrocodone, January '06 to March '18. That's not an
08:54:05 10	opinion; that's a summary of summary or compilation of
08:54:09 11	data that everyone agrees is authentic. It's not an
08:54:14 12	opinion.
08:54:19 13	So I'll admit all these over objection: 01536, 01539,
08:54:25 14	01540A, 01541A, 01549, 01550, 01553, 01555, 01577, 01581,
08:54:45 15	01582, 01583, 01584, and 01585.
08:54:58 16	Are the plaintiffs offering anything with Dr.
08:55:00 17	Glickman?
08:55:02 18	MR. WEINBERGER: No, Your Honor.
08:55:04 19	THE COURT: Okay.
08:55:08 20	MR. HYNES: Your Honor, Paul Hynes for CVS.
08:55:10 21	Can we raise, with your indulgence, one issue related
08:55:13 22	to an exhibit that was introduced through Nicci Harrington,
08:55:16 23	the CVS witness who testified on Wednesday?
08:55:20 24	The exhibit is P-00
08:55:23 25	THE COURT: If someone wants to give it to me.

08:55:25 1	I assume I admitted it already.
08:55:29 2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Here you go. May I
08:55:30 3	approach?
08:55:30 4	THE COURT: Yeah.
08:55:32 5	MR. WEINBERGER: Which one are we
08:55:47 6	MR. HYNES: Your Honor, this is a document
08:55:49 7	that plaintiffs asked Ms. Harrington about during her
08:55:52 8	cross-examination. It's a 45-page document. She was asked
08:55:56 9	about two pages in the document.
08:56:00 10	There has been a practice throughout this trial when a
08:56:02 11	witness is asked about a voluminous document of admitting
08:56:06 12	only the pages that he or she was asked about. And we would
08:56:10 13	just ask that the same practice be followed with respect to
08:56:12 14	this document.
08:56:13 15	THE COURT: Well, I don't know. What did we
08:56:14 16	discuss when this was
08:56:15 17	MR. HYNES: I put two pink tabs on the pages.
08:56:18 18	THE COURT: I know, but we went through this
08:56:20 19	already with Harrington. We took care of her. SO what did
08:56:22 20	I rule on this document?
08:56:24 21	MR. HYNES: Your Honor, you admitted the whole
08:56:26 22	document and we just neglected to bring this issue to your
08:56:29 23	attention, and we apologize for that oversight.
08:56:31 24	MR. WEINBERGER: This wasn't the one that we
08:56:33 25	previously discussed, and we agreed just to the pages that

08:56:35 1	had her name on it. I thought we
08:56:39 2	MR. HYNES: Maybe we had. There were a couple
08:56:41 3	documents.
08:56:42 4	MR. WEINBERGER: I thought this is the one we
08:56:44 5	agreed we were going to do the front page, which was the
08:56:46 6	e-mail
08:56:47 7	MR. HYNES: No, that's different one.
08:56:49 8	MR. DELINSKY: But it was the same rule.
08:56:53 9	MR. WEINBERGER: Okay. Laura's going to look
08:56:55 10	at it and then we'll
08:56:57 11	MR. DELINSKY: We'll circle back on it?
08:56:59 12	MR. WEINBERGER: Yeah.
08:57:00 13	MR. HYNES: Your Honor, is that okay?
08:57:01 14	THE COURT: Yeah, I guess so. So if you work
08:57:02 15	it out.
08:57:03 16	I've already admitted the document. If you can agree
08:57:05 17	on I agree if this is a 40-page document and there was
08:57:08 18	only testimony about two pages
08:57:11 19	MR. HYNES: Right.
08:57:12 20	THE COURT: That has been following my general
08:57:15 21	practice, it will be confusing for the jury to have a whole
08:57:19 22	lot of pages with not tied to anything.
08:57:21 23	MR. HYNES: And just so it's clear, we're not
08:57:23 24	trying to we're fine with the document coming in. We're
08:57:26 25	just trying to keep it to those pages. We're not trying to

08:57:28 1 change that. 08:57:29 2 THE COURT: All right. 08:57:31 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, I feel confident Mr. Hynes and I will be able to work that out. 08:57:34 4 THE COURT: All right. Work it out. All: 08:57:37 5 Right. 08:57:47 6 Last -- I spent a lot of time over the weekend coming 08:57:47 7 08:57:50 8 up with what I think is an accurate limiting instruction for 08:57:56 9 the testimony from several witnesses about conversations 08:57:59 10 they said they had with representatives of State Boards of 08:58:07 11 Pharmacy. 08:58:07 12 Unless someone convinces me it's wrong, I'm going to 08:58:14 13 go with that. And I mean if someone thinks it's absolutely wrong or that it's confusing and you've got some better 08:58:16 14 08:58:20 15 suggestion, I'd ask the parties to come up with something. 08:58:23 16 But since nothing was submitted, I did it myself with my 08:58:27 17 team's help. 08:58:30 18 MR. MAJORAS: Your Honor, we're still --08:58:32 19 THE COURT: The plan is to add that to, I 08:58:34 20 think it's Page 22, right after the paragraph on settlement 08:58:37 21 agreements. 08:58:38 22 MR. MAJORAS: Your Honor, John Majoras. 08:58:40 23 We do object to the language. I frankly am still 08:58:43 24 looking at it from this morning. We will have something 08:58:46 25 back to the Court either a counterproposal or otherwise.

08:58:49 1 THE COURT: You object to it? What's --MR. MAJORAS: Well, the primary one is the one 08:58:51 2 08:58:52 3 I mentioned the other day which is that I think it unfairly points to specific witnesses and undermines their testimony 08:58:55 4 away from other rulings. 08:59:01 5 We've had hearsay rulings throughout the case that are 08:59:03 6 08:59:05 7 going to apply broadly, and we have not had the need for 08:59:09 8 this limiting instruction. Doing it in a way that points to 08:59:11 9 specific witnesses unfairly undermines their testimony. I 08:59:15 10 think there can be an instruction that is broad enough that 08:59:19 11 does not address specific testimony. 08:59:22 12 THE COURT: Well, I hear what you're saying 08:59:23 13 but I -- it might actually heighten the importance of it, 08:59:30 14 Mr. Majoras. 08:59:30 15 The jury might have completely forgotten about it and 08:59:32 16 now they're being pointed to it and now they'll all remember 08:59:36 17 it. 08:59:36 18 All it's saying is they can't take it as evidence of 08:59:39 19 what the official policy of the Board of Pharmacy was, and 08:59:42 20 that isn't what you offered it for. You offered it for the 08:59:44 21 fact -- the fact that your employees had the conversations 08:59:49 22 and they acted in reliance on it. 08:59:54 23 So -- all right. But I'll -- I don't know. If you 08:59:57 24 object, fine. 08:59:57 25 MR. WEINBERGER: For the record, the

08:59:58 1	plaintiffs are comfortable with it and in terms of content
09:00:02 2	as well as instructing the jury after in the portion of
09:00:08 3	the jury instructions that you've suggested.
09:00:09 4	THE COURT: All right. Well
09:00:11 5	MR. MAJORAS: I'll get back to you shortly,
09:00:13 6	Your Honor, with either specific language or I take your
09:00:15 7	point. I do recognize that issue. And we're that's part
09:00:17 8	of the reason I'm wrestling with it.
09:00:19 9	THE COURT: This was different than some of
09:00:21 10	the other rulings I made. And I don't think, Mr. Majoras,
09:00:26 11	I you know, nothing quite like this that I that
09:00:31 12	there's clearly a permissible purpose and clearly and
09:00:35 13	impermissible purpose, and the jury wouldn't necessarily
09:00:38 14	know that. It wouldn't be apparent.
09:00:43 15	Quite frankly, it took me a while to figure out
09:00:46 16	exactly how to say it. So, all right.
09:00:58 17	I guess the plaintiffs are going to file something by
09:01:02 18	middle of the day responding to the defendants' suggestions
09:01:04 19	for changes to the jury instructions.
09:01:07 20	MR. WEINBERGER: Yes, Your Honor.
09:01:09 21	THE COURT: I started looking at them, so I'll
09:01:11 22	look at what the plaintiffs say, and we'll get on that.
09:01:16 23	I don't know if you've given any more thought to the
09:01:20 24	time limits for closing arguments. Again, I want to fit
0.5	

this into a day. I don't want to keep the jury real late.

09:01:25 25

09:01:28 1 09:01:32 2

09:01:36 3

09:01:41 4

09:01:43 5 09:01:49 6

09:01:53 7

09:01:56 8

09:02:01 9

09:02:03 10

09:02:08 11

09:02:10 12

09:02:10 13

09:02:18 14

09:02:22 15

09:02:26 16

09:02:30 17

09:02:37 18

09:02:41 19

09:02:47 20

09:02:52 21

09:02:58 22

09:03:01 23

09:03:04 24

09:03:10 25

It's also not fair to the person who's speaking last if it's at 7 o'clock at night. So I think you all can sort of figure it out, but I'll -- I have some thoughts, but I'll hear what you have to say.

I had one other thought, too. Something's occurred which I never would have predicted. We've gone like six weeks. We've got one more week to go. We have not lost a single juror for illness, COVID, family issues, whatever. I never would have predicted this. I don't think anyone would have. We lost one juror but it was for that other issue.

MR. LANIER: Oh, yeah.

THE COURT: Yeah. So I'm slated to excuse

Juror No. 13. I looked at the Rules. The Rules say you

cannot have a jury of more than 12, and you can't have a

jury of less than six, but there's case law that, with the

parties' consent, you can take a verdict from less than six.

This is a pretty cohesive group, and I don't want to do anything that fractures that cohesion. And I would only keep Juror No. 13 if everyone agrees because I had said we're going to have 12 and, you know -- at least 12 and whoever is left, 12 and under, would deliberate. If there were more than 12, those persons would be excused.

So I will stay with that, but if everyone -- everyone is agreeable and will put it on the record to keep Number

09:03:15 1 09:03:18 2 09:03:26 3 09:03:29 4 09:03:32 5 09:03:36 6 09:03:43 7 09:03:49 8 09:03:54 9 09:03:58 10 09:04:03 11 09:04:05 12 09:04:08 13 09:04:13 14 09:04:15 15 09:04:19 16 09:04:24 17 09:04:27 18 09:04:34 19 09:04:37 20 09:04:41 21 09:04:45 22 09:04:48 23 09:04:51 24 09:04:52 25 jury.

13, then we, in my view, the parties' consent, we can do it.

So I want you to think about it and I never expected -- and look, something could still happen in the next week, but I never would have predicted that everyone would stay healthy. I'm glad that occurred. So you can think about that.

I guess the last thing is someone suggested that at closing argument, we do something to recognize two fine people who we had at the beginning of this MDL and we no longer have, Paul Hanley and Francis McGovern, and sort of recognize them by having empty chairs somewhere. And I thought that was a real nice idea.

I mean, I guess Mr. Hanley would have sat somewhere on the plaintiffs' -- plaintiffs' group and Francis would have been over there with my team. So I think we'll do that.

I think it's a nice -- very good gesture and appropriate. This MDL has been going almost exactly four years, long time, and we've lost two -- at least two very fine people along the way.

Okay. Anything else anyone wants?

MR. DELINSKY: Your Honor, two quick things.

Where you just ended, let me state the uncomfortable thing because I agree it's a wonderful gesture.

THE COURT: I wouldn't say anything to the ury.

09:04:53 1	MR. DELINSKY: Yeah. That's it, Your Honor.
09:04:54 2	THE COURT: I wasn't going to say anything to
09:04:56 3	the jury.
09:04:56 4	MR. DELINSKY: That was my only issue,
09:04:57 5	Your Honor.
09:04:57 6	THE COURT: No, Mr. Delinsky, I wasn't going
09:04:59 7	to say anything to the jury. The jury, quite frankly,
09:05:02 8	wouldn't even know you know, there's no one in a chair.
09:05:04 9	MR. DELINSKY: Okay.
09:05:05 10	THE COURT: There have been empty chairs. I
09:05:06 11	mean, they don't count the chairs. No, I wouldn't you
09:05:11 12	know, I might mention it before the jury comes out.
09:05:13 13	MR. DELINSKY: Yeah, okay.
09:05:14 14	THE COURT: But I'm not going to say anything.
09:05:16 15	MR. DELINSKY: All right. Thank you, Your
09:05:16 16	Honor.
09:05:16 17	The one other issue is in all the back and forth on
09:05:20 18	the limiting instructions, there hasn't been a reading yet
09:05:22 19	of the limiting instruction on the settlements. That did
09:05:26 20	come in briefly on Friday and extensively not extensively
09:05:31 21	but with Ms. Harrington on Wednesday.
09:05:33 22	I would request this morning that the settlement
09:05:36 23	instruction be read. I know it will be read on Monday, one
09:05:38 24	week from today as well, but I would make that request,
09:05:41 25	Your Honor.

09:05:44 1	MR. WEINBERGER: We would object to that. We
09:05:48 2	believe it's adequate
09:05:49 3	THE COURT: Well, I think at this point, since
09:05:50 4	I haven't read it, I'm going to do it in the final
09:05:53 5	instructions. I think that's the key point, so I'll do it
09:05:57 6	then.
09:05:59 7	MR. WEINBERGER: Your Honor, for purposes of
09:06:03 8	planning, as I understand, the defendants intend to call one
09:06:13 9	pharmacist each, Cook and yes, and Stossel, and they
09:06:23 10	intend to play the deposition of Deborah Mack.
09:06:27 11	Is there anybody else any other depos
09:06:30 12	THE COURT: I thought there was one other
09:06:32 13	short deposition.
09:06:34 14	MR. STOFFELMAYR: Ashley.
09:06:37 15	MR. WEINBERGER: You're going to do Ashley?
09:06:43 16	Okay. Perhaps now's a time to raise this one issue
09:06:46 17	with respect to Amy. Is it Amy Stossel who is the Walgreens
09:06:53 18	pharmacist, who is going to testify?
09:06:55 19	Her husband, who passed away from cancer about a year,
09:06:59 20	year and a half ago, was also a Walgreens pharmacist, whose
09:07:03 21	name has come up during the course of this testimony. And
09:07:08 22	from what we understand, there Walgreens appropriately
09:07:17 23	did some things to help out the Stossel family and
09:07:19 24	recognized him, et cetera, et cetera. And so we're going to
09:07:23 25	ask for a I'm sorry.

09:07:25 1	MR. STOFFELMAYR: It's not going to come up.
09:07:26 2	MR. WEINBERGER: Not going to come up. Okay.
09:07:28 3	All right. Takes care of that.
09:07:35 4	THE COURT: Was there one other defense expert
09:07:36 5	or maybe that was Mr. Hill? I thought
09:07:41 6	MR. WEINBERGER: Well, they had indicated the
09:07:42 7	possibility of calling an additional expert and have told us
09:07:45 8	over a Dr. Kessler.
09:07:47 9	THE COURT: Right, Dr. Kessler.
09:07:49 10	MR. WEINBERGER: Right. And they've told us
09:07:51 11	they're not going to be calling him.
09:07:52 12	THE COURT: Okay. I did remember correctly.
09:07:54 13	MR. WEINBERGER: We do intend to call, very
09:07:57 14	briefly, Carmen Catizone by videoconferencing, probably
09:08:05 15	first thing tomorrow morning as a rebuttal witness, a short
09:08:15 16	rebuttal.
09:08:15 17	THE COURT: Okay. So it looks like the
09:08:17 18	defendants are going to finish today. We've got or
09:08:21 19	tomorrow?
09:08:22 20	MR. STOFFELMAYR: Tomorrow morning at the
09:08:23 21	latest.
09:08:23 22	THE COURT: All right. Tomorrow morning and
09:08:24 23	then we'll have Mr. Catizone. So it looks like we should
09:08:29 24	most likely conclude the testimony tomorrow.
09:08:31 25	MR. WEINBERGER: Yes, Your Honor.

09:08:31 1	THE COURT: All right. Fine.
09:08:34 2	Okay. We can bring in the jury.
09:08:37 3	(Brief pause in proceedings.)
09:10:10 4	(Jury returned to courtroom at 9:10 a.m.)
09:10:39 5	THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, ladies and
09:10:41 6	gentlemen. Everyone can be seated.
09:10:45 7	MS. SWIFT: May it please the Court.
09:10:46 8	THE COURT: I hope everyone had a good
09:10:48 9	weekend.
09:10:49 10	Yes, Ms. Swift. Yes.
09:10:51 11	MS. MILLER: Good morning, Your Honor. Good
09:10:53 12	morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.
09:10:55 13	My name is Sasha Miller, and I represent CVS. CVS
09:10:58 14	calls Kenneth Cook.
09:11:00 15	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cook, if you could
09:11:02 16	please raise your hand, please.
09:11:04 17	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are
09:11:06 18	about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and
09:11:08 19	nothing but the truth under pain and penalty of perjury?
09:11:10 20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
09:11:11 21	THE COURT: Thank you. And you may remove
09:11:13 22	your mask while testifying, please.
23	
24	
25	

	22200, 112200
09:11:13 1	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF KENNETH COOK
09:11:24 2	BY MS. MILLER
09:11:24 3	Q Good morning, Mr. Cook.
09:11:25 4	A Good morning.
09:11:25 5	Q Let's start off with are you a pharmacist?
09:11:28 6	A Yes, I am.
09:11:30 7	Q And do you work for CVS?
09:11:31 8	A I do.
09:11:32 9	Q What is your current position with CVS?
09:11:36 10	A As of last Monday, I am now a district leader with CVS
09:11:40 11	Pharmacy.
09:11:40 12	${f Q}$ And what are your responsibilities as a district
09:11:42 13	leader?
09:11:42 14	A I oversee 14 CVS pharmacies as well as two CVS
09:11:48 15	pharmacies inside of Target and am responsible just for
09:11:51 16	their general operations.
09:11:52 17	Q And where is the area that those stores are located,
09:11:56 18	generally?
09:11:56 19	A Canton area, Canton, Ohio.
09:11:59 20	Q Now, prior to last Monday when you became a district
09:12:03 21	leader, were you a pharmacist working for CVS?
09:12:07 22	A That is correct.
09:12:08 23	Q And for how long did you work as a pharmacist for CVS?
09:12:10 24	A A little over nine years.
09:12:11 25	Q And where were the CVS pharmacies where you worked?

09:12:15 1	A I worked at five pharmacies in Lake County and two
09:12:21 2	pharmacies in Cuyahoga County.
09:12:22 3	${f Q}$ So primarily when you were working for CVS as a
09:12:24 4	pharmacist, your stores were located in Lake County?
09:12:27 5	A Correct.
09:12:28 6	When I first graduated, I did work for a couple months
09:12:30 7	in Pennsylvania.
09:12:32 8	Q Now, let's back up a little more and tell the jury a
09:12:35 9	little more about you.
09:12:36 10	Where were you born?
09:12:37 11	A I was born right here in Mayfield Heights at Hillcrest
09:12:42 12	Hospital. Same hospital my son, who's turning five on
09:12:44 13	Friday, was actually born at. So things kind of came full
09:12:49 14	circle at that hospital for me.
09:12:50 15	Q And are your parents from Ohio as well?
09:12:52 16	A Yes.
09:12:53 17	Q Do you have any siblings?
09:12:55 18	A I do. I have a sister, who's one year older than me
09:12:59 19	and a brother who is nine years my junior.
09:13:01 20	Q And where did you grow up?
09:13:02 21	A I spent the first 12 years of my life in Wickliffe,
09:13:05 22	Ohio, and right before high school, my family moved to
09:13:08 23	Mentor, Ohio.
09:13:08 24	Q Did you attend high school in Mentor?
09:13:10 25	A No. I went to St. Ignatius high school right here in

09:13:15 1	Cleveland.
09:13:15 2	Q And when did you graduate from St. Ignatius?
09:13:18 3	A 2006.
09:13:19 4	Q So is it fair to say you spent your entire childhood
09:13:21 5	in Lake County?
09:13:22 6	A That is correct.
09:13:23 7	Q What did you do after you graduated from St. Ignatius?
09:13:27 8	A I went to Ducane University to attend pharmacy school.
09:13:33 9	Q And where is you Ducane located?
09:13:35 10	A Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
09:13:37 11	Q Did you have any hesitation about moving to
09:13:39 12	Pittsburgh?
09:13:39 13	A I as a loyal Browns fan, I did. In two of the five
09:13:46 14	years I lived in Pittsburgh, the Steelers won two Super
09:13:51 15	Bowls but as a true Browns fan, I was always looking forward
09:13:53 16	to the draft and next year. So I finally got to let them
09:13:56 17	have it a little bit last year, so it all paid off in the
09:13:59 18	end.
09:13:59 19	Q When did you graduate from Ducane?
09:14:01 20	A May of 2012.
09:14:03 21	Q And what was your degree you had?
09:14:05 22	A I had a doctor of pharmacy degree.
09:14:07 23	Q Is that the degree you need in order to practice as a
09:14:10 24	pharmacist?
09:14:10 25	A Correct, yes.

09:14:12 1	Q And when you applied to Ducane, did you know you
09:14:14 2	wanted to be a pharmacist?
09:14:16 3	A At the time of my application process, I was on the
09:14:19 4	fence between being a pharmacist and engineering school.
09:14:25 5	Q And you but you applied to Ducane because you knew
09:14:28 6	that they had a pharmacy program; is that right?
09:14:31 7	A That is correct.
09:14:31 8	Q What made you eventually decide to become a
09:14:34 9	pharmacist?
09:14:35 10	A So growing up, I had a close friend, whose mom was a
09:14:38 11	pharmacist for what at the time was Revco, which later would
09:14:41 12	become CVS Pharmacy.
09:14:43 13	Hearing her talk about her work in the community was
09:14:45 14	just very rewarding to me, coupled with, you know, the job
09:14:50 15	demand and my love of helping people, you know, getting out
09:14:54 16	there to help the community as well as my love of chemistry
09:15:00 17	and math all pointed me in the direction of pharmacy.
09:15:03 18	Q So were you drawn to pharmacy in part because it would
09:15:06 19	allow you to interact with people daily?
09:15:08 20	A Absolutely.
09:15:08 21	Q Let's talk a little bit about your pharmacy education
09:15:11 22	and Ducane and sort of the subjects you studied. How many
09:15:14 23	years was the program?
09:15:15 24	A It was a six-year program.
09:15:17 25	Q And did you have was there a course work element to

09:15:21 1	the program?
09:15:21 2	A Yes. There was five years of lecture course work as
09:15:26 3	part of that six-year program.
09:15:28 4	Q And what are some of the courses that you took?
09:15:30 5	A I mean, we took courses, you know, from
09:15:34 6	pre-requisites, such as, you know, calculus, organic
09:15:37 7	chemistry, chemistry, and then later on, to sum it up, it
09:15:43 8	would be called pharmacotherapy where we learned about
09:15:45 9	disease states, drugs in the disease states, how to treat
09:15:47 10	them, how they work on a chemical level. I won't go into
09:15:50 11	too much detail.
09:15:52 12	Q Did you also take some classes in pharmacy law?
09:15:54 13	A I did. We took two classes in pharmacy law at my time
09:15:58 14	at Ducane.
09:16:00 15	Q And did your pharmacy law courses cover corresponding
09:16:04 16	responsibility?
09:16:04 17	A Yes, to the best of my knowledge, they did.
09:16:06 18	Q Did you take a class on pain management at Ducane?
09:16:08 19	A Yes, I did.
09:16:10 20	Q And did that class discuss the risks of pain medicine?
09:16:16 21	A Yes.
09:16:16 22	Q Did you learn about the chemistry as well of
09:16:19 23	prescription opioid medications?
09:16:20 24	A Yes.
09:16:21 25	Q And did you learn about the risks of addiction?

09:16:23 1	A Yes.
09:16:24 2	Q Were you also taught about the risks of overdose
09:16:27 3	associated with prescription
09:16:29 4	A Yes.
09:16:30 5	Q opioids.
09:16:31 6	Now, you mentioned that you for five years, you
09:16:35 7	were engaged in course work. Did you also do something
09:16:38 8	called rotations?
09:16:39 9	A Yes, that is correct.
09:16:39 10	Q And can you tell the jury a little bit about what a
09:16:42 11	rotation is?
09:16:42 12	A Sure.
09:16:43 13	So my last year, my sixth year of pharmacy school, we
09:16:46 14	went into a variety of practice settings, from hospitals,
09:16:51 15	you know, in addition to the community pharmacy, just
09:16:54 16	variety of pharmacy settings to kind of experience, you
09:16:58 17	know, different areas of pharmacy before we graduated and
09:17:00 18	made any career decisions.
09:17:02 19	My joke I like to tell is we paid the school to work
09:17:05 20	for free that year.
09:17:07 21	Q And did you enjoy that practical aspect of your
09:17:13 22	pharmacy education?
09:17:14 23	A Absolutely. 100 percent.
09:17:16 24	Q And why was that?
09:17:16 25	A As I mentioned before, getting to see different areas

09:17:18 1	of pharmacy. I worked in the neonatal intensive care unit,
09:17:23 2	pediatric intensive care unit, and it was just very
09:17:27 3	rewarding work.
09:17:28 4	Q And was there another component of your education that
09:17:33 5	involved interning?
09:17:34 6	A Yes.
09:17:34 7	So part of licensure requirements, both in Ohio and
09:17:39 8	Pennsylvania, was completion of internship hours. So I
09:17:42 9	worked as an intern throughout my years with CVS Pharmacy.
09:17:46 10	Q And so did you intern with CVS throughout the time
09:17:50 11	that you were at Ducane?
09:17:52 12	A While I was at school, I worked predominantly over
09:17:56 13	summers and extended holiday breaks, not so much through the
09:17:59 14	actual school year.
09:18:00 15	Q And where were the CVS pharmacies located where you
09:18:03 16	interned?
09:18:03 17	A Majority of them majority of that time that I
09:18:06 18	interned was at the Mentor, Ohio, store, and then when I was
09:18:09 19	living outside of Buffalo at, you know, for a year, I worked
09:18:13 20	as an intern in there as well.
09:18:15 21	Q And why were you in New York for that year?
09:18:17 22	A My wife was actually finishing up pharmacy school in
09:18:21 23	Buffalo.
09:18:21 24	Q So was your first job with CVS actually as an intern?
09:18:24 25	A That is correct.

09:18:25 1	Q And approximately when were you did you start
09:18:28 2	interning for CVS?
09:18:29 3	A May of 2008, roughly.
09:18:34 4	Q What did you do as an intern?
09:18:37 5	A My primary responsibilities were, you know, assisting
09:18:40 6	the pharmacists with just day-to-day operations of the
09:18:44 7	pharmacy. So inputting prescriptions, you know, the
09:18:46 8	processing of prescriptions, you know, getting to know
09:18:50 9	patients.
09:18:50 10	As an intern, I had a little more responsibilities
09:18:53 11	than technician. You know, later on in my career as an
09:18:57 12	intern, I was able to vaccinate, but predominantly I was
09:18:57 13	part of the pharmacy team, assisting patients, get their
09:19:05 14	medications.
09:19:05 15	Q And you worked in conjunction with the pharmacist?
09:19:07 16	A Absolutely.
09:19:07 17	Q And also the pharmacy technicians?
09:19:10 18	A That is correct.
09:19:10 19	Q While you're interning at the CVS in Mentor, Ohio, did
09:19:14 20	you have an occasion to interact with Lake County Narcotics?
09:19:18 21	A I did.
09:19:20 22	Q And can you tell the jury about what happened?
09:19:24 23	A It was a funny story. I believe it was my first
09:19:26 24	summer there. A patient had dropped off a prescription for
09:19:30 25	a high amount of pain medication for an opioid pain

09:19:34 1

09:19:37 2

09:19:40 3

09:19:41 4

09:19:44 5

09:19:47 6

09:19:51 7

09:19:51 8

09:19:53 9

09:19:57 10

09:20:01 11

09:20:05 12

09:20:08 13

09:20:10 14

09:20:13 15

09:20:16 16

09:20:17 17

09:20:20 18

09:20:23 19

09:20:27 20

09:20:29 21

09:20:34 22

09:20:38 23

09:20:40 24

09:20:42 25

Cook - Direct/Miller

medication, you know, said to us that she hasn't filled here before, she was to the best of my knowledge from out of town and didn't have insurance.

So, you know, the potential red flags, you know, started building up even as an intern, you know, in the first couple months on the job, I was able to recognize that.

So I brought it up to the attention of my pharmacist on duty at the time. I believe it was Michelle. We reached out to Lake County Narcotics, who basically, for lack of a better word, conducted a sting operation in the pharmacy.

So when the patient came back to fill the medication, I had to -- I was tasked with stalling her. I was the one ringing the register at the time, so very uncomfortable for me, but I kept her there until Lake County Narcotics could arrive.

They ended up arresting her in the parking lot and then both my pharmacist and I had to go to the parking lot to identify that the person in the back of the police car was, you know, this patient in question. It was a fun day.

- **Q** Now, shifting gears from that interaction, was there something else special that happened for you while you were interning at the Mentor store?
- A Yes. Very much so.
- **Q** And what happened? Can you share that with the jury?

09:20:45	1	A Yeah.
09:20:46	2	My first day working there, I walked in because I
09:20:48	3	needed directions to the drug testing facility as part of
09:20:52	4	like the pre-employment screening. And there was a
09:20:54	5	technician working there named Natalie, who gave me
09:20:58	6	directions let's put it this way, I ended up in the right
09:21:01	7	city but nowhere near where I needed to be, in Willoughby is
09:21:06	8	where it ended up.
09:21:07	9	I was able to look past that minor infraction. I
09:21:12 1	0	ended up marrying her, you know, several years later. It
09:21:18 1	1	took me about four months to build up the courage to ask her
09:21:21 1	2	out, though.
09:21:21 1	3	So right when I was ready to go back to the school for
09:21:23 1	4	the summer, I finally asked her out and I figured worst case
09:21:28 1	5	scenario, if she said no, I would be back at school and
09:21:31 1	6	wouldn't have to focus on it so much. But she said yes.
09:21:34 1	7	Q Where did you end up getting married?
09:21:36 1	8	A Willoughby Hills, Ohio.
09:21:38 1	9	Q And where is Natalie from?
09:21:40 2	0	A She's born and raised in Mentor her whole life.
09:21:44 2	1	Mentor, Ohio.
09:21:44 2	2	Q Did you and Natalie grow up near each other?
09:21:48 2	3	A So, yeah, funny story, we grew up about a four-minute
09:21:51 2	4	drive apart but we went to different high schools,
09:21:53 2	5	obviously. So I never knew about her existence until the

09:21:56 1	day I walked into that CVS back in May of '08.
09:21:59 2	Q And now you've been married for how many years?
09:22:04 3	A Nine years.
09:22:05 4	Q And how many children
09:22:06 5	A little over nine. Got to get that right.
09:22:08 6	Q You're almost to 10. You're almost to 10.
09:22:11 7	And do you have a family?
09:22:13 8	A I do, yes. My parents are still in Northeast Ohio, as
09:22:18 9	is her family.
09:22:19 10	Q And what about children?
09:22:20 11	A I have three kids, Emily, who's eight, Ella, who is
09:22:24 12	six, and as I shared with you earlier, my son Aden, the
09:22:27 13	youngest, he's going to turn five this Friday.
09:22:29 14	Q And tell us a little more about Natalie. What does
09:22:34 15	she do?
09:22:34 16	A She is also a pharmacist with CVS Pharmacy. Her
09:22:39 17	current job title is a floater pharmacist, meaning she, you
09:22:42 18	know, fills in across the district where help is needed.
09:22:45 19	She predominantly works now at the Mentor, Ohio,
09:22:48 20	Target CVS location.
09:22:49 21	Q All right.
09:22:50 22	So let's pick back up with so you've met your
09:22:54 23	soon-to-be wife, you're still in pharmacy school. And what
09:22:58 24	year did you graduate from Ducane?
09:23:00 25	A May of 2012.

09:23:02 1	Q And after graduation, you had your pharmacy degree.
09:23:06 2	Was there another step you needed to take in order to
09:23:09 3	become a licensed pharmacist?
09:23:10 4	A Yes.
09:23:11 5	So in addition to the degree, I had to pass two
09:23:13 6	licensing exams, one called the NAPLEX, which is the
09:23:17 7	National Pharmacy License Examination, and another one was
09:23:21 8	the law test. It's either the MPJE or MJPE, I apologize, I
09:23:27 9	forgot which way it goes. But yes, there were two exams I
09:23:31 10	had to take before I could practice pharmacy.
09:23:33 11	Q And so one of those tests you said was a law exam.
09:23:36 12	Did that cover legal requirements for filling prescriptions?
09:23:38 13	A Yes, it did.
09:23:39 14	${f Q}$ And so did you take those two tests while you were
09:23:42 15	still in Pennsylvania?
09:23:43 16	A That is correct.
09:23:44 17	Q And did you pass those tests?
09:23:45 18	A I did. I procrastinated taking them as long as
09:23:49 19	possible. My wife signed me up back-to-back days to do
09:23:52 20	them, so I it was a stressful week, but yes.
09:23:56 21	Q And ultimately, you became licensed as a pharmacist in
09:24:00 22	Pennsylvania?
09:24:00 23	A That is correct.
09:24:02 24	Q What about Ohio?
09:24:04 25	A So I went through what's called reciprocation. I

09:24:09 1	reciprocated my Pennsylvania license to Ohio. So basically
09:24:13 2	I transferred my national pharmacy examination score to the
09:24:16 3	State of Ohio. And then the other requirement I had was to
09:24:23 4	sit in front of the State Board of Pharmacy for I believe it
09:24:25 5	was about an eight-hour day where they went over Ohio law,
09:24:28 6	they went predominantly over OARRS and the OARRS
09:24:32 7	requirements, and then at the conclusion of that day, I was
09:24:34 8	granted my license to practice pharmacy in the State of
09:24:37 9	Ohio.
09:24:37 10	Q And so are you currently licensed now in both
09:24:40 11	Pennsylvania and Ohio?
09:24:41 12	A Correct, yes.
09:24:42 13	Q Do you also need to take continuing education classes
09:24:45 14	to maintain your licenses?
09:24:48 15	A Yes.
09:24:48 16	Q And do you take classes on the legal requirements for
09:24:51 17	filling prescriptions?
09:24:52 18	A Yes.
09:24:53 19	Q As well as other
09:24:55 20	A As well as other CE's, yes.
09:24:57 21	Q And have you ever been disciplined by the Ohio Board
09:25:00 22	of Pharmacy?
09:25:00 23	A I have not.
09:25:01 24	Q Have you ever been disciplined by the Pennsylvania
09:25:03 25	Board of Pharmacy?

09:25:04 1	A I have not.
09:25:04 2	Q And have you ever had either of your licenses
09:25:08 3	suspended?
09:25:09 4	A No.
09:25:09 5	Q What about has have either of your licenses been
09:25:14 6	revoked by either Board of Pharmacy?
09:25:16 7	A No.
09:25:20 8	Q All right. Let's move now to your work as a CVS
09:25:22 9	pharmacist.
09:25:23 10	So we already discussed how you interned throughout
09:25:25 11	pharmacy school. What was your first job as a pharmacist
09:25:29 12	with CVS?
09:25:30 13	A So when I started in Pennsylvania, I was a floater
09:25:33 14	pharmacist as well, you know, just filling in at stores that
09:25:36 15	needed help.
09:25:37 16	Q And where was that?
09:25:38 17	A That was in Pennsylvania. I worked from, anywhere
09:25:42 18	from Erie, Pennsylvania, to York, Pennsylvania for several
09:25:46 19	months.
09:25:47 20	Q And up until your recent promotion, did you work for
09:25:51 21	CVS from that first pharmacy job in Pennsylvania until that
09:25:58 22	recent promotion?
09:25:59 23	A Yes, I worked as a pharmacist with CVS, yep.
09:26:02 24	Q And at some point, did you leave Pennsylvania and move
09:26:07 25	back to Ohio?

09:26:08	1	A	Yes.
09:26:09	2	Q	And where did you move to?
09:26:11	3	A	We moved right back to Mentor, Ohio. My wife became
09:26:14	4	pregn	ant. She didn't ask me about it no, but she got
09:26:18	5	pregn	ant. And in late 2012, early 2013, we moved back to
09:26:23	6	Ohio.	
09:26:24	7	Q	And so why did you move back?
09:26:27	8	A	My wife decided to get pregnant, so
09:26:32	9	Q	And after she decided that, did you decide to be
09:26:37	10	close	r to family for
09:26:38	11	A	Absolutely.
09:26:39	12		So as I shared earlier, both my parents, her parents
09:26:42	13	are f	rom Northeast Ohio. Her brother and his family and her
09:26:46	14	siste	r and her family. So it's a very tight knit community,
09:26:52	15	actua	lly all living right in Lake County.
09:26:54	16	Q	And do you still live in Mentor?
09:26:56	17	A	I do.
09:26:57	18	Q	So since moving back to Northeast Ohio, have you
09:27:04	19	worke	d primarily at CVS pharmacies in Lake County?
09:27:06	20	A	Yes, primarily, that is correct.
09:27:08	21	Q	Have you worked at stores also in Cuyahoga County?
09:27:11	22	A	Yes. I spent best of my knowledge, it was a little
09:27:14	23	over	a year at two separate stores in Cuyahoga County.
09:27:16	24	Q	And focusing on your Lake County experience, how many
09:27:21	25	CVS p	harmacies have you worked at in Lake County?

09:27:23 1	A Five different pharmacies.
09:27:25 2	Q And what was the first CVS Pharmacy that you worked at
09:27:29 3	as a pharmacist?
09:27:30 4	A It was Store 3356 in Painesville City or sometimes
09:27:34 5	called Downtown Painesville.
09:27:36 6	Q And were you the manager of that pharmacy?
09:27:38 7	A Yes, I was the pharmacy manager.
09:27:40 8	Q What was your title?
09:27:42 9	A Pharmacy manager.
09:27:43 10	${f Q}$ And did you have management responsibility as the
09:27:50 11	pharmacy manager?
09:27:50 12	A Yes.
09:27:51 13	Q Did you also fill prescriptions?
09:27:52 14	A Yes.
09:27:54 15	Q Were you the pharmacy manager of the other Lake County
09:27:59 16	pharmacies where you worked?
09:28:00 17	A Yes, I was.
09:28:01 18	Q What was the next CVS Pharmacy you worked at?
09:28:07 19	A So following Store 3356, I went to Store 3326 in
09:28:12 20	Mentor, Ohio, the store that it all began at, where I met my
09:28:16 21	wife at.
09:28:16 22	Q All right.
09:28:18 23	Mr. Pitts, can I have the ELMO, please?
09:28:31 24	BY MS. MILLER:
09:28:32 25	Q Mr. Cook, I'm showing you CVS-MDL-4037. Do you

09:28:40	1	recognize the CVS store in this photo?
09:28:42	2	A Yes, that is store 3326 in Mentor, Ohio.
09:28:45	3	Q And how far is the store where you live?
09:28:47	4	A I could walk there the house I grew up in, I could
09:28:51	5	walk there in 5 to 10 minutes.
09:28:52	6	Q And this, I think you mentioned, is the store where
09:28:54	7	you met your wife as an intern?
09:28:56	8	A Yes, that is correct.
09:29:02	9	Q I'm showing you now CVS-MDL-04385.
09:29:15	10	Do you recognize the pharmacy in that photograph?
09:29:18	11	A Yes, that is the interior of Store 3326. I can go as
09:29:22	12	far as to tell you the technician ringing out that patient
09:29:24	13	of ours right there, her name is Kelsey. I worked with her
09:29:28	14	for a long time.
09:29:28	15	Q So has Kelsey been a pharmacy technician at the Mentor
09:29:33	16	store for how many years?
09:29:34	17	A Several years, to the best of my knowledge, but she
09:29:37	18	would also help me if I needed, you know, assistance at
09:29:39	19	another one of my stores. She was always someone willing to
09:29:42	20	step up.
09:29:43	21	Q And after the store in Mentor, what was the next
09:29:47	22	pharmacy that you went to?
09:29:48	23	A Following Mentor, I went to Store 05941, which is in
09:29:53	24	Painesville on Bacon Road.
09:30:06	25	Q Mr. Cook, I'm going to show you I'm showing you

09:30:14	1	CVS-MDL-04400.
09:30:17	2	Do you recognize the CVS store in this photo?
09:30:21	3	A Yes. That is Store 05941 in Painesville, correct.
09:30:26	4	Q And where in Painesville is that store located?
09:30:30	5	A Bacon Road, also called North Ridge Road.
09:30:33	6	Q Was this the second CVS Pharmacy in Painesville that
09:30:37	7	you worked at?
09:30:38	8	A Yes.
09:30:39	9	Q And how long did you spend at this Painesville store?
09:30:42	10	A Best of my knowledge, it was, I would say a little
09:30:45	11	over four years.
09:30:46	12	Q And after you left the Bacon Road, Painesville
09:30:52	13	location, where did you go next?
09:30:54	14	A So following the Bacon Road location I was when I
09:31:00	15	went to Cuyahoga County for that period of a little over a
09:31:02	16	year. It was Store 0 3032 in Richmond Heights.
09:31:06	17	Q And was there a second store in Cuyahoga County?
09:31:09	18	A Yes.
09:31:10	19	So following several months, again, best of my
09:31:13	20	knowledge, several months at 3032, I went to Store 4350
09:31:17	21	right in Shaker Square in Cleveland.
09:31:19	22	Q And after working at those Cuyahoga County stores, did
09:31:23	23	you go back to stores in Lake County?
09:31:25	24	A That is correct.
09:31:26	25	Q And can you just walk the jury through the next three

	COOK - Direct/Miller
09:31:31 1	stores you worked at?
09:31:32 2	A Certainly.
09:31:33 3	So when I was done at in the Shaker Square store, I
09:31:37 4	went to Store 7686, Mentor on the Lake, Ohio. I was there
09:31:43 5	for a period of several months. And then I went back to the
09:31:46 6	other Mentor location, which we referenced earlier, Store
09:31:50 7	3326.
09:31:53 8	Again, I was there for a period of several months, and
09:31:55 9	then ultimately ended up at Store 4351 in Willoughby, Ohio.
09:32:00 10	Q Now, over the years at these Lake County pharmacies
09:32:04 11	where you worked, did you work with other pharmacists who
09:32:07 12	were also from Northeast Ohio?
09:32:11 13	A Yes.
09:32:11 14	Q And were those pharmacists also making their homes and
09:32:16 15	raising their families in Northeast Ohio?
09:32:18 16	A Yes.
09:32:22 17	Q Let's talk a little bit about what it actually is like
09:32:27 18	to be a pharmacist. Okay?
09:32:31 19	What do you like most about being a pharmacist?
09:32:35 20	A To sum it up, quite simply, it's helping the public,
09:32:40 21	helping you fine ladies and gentlemen, whether, you know,
09:32:41 22	you need a medication filled, a recommendation on an
09:32:44 23	over-the-counter product, even sometimes just to come in and

talk about your day while you're shopping, it's that

interaction with the public that really gives me that

09:32:47 24

09:32:50 25

09:32:52 1	immense job satisfaction on a day-to-day basis.
09:32:55 2	Q Now, let's move to talking a little bit about your
09:32:58 3	patients and how you interact with them and know them.
09:33:01 4	Do you know some of your patients even before they
09:33:04 5	would walk into your pharmacy?
09:33:06 6	A That is correct.
09:33:07 7	So, you know, minus my time in Pittsburgh and a year
09:33:11 8	in New York, a little over a year in New York, I spent the
09:33:15 9	better part of a quarter century in Lake County. So prior
09:33:18 10	to starting at any store, I mean, I would see patients that
09:33:21 11	I recognize from elementary school, high school, you know,
09:33:25 12	my daughter's softball coaches, neighbors, friends,
09:33:29 13	relatives, absolutely.
09:33:31 14	Every store I was at there was, you know, a handful of
09:33:33 15	patients that I already knew.
09:33:35 16	Q And was so is that pretty common over the course of
09:33:39 17	your career as a pharmacist?
09:33:40 18	A Yes.
09:33:40 19	Q And are there other patients that you came to know
09:33:44 20	after they started filling their prescriptions at your
09:33:48 21	pharmacies?
09:33:49 22	A Yes.
09:33:50 23	Q And can you, you know, tell the jury a little bit more
09:33:54 24	about how you got to know them?

09:33:56 25

A Yeah.

09:33:57 1	So, I mean, honestly, it usually started over small
09:34:01 2	talk, just someone would come in with a Browns shirt or an
09:34:05 3	Indians shirt, Guardians shirt now, or a Cavs, you know,
09:34:08 4	Ohio State shirt, you just talk small talk, whether it be
09:34:12 5	about sports, weather.
09:34:14 6	When you got to see these patients more and more
09:34:17 7	often, so every month for a refill or every three months or
09:34:20 8	every couple weeks, you would learn more about them from
09:34:22 9	just simple conversations at the counter, at the register,
09:34:25 10	even on the phone.
09:34:26 11	I got to know about births in their family, deaths,
09:34:29 12	weddings, you know, all sorts of life events for them.
09:34:32 13	Q And do you also talk about their medical conditions?
09:34:37 14	A Of course, yes.
09:34:38 15	Q And did you talk to them about treatments that they
09:34:42 16	were that they were receiving from their doctors?
09:34:44 17	A Yes.
09:34:46 18	Q Were most of your patients local residents?
09:34:49 19	A Yes, I would say the majority of them were.
09:34:53 20	Q And your patients were members of your community?
09:34:55 21	A Yes.
09:34:56 22	Q For the most part?
09:34:57 23	A That is correct.
09:34:57 24	To this day, I can't go to Lowe's, Giant Eagle, Sam's
09:35:01 25	Club, you name it, without seeing someone that I know from

09:35:05 1	working all my years as a pharmacist.
09:35:07 2	Q And were there patients you would see on a regular
09:35:09 3	basis?
09:35:10 4	A Yes.
09:35:10 5	Q And would patients bring in prescriptions for all
09:35:13 6	kinds of medications?
09:35:15 7	A Absolutely.
09:35:17 8	Q How about entire families, would entire families bring
09:35:21 9	their prescriptions to your pharmacies?
09:35:23 10	A Oh, yes. I got to know, yeah, children, wives,
09:35:27 11	husbands, grandparents, absolutely.
09:35:30 12	Q And over the years working in Lake County, have you
09:35:35 13	become generally familiar with some of the prescribers that
09:35:39 14	fill prescriptions at your pharmacies?
09:35:41 15	A Yes. I would say I'm generally familiar with those
09:35:46 16	prescribers.
09:35:47 17	Q And how would you get that information?
09:35:48 18	A Just, number one, through conversations with the
09:35:52 19	offices, conversations with patients about the doctor, you
09:35:56 20	know, getting to see, like, you can tell who's the
09:35:59 21	cardiologist because they're prescribing, you know, a lot of
09:36:03 22	heart medication.
09:36:03 23	So honestly, it's just through conversation,
09:36:08 24	communications, and just experience.
09:36:09 25	Q Does knowing your patients help you in your practice

09:36:12 1	as a pharmacist?
09:36:13 2	A It absolutely does.
09:36:15 3	Q And how?
09:36:19 4	A You get to know, you know, what patients I mean, it
09:36:23 5	could be as simple as a drug allergy, you know, and then
09:36:25 6	they come asking for an over-the-counter recommendation and
09:36:28 7	oh, Mrs. Jones, I forgot, or that's right, you can't take
09:36:34 8	Claritin, for example.
09:36:34 9	You get to know their fears about taking medication
09:36:37 10	and how to work with them to ultimately, you know, get them
09:36:40 11	on their path to better health.
09:36:42 12	Q And does knowing your patients also help you in
09:36:45 13	filling controlled substance prescriptions?
09:36:47 14	A Yes.
09:36:57 15	Q All right. Let's move now to corresponding
09:36:59 16	responsibility.
09:36:59 17	The jury has heard a lot about corresponding
09:37:02 18	responsibility. And just stepping back, the difference
09:37:05 19	between controlled and non-controlled substances, you're
09:37:07 20	familiar with those two terms; right?
09:37:10 21	A Yes, of course.
09:37:11 22	Q Can you give the jury some examples of non-controlled
09:37:14 23	substances?
09:37:14 24	A So non-controlled medications would be your standard
09:37:18 25	maybe like a blood pressure medication, Lisinopril,

09:37:24 1	Metoprolol, Lipitor, Flonase nasal spray,
09:37:26 2	hydrochlorothiazide, like I mentioned Claritin earlier, the
09:37:30 3	vast majority of prescriptions on our shelves at CVS are for
09:37:34 4	non-controlled substances.
09:37:34 5	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And would you say the vast majority of prescriptions
09:37:38 6	you've filled over your career as a pharmacist were for
09:37:41 7	non-controlled substances?
09:37:41 8	A Correct. Yes, I would say that.
09:37:43 9	Q Now, let's move into corresponding responsibility so
09:37:50 10	you can tell the jury a little bit about how you exercise
09:37:53 11	corresponding responsibility.
09:37:54 12	A Okay.
09:37:57 13	Q Do you fulfill corresponding responsibility your
09:38:01 14	corresponding responsibility on every controlled substance
09:38:03 15	prescription?
09:38:03 16	A Yes.
09:38:04 17	Q And how do you go about doing that?
09:38:08 18	A Corresponding responsibility, I guess, you know, to me
09:38:14 19	it starts with a definition is when a patient presents a
09:38:17 20	prescription for a controlled substance, you know, resolving
09:38:20 21	any red flags that are discovered prior to that medication
09:38:23 22	ultimately reaching the hands of the public.
09:38:25 23	Q And is part of your review also to see whether there
09:38:32 24	are any potential red flags?
09:38:36 25	A That is correct, yes.

Q And how do you go about doing that?
A I mean, there's every controlled medication that's
handed to me, you know, has that risk of abuse, has that
risk of, you know, if it's in the wrong hands, you know,
it's not a good situation.
So how do I go about resolving red flags was the
question?
Q No. Let me ask let me ask this. Let's start at
the beginning.
How do you decide what information to look at when
you're presented with a controlled substance prescription?
A Sure.
So, number one, I mean, before we do anything with a
prescription, when they walk up with it, one of the signs we
look at is, you know, signs of opioid addiction, signs of,
you know, dependence, withdrawal, patients who are
demanding, might have, you know, pinpoint pupils. If we can
identify those signs like right off the bat before I even
really put the prescription in my hand, you know, I know
that there is a potential red flag that might need to be
resolved.
Other than that, you know, there's really on a
case-by-case basis depends, you know, on what factors I'm
looking for or what might stand out on that prescription to

know which route to go to resolve any potential red flag.

09:39:52 25

09:39:57 1	${f Q}$ What information might you look at when you evaluate a
09:40:05 2	controlled substance prescription?
09:40:06 3	A Well, the information, number one, like on the face of
09:40:09 4	the prescription, is it, you know, a prescriber that I'm
09:40:14 5	aware of, is it a patient that I'm aware of, you know,
09:40:18 6	moving into Rx Connect we can which is our pharmacy
09:40:23 7	operating system, I'm sorry, we can see like is this patient
09:40:26 8	from, you know, like 3 hours away, is it a Lake County
09:40:29 9	resident.
09:40:30 10	There's so many things we look at. And I hate to keep
09:40:34 11	saying a case-by-case basis, but all of these checks are
09:40:36 12	kind of going on in my mind and my staff's mind at the same
09:40:39 13	time in evaluation every, you know, controlled medication
09:40:42 14	that gets presented to us.
09:40:43 15	Q And you talked about it's a case-by-case basis. So
09:40:48 16	you really have to look at the specific circumstances
09:40:51 17	presented by that prescription that's right in front of you;
09:40:54 18	right?
09:40:54 19	A Absolutely.
09:40:55 20	Q And you have different information available to you.
09:40:58 21	Let's start with Rx Connect, which I think you mentioned.
09:41:04 22	Where do you go to in Rx Connect to see information
09:41:08 23	about a patient?
09:41:09 24	A I would access the patient's profile.

Q And let's talk about some of the information you can

09:41:12 25

09:41:14 1	see in Rx Connect about a patient. Okay?
09:41:17 2	Can you see the patient's address?
09:41:19 3	A Yes. I can see their current address and last known
09:41:24 4	addresses as well. So if there's a patient who summers in
09:41:27 5	Florida, as is very common in Northeast Ohio, or who moved
09:41:30 6	up here, I can see any other addresses as well.
09:41:32 7	Q And can you see whether the patient has insurance?
09:41:36 8	A Yes.
09:41:36 9	Q In Rx Connect?
09:41:38 10	A Yes.
09:41:39 11	Q Can you see the patient's age?
09:41:40 12	A Yes.
09:41:42 13	Q And does the Rx Connect patient profile provide you
09:41:48 14	with data on the patient's prescription history?
09:41:52 15	A Yes.
09:41:53 16	Q And how far back does that information go?
09:41:57 17	A It goes two years back in the patient profile.
09:42:00 18	Q And what prescriptions can you see?
09:42:04 19	A Every prescription filled at CVS Pharmacy location.
09:42:08 20	Q And so that would be both controlled substances and
09:42:11 21	non-controlled substances?
09:42:12 22	A Correct.
09:42:19 23	Q Can you see the doctor's name?
09:42:20 24	A Yes.
09:42:20 25	Q Can you see the doctor's address?

09:42:23 1	A Not on the simple profile screen, but by just
09:42:28 2	selecting "V" to view and selecting the prescription I want
09:42:31 3	to view, then I can.
09:42:32 4	Q And that information would be right there?
09:42:33 5	A Correct.
09:42:34 6	Q Can you see the doctor's DEA number?
09:42:37 7	A Following the steps I just listed, yes, hitting "V" to
09:42:40 8	view it, yes.
09:42:41 9	Q What happens if the doctor does not have a valid DEA
09:42:43 10	number?
09:42:44 11	A So when I'm typing a prescription and the doctor does
09:42:47 12	not have a valid DEA number, my Rx Connect system has what's
09:42:52 13	called a block. I cannot proceed with inputting that
09:42:54 14	prescription.
09:42:58 15	Q Which means that you would be unable to fill a
09:43:00 16	prescription for a prescriber that does not have a valid DEA
09:43:04 17	number?
09:43:04 18	A That is correct. There's no way that I you know,
09:43:08 19	to override that, no way around it. It just cannot be
09:43:11 20	filled.
09:43:11 21	Q And I just want to clarify one thing. When you said
09:43:14 22	you can look back and see the prescription history for a
09:43:17 23	patient for all the medications filled for the last two
09:43:20 24	years, is that chain wide?
09:43:22 25	A Yes. So I can see, yes, any CVS pharmacy location.

09:43:31 1	Q Do you also consider information you might already
09:43:34 2	know about patients?
09:43:36 3	A Yes. That does play a role.
09:43:45 4	Q Does Rx Connect provide you with the information you
09:43:48 5	need to identify potential red flags?
09:43:50 6	A Yes.
09:43:51 7	Q Has it always?
09:43:52 8	A Yes.
09:43:55 9	${f Q}$ What additional information might you look to outside
09:44:00 10	of the categories that we already discussed?
09:44:03 11	A So outside of, you know, like the patient appearance
09:44:07 12	as well, you know, for signs of diversion, outside of what
09:44:10 13	we just listed in Rx Connect, we also have I'm sure you
09:44:14 14	guys have heard a lot about it is OARRS, which is
09:44:18 15	integrated right into your pharmacy work flow where we can
09:44:21 16	see, you know, controlled substance medications that are
09:44:23 17	filled at any CVS or, excuse me, any pharmacy in the
09:44:28 18	State of Ohio.
09:44:28 19	Q And do you regularly check OARRS?
09:44:35 20	A I do.
09:44:37 21	Q And can you tell the jury a little bit more about how
09:44:40 22	OARRS helps you in your review of controlled substance
09:44:43 23	prescriptions?
09:44:43 24	A Yes.
09:44:44 25	OARRS, again and what we're talking about here is

09:44:48 1 just a tool in the toolkit for me when I'm filling a controlled substance medication. Definitely one of the more 09:44:52 2 09:44:54 3 important tools, with just a couple. You know, quick key strokes, I can see every controlled substance filled for 09:44:57 4 that patient in the State of Ohio. 09:44:59 5 It tells me information not only the drug name, the 09:45:03 6 09:45:06 7 quantity, the day's supply, it goes so far as to tell me the 09:45:11 8 doctor who prescribed it, where that medication was filled 09:45:13 9 and even like the insurance that was applied when they 09:45:15 10 filled that medication. 09:45:16 11 So let me follow up a little bit. Let me go to Rx 09:45:22 12 Connect for a second and that prescription history. 09:45:24 13 Does Rx Connect provide you for prescriptions filled 09:45:28 14 at CVS pharmacies the same type of information about a 09:45:32 15 particular prescription, like drug name? 09:45:34 16 Correct, yes. Α 09:45:36 17 What other information does Rx Connect provide you for 09:45:41 18 specific prescriptions filled at CVS pharmacies? 09:45:45 19 I can see the prescription number, the day it was 09:45:47 20 filled, the amount that the patient paid for the medication, the insurance that was applied, be it, you know, cash, 09:45:51 21 09:45:55 22 insurance, Good RX. I can see the doctor's name, the 09:46:01 23 directions, how many it was filled for -- I'm sorry if I'm 09:46:07 24 repeating myself.

There's a lot of information, but I think that covers

09:46:08 25

09:46:10 1 most of it.

09:46:10 2 Q And so w

09:46:14 3 what other inf

09:46:20 4 controlled sub

09:46:21 5 A Yes.

09:46:21 6 So in according to the sub
S

Q And so we talked about OARRS. In addition to OARRS, what other information might you seek when you're evaluating controlled substance prescriptions?

So in addition to OARRS and the information in Rx Connect, conversations with the prescriber regarding potential red flags with the prescription and/or conversations with the patient, him or herself regarding potential red flags also, you know, play a role in filling that medication.

- Q So after you've considered some amount of this information in reviewing a particular prescription on a case-by-case basis, what happens next?
- A Well, if, you know, I've identified no red flags, you know, the and/or the red flags that did come up had been resolved, we would proceed with, you know, filling that medication for that patient.

If I had any reason to suspect, you know, misuse or I had a red flag that I couldn't resolve, I would, you know, practice my refusal to fill as part of corresponding responsibility.

Q In making your decision of whether to fill or not fill a controlled substance prescription, do you use your professional judgment as a pharmacist?

09:46:31 9 09:46:35 10

09:46:25 7

09:46:28 8

09:46:39 12

09:46:37 11

09:46:43 13

09:46:47 14

09:46:51 15

09:46:55 16

09:46:58 17

09:47:01 18

09:47:03 19

09:47:09 20

09:47:12 21

09:47:15 22

09:47:23 23

09:47:28 24

09:47:32 25

09:47:33 1	A Yes.
09:47:39 2	Q And, again, those decisions you make based on a
09:47:41 3	case-by-case basis depending on the prescription and
09:47:46 4	circumstances before you?
09:47:47 5	A That is absolutely correct.
09:47:49 6	Q All right.
09:47:50 7	We talked a little just now about various tools that
09:47:56 8	you use in exercising your corresponding responsibility and
09:48:00 9	I just want to follow up a little bit.
09:48:04 10	Does CVS provide you with those tools to help you
09:48:09 11	evaluate prescriptions?
09:48:10 12	A Yes.
09:48:11 13	Q And do you consider Rx Connect one of those tools?
09:48:14 14	A Yes. Absolutely.
09:48:15 15	Like I said, I can identify fill histories, you know,
09:48:19 16	where the patient is from, amongst other things. Absolutely
09:48:22 17	it's part of the tools part of the toolkit.
09:48:24 18	Q And does Rx Connect also have alerts?
09:48:29 19	A Yes.
09:48:30 20	Q And can you give an example or two of an alert that Rx
09:48:37 21	Connect has?
09:48:37 22	A So one an alert that might pop up, if a patient
09:48:41 23	goes presents with me a prescription for a controlled
09:48:44 24	medication that the system flags as too early, it will not
09:48:48 25	let me proceed with filling or, you know, my technician, it

will not allow him or her to proceed with typing that prescription until, you know, it gets sent to me and I, you know, see what is going on with it.

Another alert that comes to mind is the fraudulent prescription alert. Sometimes, you know, a doctor has their DEA number stolen and people will try to call in fraudulent prescriptions. So, you know, in those cases, it will kind of flash like, you know, be wary that this doctor for controlled substances has had their DEA number stolen, you know, evaluate them before you fill it.

Those are two that come to mind.

- Q And shifting from alerts to blocks, which I think we touched on, are there -- is there a functioning in Rx Connect where you can't fill for certain prescribers? I think you touched on DEA registration numbers and if those are invalid you can't fill.
- A That is correct.

09:48:52 1

09:48:54 2

09:48:57

09:49:00 4

09:49:02 5

09:49:07 6

09:49:10 7

09:49:12 8

09:49:17 9

09:49:19 10

09:49:21 11

09:49:23 12

09:49:26 13

09:49:30 14

09:49:37 15

09:49:40 16

09:49:41 17

09:49:41 18

09:49:44 19

09:49:46 20

09:49:50 21

09:49:56 22

09:50:00 23

09:50:03 24

09:50:06 25

- Q And is there another circumstance where Rx Connect has a block related to prescribers?
- A I have also seen it to where it will be -- again, this is a hard stop. I can't get by it. A message will pop up. It will say something along the lines of CVS pharmacy has decided not to fill controlled medications for this prescriber and, you know, we can't proceed with filling that medication.

09:50:06 1	Q And that other block would be based on an internal CVS
09:50:10 2	decision not to fill for a particular prescriber?
09:50:13 3	A To the best my knowledge, yes, I would assume that's
09:50:18 4	why.
09:50:19 5	${f Q}$ We talked about we talked about OARRS and I just
09:50:21 6	want to circle back to that.
09:50:23 7	Is OARRS another tool that you use in evaluating
09:50:26 8	prescriptions?
09:50:26 9	A Yes.
09:50:27 10	Q And is there something called a NarxCare score that
09:50:35 11	appears in Rx Connect?
09:50:36 12	A Yes.
09:50:37 13	Q And does that NarxCare score appear right in Rx
09:50:42 14	Connect itself or do you have to go to another website to
09:50:44 15	see that score?
09:50:45 16	A In the process of filling a controlled medication, it
09:50:47 17	shows up right on my screen. I couldn't ignore it if I
09:50:51 18	wanted to.
09:50:51 19	Q And what how do you use NarxCare as a tool?
09:50:57 20	A So, to explain NarxCare, there's three components that
09:51:01 21	it really brings up. Three based on three categories of
09:51:05 22	controlled medication. There is stimulants, which would be
09:51:08 23	Adderall, Vyvanse, maybe controlled medications for ADHD.
09:51:13 24	There's opioids, which I'm sure everybody here is well
09:51:15 25	versed in now, and then there's also sedatives.

That would be maybe Zolpidem or Ambien. Typically 09:51:18 1 medications that people use to -- maybe Ativan as well, to 09:51:23 2 09:51:26 3 relax or to fall asleep. That -- those three scores individually show up on my 09:51:28 4 screen, regardless of the type of controlled medication I'm 09:51:31 5 filling. It's a score, you know, calculated based on their 09:51:34 6 09:51:39 7 prior fill history through OARRS, but more importantly, what 09:51:43 8 I can use it for is if a patient presents to me with a brand 09:51:47 9 new pain script for a high dose medication and, you know, 09:51:50 10 they have no fill history with me, I can see that, okay, you 09:51:52 11 know, they've been getting it at the past down the street or 09:51:52 12 vice versa, if a patient presents with a dose pain 09:51:59 13 medication and I see well, this doesn't make sense, their 09:52:02 14 risk store, their narc score is 0, something's not right 09:52:06 15 here. 09:52:06 16 Again, it's just one tool that we use but that 09:52:08 17 information is right there before I even have to run a full 09:52:12 18 OARRS report and that risk score shows up. 09:52:15 19 So it's just another tool in your toolkit? Q 09:52:18 20 Absolutely. Α 09:52:18 21 That you use to evaluate prescriptions? Q 09:52:20 22 Α Yes. 09:52:21 23 Let's talk a little about training. Q 09:52:23 24 Did CVS train you on the legal requirements for

09:52:27 25

filling prescriptions?

09:52:27 1	A Yes, they did.
09:52:28 2	Q And how often did CVS provide you with that training?
09:52:33 3	A We have to do that training biannually, twice a year.
09:52:36 4	Q And there was a general training on the filling of
09:52:39 5	prescriptions?
09:52:39 6	A Oh, yes.
09:52:40 7	So when I first, yes, started with the company and
09:52:42 8	then again, I believe as a pharmacist, there was just
09:52:45 9	filling a prescription kind of 101, so to speak, yes.
09:52:51 10	Q And also, did CVS provide you with training specific
09:52:55 11	to the filling of controlled substance prescriptions?
09:52:57 12	A Yes. That's what I was referencing. That's the one
09:53:00 13	that's done twice a year every year.
09:53:01 14	${f Q}$ Do you also discuss corresponding responsibility in
09:53:04 15	your annual review with your supervisors?
09:53:06 16	A Yes, I do.
09:53:07 17	Q And can you tell the jury a little bit about that?
09:53:10 18	A Yes.
09:53:11 19	So every year during my annual performance review, my
09:53:16 20	pharmacy supervisor and/or district leader will sit down
09:53:18 21	with me, not only to formally deliver my review for the
09:53:26 22	prior year, but also to discuss corresponding
09:53:27 23	responsibility, to ensure that I'm aware not only to
09:53:30 24	practice it, but I'm aware of its existence and that, you
09:53:33 25	know, CVS is not going to punish me for exercising, you

09:53:38	1	know, corresponding responsibility in a situation where I'm
09:53:40	2	uncomfortable filling a prescription.

So I sign a copy, give it to my boss to file, and then a copy is -- I keep a copy and file it.

Q So it's a reminder that CVS supports you in exercising your corresponding responsibility?

A Yes.

3

4

5

09:53:42

09:53:45

09:53:49

09:53:53 6

09:53:54 7

09:53:55 8

09:53:58 9

09:53:59 10

09:54:01 11

09:54:09 12

09:54:11 13

09:54:12 14

09:54:15 15

09:54:16 16

09:54:19 17

09:54:19 18

09:54:20 19

09:54:24 20

09:54:28 21

09:54:33 22

09:54:37 23

09:54:40 24

09:54:43 25

Q But you already know that as a practicing CVS pharmacist; correct?

A I do.

Q All right. Let's move to community programs that you've participated in or are aware of.

A Okay.

Q Are you aware of a program called Pharmacists Teach?

A Yes.

Q And can you tell the jury a little bit about Pharmacists Teach?

A Yeah.

So Pharmacists Teach is a general broad term that CVS has when they send pharmacists to teach members of the community about various subjects. My wife and I did one at a senior citizen home regarding the importance of medication compliance, for example, as well as the importance of vaccinations, you know, just staying up to date on your medical records. So that's one example.

09:54:47 1 Another example, you know, more applicable to this trial, I've partaken in on -- I've probably done over 09:54:50 2 several days, to the best of my knowledge, about a dozen of 09:54:57 3 them called One Choice Changes Everything presentations. 09:55:00 4 So what that is is CVS sends its pharmacists into 09:55:03 5 09:55:06 6 local schools, specifically high schools, you know, the 09:55:08 7 teenage population, that statistically is more at risk to 09:55:14 8 abuse an opioid medication or any medication rather. And we 09:55:18 9 go to, you know, local schools and teach them the importance 09:55:24 10 of that one choice, that one choice to not take that pill, 09:55:30 11 consequently also the one choice to take that pill, just 09:55:32 12 like the impacts it can have on that individual's life. 09:55:36 13 So I'm very passionate about it. You know, living in 09:55:39 14 Mentor, I've done it exclusively at Mentor high school. 09:55:42 15 my off days, I volunteer to do it because it's something 09:55:45 16 that's important to me and CVS provided me with all the 09:55:48 17 resources I needed to make that presentation. 09:55:50 18 And having made that presentation, I take it to high 09:55:54 19 schoolers in Mentor? 09:55:54 20 That is correct. 09:55:56 21 Did you feel being in the room with those students, 09:56:02 22 that they -- you were able to communicate with them some of 09:56:06 23 the concerns surrounding prescription opioids?

Yeah. I mean, talking to 16-year-olds, they're not

the most mature audience, but if I got through to one or two

09:56:09 24

09:56:13 25

09:56:17 1	of them, you know, obviously it was a good day.
09:56:20 2	Q And did it did it feel like you did?
09:56:24 3	A It did, absolutely. I had, on one occasion and I
09:56:27 4	won't get into the specifics, but I had a young high
09:56:30 5	schooler at Mentor high school, a sophomore, approach me
09:56:33 6	after the program to, you know, discuss a situation that his
09:56:37 7	friend was going through. So, I mean, that conversation
09:56:40 8	alone made it all worthwhile.
09:56:45 9	Q Now, shifting gears a bit, are you familiar with Drug
09:56:51 10	Take Back kiosks or Take Back boxes?
09:56:54 11	A Yes, I am.
09:56:54 12	Q And the jury's heard a little bit about those, but can
09:56:57 13	you just remind them?
09:56:58 14	A Yes.
09:56:59 15	So select CVS's, I've now been at three they had them,
09:57:04 16	including one at like the first stores in Northeast Ohio to
09:57:07 17	get it, but it is like an ATM size steel box where patients
09:57:11 18	can dispose of unused or unwanted, you know, expired
09:57:16 19	medication, kind of no questions asked.
09:57:17 20	We keep it locked when the pharmacy is closed; unlock
09:57:21 21	it during operational hours and, you know, you just drop it
09:57:24 22	in and go on your go on your day.
09:57:27 23	But it allows patients to you know, whether there's

a death in the family or just they're cleaning out their

medicine cabinet, they can just drop them off and they get

09:57:29 24

09:57:32 25

Cook - Direct/Miller

	COOK - Direct/Miller
09:57:35 1	destroyed.
09:57:36 2	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And did you find that the members of your community
09:57:39 3	were making use of those disposal units?
09:57:42 4	A Yes.
09:57:43 5	When I first started, obviously, it took a while for
09:57:46 6	people to recognize what it was. You know, we had to check
09:57:50 7	the volume once weekly, but near the end, we were sending
09:57:53 8	back boxes at a pretty frequent pace.
09:57:55 9	${f Q}$ And what was your role in connection with the Drug
09:57:59 10	Take Back boxes? What did you do?
09:58:01 11	A So I as the pharmacy manager, I supervised that
09:58:04 12	program. I was the one responsible for checking the volume,
09:58:07 13	as I just mentioned.
09:58:09 14	When it was full, I would close the kiosk, you know,
09:58:12 15	seal up the box and send it on its way. And then the once
09:58:15 16	the box had been taken, I would set up the new liner, the
09:58:18 17	new box and reopen it for the public use.
09:58:20 18	Q And just to make sure the jury understands, those
09:58:24 19	boxes, there's they're very secure; correct?
0.0	

09:58:27 20

09:58:31 21

09:58:36 22

09:58:40 23

09:58:44 24

09:58:47 25

Yeah. It's in a -- it's got -- in addition to the locking drawer mechanism to drop the medication, it's got two padlocks that lock the medication. Each padlock has a different key. So it actually takes some time to get in there, a lot of frustration. But yes, they're -- I would say they're secure.

09:58:48 1	Q All right, Mr. Cook. A few final questions for you.
09:58:54 2	Do you understand the risks associated with
09:58:58 3	prescription opioid medications?
09:59:00 4	A I do understand those risks.
09:59:02 5	Q And did you exercise corresponding responsibility on
09:59:07 6	every controlled substance prescription?
09:59:09 7	A I did.
09:59:11 8	Q Did CVS support you in exercising your corresponding
09:59:14 9	responsibility?
09:59:15 10	A Yes.
09:59:17 11	Q Did CVS indicate to you that it expected you to
09:59:22 12	exercise corresponding responsibility?
09:59:23 13	A Yes.
09:59:26 14	Q Did CVS indicate to you that it expected you to refuse
09:59:30 15	to fill prescriptions that you believed might be
09:59:37 16	illegitimate?
09:59:37 17	A Yes.
09:59:38 18	Q Did CVS indicate to you that this was required?
09:59:40 19	A Yes. Yeah.
09:59:41 20	Q Did CVS provide you with the tools and information you
09:59:44 21	needed to exercise corresponding responsibility?
09:59:48 22	A Yes.
09:59:49 23	Q Did CVS ever pressure you to fill more opioid
09:59:54 24	prescriptions?
09:59:55 25	A Absolutely not.

09:59:56 1	${f Q}$ Did CVS ever suggest to you that there was a limit on
10:00:01 2	how many controlled substance prescriptions you could refuse
10:00:03 3	to fill?
10:00:04 4	A Absolutely not.
10:00:07 5	Q How many years have you lived in Lake County?
10:00:11 6	A The better part of 25 years, to the best of my
10:00:15 7	knowledge.
10:00:15 8	Q And how many years have you practiced as a pharmacist
10:00:18 9	in Lake County?
10:00:19 10	A Nine years.
10:00:21 11	Q Is that the majority of your career?
10:00:22 12	A Yes.
10:00:25 13	Q And over the span of your career as a CVS pharmacist,
10:00:30 14	who are the patients that you have served?
10:00:32 15	A My neighbors, my friends, people I see at church, my
10:00:36 16	community.
10:00:39 17	Q Mr. Cook, do you believe you have done anything to
10:00:42 18	harm the people of Lake County?
10:00:45 19	A Absolutely not.
10:00:46 20	The people I fill medications for are, like I said,
10:00:49 21	people I see at the grocery store, people I see when I'm out
10:00:52 22	and about with my kids. I've no.
10:00:56 23	Q Do you believe the pharmacies you've worked at have
10:00:59 24	done anything to harm the public in Lake County?
10:01:03 25	A No.

6556

10:01:05 1	MS. MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Cook. That's all
10:01:08 2	I have.
10:01:08 3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10:01:11 4	THE COURT: Anything from any of the other
10:01:14 5	defendants?
10:01:14 6	MR. STOFFELMAYR: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
10:01:16 7	MR. MAJORAS: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
10:01:16 8	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF KENNETH COOK
10:01:36 9	BY MR. LANIER
10:01:36 10	Q Mr. Cook, my name is Mark Lanier.
10:01:39 11	I've not had the pleasure of meeting you before, but
10:01:41 12	it sounds to me like you've been a great pharmacist who has
10:01:44 13	done a lot of good work for the community and tried to help
10:01:46 14	make this world a better place. And I want to say thank
10:01:50 15	you.
10:01:50 16	A I appreciate it, sir. Thank you.
10:01:51 17	Q I've got a few questions, though, I need to ask you,
10:01:55 18	as I'm sure you've been warned.
10:01:57 19	A I understand. Yes. Yes.
10:01:58 20	Q All right.
10:01:59 21	As is typical for me at least, I give you a roadmap so
10:02:02 22	you got a clue of what I'm going to say and so the jury
10:02:05 23	does, and it's just a way to keep pace with what we're
10:02:09 24	doing. Okay?
10:02:10 25	A Yes, sir.

	COOK Closs, Haniel
10:02:11 1	Q Is that you? Did I get you okay?
10:02:12 2	A Yes. That's my LinkedIn photo. I recognize it. Good
10:02:16 3	looking guy.
10:02:16 4	Q Yeah. Yeah.
10:02:17 5	So I want to talk to you about some basics, I want to
10:02:19 6	talk to you about some stores issues, I want to talk to you
10:02:22 7	about some limitations. I think we can do this in
10:02:24 8	15 minutes. Okay?
10:02:25 9	A Yes, sir. I'm remember.
10:02:26 10	Q All right.
10:02:27 11	First of all, let's start with the basics. These are
10:02:29 12	things I think you and I agree with each other on but I just
10:02:32 13	want to make sure we do. I've got them up here. We'll go
10:02:35 14	one at a time.
10:02:36 15	Would you agree with me there are good pharmacists and
10:02:39 16	not-so-good pharmacists? There's a wide range. Fair?
10:02:42 17	A I would disagree with that, sir.
10:02:45 18	Q You would disagree with that? So
10:02:48 19	A Oh, go ahead.
10:02:49 20	Q You don't think that there are pharmacists who's lost
10:02:53 21	their license, for example?
10:02:54 22	A Well, sir, I can speak to, you know, my time at five
10:02:58 23	different CVS's in Lake County, specifically. I've probably

had about a dozen or so staff pharmacists and pharmacists

who reported to me in my role as pharmacy manager. At no

10:03:01 24

10:03:04 25

10:03:09 1	point in time was I made aware or was I uncomfortable with
10:03:12 2	their dispensing of controlled medications or opioid
10:03:14 3	medications.
10:03:15 4	None of them lost their license. None of them were
10:03:17 5	disciplined, and those are the pharmacists that I can speak
10:03:20 6	to.
10:03:20 7	Q Okay. And that's fair.
10:03:21 8	So you're speaking based upon the pharmacists you
10:03:24 9	know. You're not saying blanket, there are no bad
10:03:28 10	pharmacists in the world. Fair?
10:03:29 11	A Yes. I can only speak to what I know, sir. Yes, sir.
10:03:32 12	Q And if you look at the ones you know. You know, for
10:03:34 13	example, there was a time when you needed to coach one of
10:03:36 14	the pharmacists in terms of narcotic wait times; right?
10:03:39 15	A That was more on the yes. Yeah. There was that
10:03:43 16	one instance, yes.
10:03:44 17	Q Yeah. I mean, enough to where it made your annual
10:03:47 18	review, that you had to note in there that you had to coach
10:03:49 19	your partner with wait times for narcotics; right?
10:03:52 20	A She, more or less, was just not wanting to deal with
10:03:56 21	the prescriptions, which is unfair because these patients
10:03:58 22	that do have a valid prescription for an opioid medication,
10:04:01 23	I mean, they're obviously in a high degree of pain. So it

was more so ensuring that, you know these patients are able

to get their medications in a timely manner.

10:04:06 24

10:04:08 25

10:04:10 1	That was my issue, not with her dispensing or filling
10:04:13 2	or anything like that.
10:04:15 3	Q I'm going to pass up to you or ask Ms. Fleming to pass
10:04:19 4	up to you Plaintiff's Exhibit 21927, which is a section of
10:04:24 5	your year-end reviews.
10:04:27 6	A Yes, I have it here.
10:04:28 7	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And if you look at what you had to say about your
10:04:31 8	colleague comments on Page 12, your comments were a little
10:04:36 9	bit different than the way you're saying it now, at least.
10:04:39 10	This is colleague comments. This is your statement;
10:04:42 11	correct?
10:04:42 12	A That is correct, yes, sir.
10:04:44 13	Q "At times, I had to really coach my partner who would
10:04:48 14	have real challenges with the blue chips, like flu shots and
10:04:53 15	wait times for narcotics specifically, but I was able to
10:04:57 16	hold the team together throughout all this and make sure we
10:05:00 17	did not go off track from the CVS mission statement."
10:05:03 18	Do you see that?
10:05:03 19	A Yes.
10:05:05 20	MS. MILLER: Mark, I apologize for
10:05:06 21	interrupting. I'm not sure. Can you give us the Bates
10:05:11 22	label on the page you're referring to?
10:05:13 23	MR. LANIER: Yeah. The Bates label is 559,
10:05:16 24	but it is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21927, Page 12.
10:05:21 25	MS. MILLER: Oh, I'm sorry, we it looks

10:05:23 1	like we got two different exhibits.
10:05:26 2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't have 559.
10:05:28 3	MS. MILLER: We don't have 559.
10:05:36 4	MR. LANIER: Okay. We'll get you a copy.
10:05:38 5	BY MR. LANIER:
10:05:38 6	Q Suffice it to say, do you remember I'll keep it up
10:05:41 7	on the screen so you can see it. Do you remember this?
10:05:44 8	A Vaguely, with all honesty. I mean it was a long time
10:05:48 9	ago.
10:05:49 10	MS. MILLER: Mark, I apologize for
10:05:50 11	interrupting again. But, do you have a hard copy for Mr.
10:05:53 12	Cook? We're trying to pull it up.
10:05:56 13	MR. LANIER: Yeah. I'll hand you one.
10:05:56 14	BY MR. LANIER:
10:05:59 15	Q You remember this, sir?
10:06:00 16	A Yeah.
10:06:03 17	MR. LANIER: Here you go.
10:06:04 18	MS. MILLER: Thank you so much.
10:06:05 19	MR. LANIER: You bet.
10:06:05 20	BY MR. LANIER:
10:06:06 21	Q And all I'm driving at is, you know, there are you
10:06:11 22	will not agree with this. Let's just make that note. Don't
10:06:13 23	agree. We'll come back to it with some more questions here
10:06:16 24	in a bit.
10:06:18 25	Would you at least agree with me that pharmacists rely

10:06:21 1	on the companies for their policies and equipment and
10:06:30 2	recurrent training?
10:06:31 3	A I mean, policies are specific to the company, I guess,
10:06:33 4	but training, I mean, I've gone through numerous continuing
10:06:38 5	education courses outside of CVS. I don't rely on CVS for,
10:06:41 6	you know, training in that sense.
10:06:44 7	Q Has CVS paid for those?
10:06:46 8	A I've asked to be reimbursed for them and I've had no
10:06:50 9	problem getting reimbursed. There's other ones that, you
10:06:52 10	know, my wife and I wanted to kind of just get away for a
10:06:55 11	weekend, do some continuing ed. I, of course, never asked
10:06:58 12	for reimbursement for those, but
10:07:00 13	Q How about if we put some recurrent training? Would
10:07:04 14	that be fair?
10:07:05 15	A I would say that would be fair, some recurrent
10:07:08 16	training, yes.
10:07:09 17	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And would you agree with me that opioids are highly
10:07:11 18	addictive?
10:07:12 19	A Absolutely, I would.
10:07:13 20	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And would you agree with me that the Lake County, at
10:07:16 21	least, has experienced opioid issues, problems, in the
10:07:21 22	community?
10:07:21 23	A I mean, I can speak to my knowledge as a Lake County
10:07:25 24	resident for the better part of a quarter century. I
10:07:29 25	personally have been blessed, sir, you know, not to have any

10:07:32 1	friends, families, neighbors, you know, colleagues that have
10:07:36 2	been affected by the opioid epidemic, but I understand that
10:07:39 3	there's families out there, you know, who have been
10:07:42 4	affected. And I don't mean to make light of that, sir, but
10:07:45 5	like I say, from my experience, I have not seen it in the
10:07:49 6	county. No, sir.
10:07:52 7	Q Wow.
10:07:53 8	One Choice Changes Everything. That's the name of the
10:07:56 9	speech you give at the high school?
10:07:57 10	A Yes. Yes, sir.
10:07:58 11	Q Do you believe that?
10:08:00 12	A You know, in that context, I absolutely do, sir,
10:08:03 13	especially with a very young population that can be
10:08:06 14	susceptible to making dumb decisions, as we all did when we
10:08:09 15	were that age.
10:08:10 16	Q Would you agree then that one bad prescription filled
10:08:13 17	can have ripple effects in one's life?
10:08:15 18	MS. MILLER: Objection.
10:08:16 19	THE COURT: Overruled.
10:08:19 20	THE WITNESS: One bad prescription filled can
10:08:21 21	have a ripple effect. I mean, I I don't understand the
10:08:25 22	question.
10:08:25 23	I mean, the One Choice presentation, YOUR prior point
10:08:30 24	spoke primarily to, you know, teenagers who maybe got an

opioid prescription from the medicine cabinet that was

10:08:33 25

10:08:37 1	lawfully prescribed, lawfully issued for a valid medical
10:08:40 2	reason, not necessarily a 13-year-old getting a prescription
10:08:42 3	for Percocet.
10:08:44 4	So I don't know the specifics with that question.
10:08:47 5	BY MR. LANIER:
10:08:47 6	Q All I'm driving at is if one choice can change
10:08:51 7	everything, then a bad prescription that's out there that
10:08:56 8	shouldn't be out there, that might lead to a choice, that
10:08:59 9	could change everything too. Fair?
10:09:01 10	A Well, but a good prescription can also have ripple
10:09:04 11	effects in one's life, too. I mean
10:09:06 12	Q No question. And a good choice can change things as
10:09:10 13	well; right?
10:09:10 14	A A good choice does change things.
10:09:12 15	Q But that didn't stop you from explaining to the high
10:09:16 16	school students that a bad choice can change everything;
10:09:19 17	right?
10:09:19 18	A Correct.
10:09:19 19	Q And the same is true from the position of a
10:09:21 20	pharmacist. A bad choice on dispensing can change things as
10:09:25 21	well, can't it?
10:09:25 22	A I'm not sure I
10:09:27 23	MS. MILLER: Objection.
10:09:27 24	THE COURT: Overruled.
10:09:28 25	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I can speak to

10:09:30 1	that. I'm confident when sir, when my name gets on the
10:09:34 2	bottle when I dispense a medication of any kind to my
10:09:37 3	patients, so I can't speak to the dispensing of like a bad
10:09:41 4	medication, so to speak.
10:09:42 5	BY MR. LANIER:
10:09:43 6	Q All right. Well then let's move down the road to the
10:09:45 7	store issues for a moment. Okay?
10:09:46 8	A Yes, sir.
10:09:47 9	Q You've worked in a lot of stores, haven't you?
10:09:48 10	A Yes, sir.
10:09:49 11	Q And one of the stores that you worked at was in
10:09:53 12	Mentor; correct?
10:09:55 13	A Two of them technically, sir, yes.
10:09:56 14	Q But you worked at 3326. True?
10:09:59 15	A Yes, sir. Both as an intern and as a pharmacist.
10:10:02 16	Q All right.
10:10:09 17	I'm going to hand you a document. I will hand you
10:10:13 18	Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21936. It is a report from your store
10:10:20 19	about theft or potentially significant loss dating back to
10:10:25 20	2014, Store 3326, dealing with an initial notification of
10:10:35 21	controlled substance theft or potentially significant loss.
10:10:38 22	Do you see that?
10:10:40 23	A Yes, sir.
10:10:40 24	To clarify what you just said, though, it was I
10:10:43 25	mean, I filled out this paperwork as I started at the store.

10:10:46 1	It wasn't my store, per se, like at the time of the loss.
10:10:49 2	Q Not a problem.
10:10:50 3	A Just to clarify.
10:10:51 4	${f Q}$ And if we look at it, upon taking over the CVS Store
10:10:57 5	33267, pharmacy manager Dan Blore started a narcotic
10:11:04 6	inventory of Schedule II medications for a change in
10:11:08 7	pharmacists in charge.
10:11:09 8	Do you see that?
10:11:10 9	A Yes, sir.
10:11:11 10	Q It was discovered many of the logbooks were off, both
10:11:15 11	positive and negative, for multiple medications.
10:11:19 12	Is that a good or bad thing?
10:11:22 13	A Based on what we experienced, sir, it was definitely a
10:11:27 14	headache.
10:11:27 15	<pre>Q Definitely what?</pre>
10:11:27 16	A I said it was definitely a headache for us when we
10:11:30 17	first started there.
10:11:31 18	Q No, not a headache. I was asking is that a good thing
10:11:35 19	or a bad thing when we were talking about good pharmacists'
10:11:37 20	practices and bad pharmacists' practices, is it a good or a
10:11:41 21	bad thing if the logbooks are off for multiple medications?
10:11:44 22	A Well, I think what we can agree on what we can
10:11:47 23	agree on, this is a bad thing when it comes to
10:11:50 24	recordkeeping, but this doesn't necessarily correlate to
10:11:53 25	corresponding responsibility and the practice of pharmacy by

10:11:56 1	those particular pharmacists.
10:11:57 2	Q Some narcotics were also not logged at all when
10:12:01 3	ordered.
10:12:01 4	Is that a good thing or bad thing?
10:12:03 5	A In terms of recordkeeping, that is not a good thing,
10:12:05 6	no.
10:12:05 7	Q Well, recordkeeping isn't simply something to do for
10:12:09 8	grins; you're taught back in school recordkeeping is
10:12:12 9	extremely important; correct?
10:12:14 10	A We are taught the importance of recordkeeping, yes.
10:12:16 11	Q And you're not only taught the importance of
10:12:18 12	recordkeeping, but you know, under the law, there are
10:12:21 13	certain records that have to be kept; right?
10:12:24 14	A That is correct. That's why the second we took over
10:12:26 15	the store we this is the first thing we did.
10:12:29 16	Q Multiple NDCs, what's an NDC?
10:12:33 17	A NDC is a National Drug Code. It's specific to a
10:12:38 18	manufacturer and the drug name. It's an 11-digit, you know,
10:12:43 19	sequence. I won't get into the specifics of it. I'll bore
10:12:46 20	you. But essentially what that's saying is perhaps a
10:12:50 21	medication for, you know, like Cook Pharmaceuticals was
10:12:53 22	documented under Lanier Pharmaceuticals, if that makes sense
10:12:58 23	to you.
10:12:58 24	Q Sure.
10:12:58 25	So multiple NDCs were logged under one NDC, which

	COOK Closs/ Haniel
10:13:03 1	further led to discrepancies in the logbook.
10:13:06 2	Good thing or a bad thing?
10:13:07 3	A Again, from a recordkeeping point of view, it's not a
10:13:10 4	good thing but doesn't necessarily correlate to
10:13:13 5	prescriptions being filled without corresponding
10:13:15 6	responsibility.
10:13:15 7	Q Well, but let's see what it might correlate to if wee
10:13:21 8	look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21937.
10:13:31 9	Do you have 21- you don't yet. I'm sorry,
10:13:34 10	Ms. Fleming?
10:13:34 11	A I have it, yes, sir.
10:13:35 12	Q You got it?
10:13:36 13	A Yes, sir.
10:13:36 14	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And this is a form that you submitted as a pharmacist
10:13:42 15	June 9th of 2014. True?
10:13:45 16	A I see that, yes, sir.
10:13:48 17	Q And it deals with this same store, 3326; correct?
10:13:52 18	A Yes, sir.
10:13:54 19	Q And it's got the details of the theft or loss that we
10:13:58 20	were looking at before. True?
10:14:02 21	MS. MILLER: Objection.
10:14:03 22	THE COURT: Overruled.
10:14:06 23	THE WITNESS: Yes. It does list the details.
10:14:08 24	Yes, sir.
10:14:08 25	BY MR. LANIER:

10:14:09 1	Q And it says, "Enter the name and strength of the
10:14:14 2	controlled substance lost or stolen for the medication with
10:14:16 3	the largest quantity estimated to be lost at this time."
10:14:19 4	Do you see that?
10:14:19 5	A Yes, sir, I do read that.
10:14:20 6	Q And the answer is it's oxycodone; correct?
10:14:27 7	A Yes, sir.
10:14:29 8	Q And then it says, "Estimate the number of units," so
10:14:32 9	the quantity lost for the medication named in the field
10:14:37 10	above. And it shows 220 pills lost; is that right?
10:14:42 11	A Well, two things, sir.
10:14:44 12	Number one, as you highlighted it twice on your
10:14:46 13	screen, it is estimation. Number two, we reported this as
10:14:52 14	soon as both Dan and I, we were kind of co-managing at the
10:14:57 15	time, just to clarify here. The second we realized there
10:15:00 16	was a discrepancy, we reported it.
10:15:02 17	We voluntarily invited in the DEA, Lake County
10:15:05 18	Narcotics, and the Board of Pharmacy to assist us with our
10:15:08 19	investigation. So yes, at the time our best estimate was it
10:15:10 20	was 220 Percocet. I don't recall what it ended up being on
10:15:15 21	the back end, but we just wanted to get this paperwork going
10:15:17 22	and get the correct investigative, you know, regulatory
10:15:21 23	agencies in there as quickly as possible.

Q So is this a good thing or a bad thing?

A Again, it speaks to bad recordkeeping. That doesn't

10:15:23 24

10:15:27 25

10:15:30 1	necessarily mean that, you know, these 220 tablets were, you
10:15:34 2	know, on the street for illicit purposes. What it means is
10:15:39 3	the pharmacist or pharmacists at the time were not up to
10:15:41 4	date with recordkeeping.
10:15:42 5	Q Is that a good thing or a bad thing?
10:15:44 6	A Well, as I stated before, yeah, the recordkeeping, not
10:15:46 7	a good thing.
10:15:47 8	Q Well, not only recordkeeping, you don't know whether
10:15:50 9	these are stolen or whether they're lost, whether they were
10:15:55 10	over-dispensed, whether somebody put too many in, whether
10:16:00 11	somebody took some out.
10:16:01 12	You don't have a clue, do you?
10:16:02 13	A Well, no, sir, and that's why at the time, both Dan
10:16:05 14	and I obviously were freaking out a little bit, for lack of
10:16:08 15	a better word. And we called the DEA, we called Lake County
10:16:12 16	Narcotics, we called the Board of Pharmacy, and we had a
10:16:14 17	heck of a visit to figure out.
10:16:18 18	No to my knowledge, sir, no illicit dispensing, no
10:16:23 19	theft, no anything that you just referenced was discovered.
10:16:27 20	Q Nobody knows. They just disappeared?
10:16:31 21	A Well and at the time, that's how many we thought we
10:16:33 22	lost. I can't speak into how many ended up, you know, being
10:16:37 23	found on the back end. But, again, that's why we freely
10:16:40 24	invited in those regulatory bodies to help work with them to

figure out the solution.

10:16:43 25

10:16:44 1	Q When I asked if there are good pharmacists and	
10:16:47 2	not-so-good pharmacists, would you agree with me that a good	
10:16:50 3	pharmacist is going do a good job of keeping up records,	
10:16:54 4	especially on narcotics? True?	
10:16:59 5	A I would say, yeah, a good pharmacist would have better	
10:17:02 6	recordkeeping purposes. But when you asked the question, I	
10:17:04 7	was looking at in terms of corresponding responsibility as	
10:17:07 8	it pertains to this.	
10:17:09 9	${f Q}$ And if we look beyond that, the question was also	
10:17:13 10	asked, "Enter the name and strength of the controlled	
10:17:17 11	substance lost or stolen for the medication with the second	
10:17:21 12	largest quantity."	
10:17:23 13	Do you see that as well?	
10:17:25 14	A I do, yes, sir.	
10:17:25 15	Q And here, we've got another set of oxy tablets, don't	
10:17:35 16	we?	
10:17:35 17	A Yes, I do see that.	
10:17:36 18	Q And this, the estimate was 101 that were lost or	
10:17:40 19	stolen.	
10:17:40 20	True?	
10:17:40 21	A Well, at the time, it was an estimation. And in order	
10:17:42 22	to get the DEA into our pharmacies to help us with our	
10:17:45 23	investigation, we had to fill out this report. So we filled	
10:17:48 24	it out as best we could at the time.	
10:17:50 25	I can't speak to what the final, you know, quantity	

10:17:53 1	ended up being.
10:17:55 2	Q And I understand it says estimate.
10:17:58 3	A Yes, sir.
10:17:58 4	Q But it doesn't say 100. It says 101.
10:18:02 5	A Yeah.
10:18:02 6	Q It's a pretty serious estimate. Fair?
10:18:04 7	A That's a pretty accurate estimation.
10:18:07 8	Q Yeah.
10:18:07 9	And so all I'm driving at is we've got two different
10:18:11 10	sets of oxy. We're at 321 tablets so far that are, quote,
10:18:16 11	lost or stolen; correct?
10:18:21 12	A Yeah, that's the estimation on how much that was
10:18:24 13	potentially missing at the time.
10:18:28 14	Q Well, what do you mean potentially missing. This says
10:18:31 15	provide details, not of potential theft or loss, it says
10:18:34 16	provide details of theft or loss.
10:18:36 17	Do you see that?
10:18:36 18	A Yes.
10:18:37 19	Well, again, we wanted this submitted right away just
10:18:40 20	to get the DEA, you know, boots on the ground in the store
10:18:43 21	with the Board of Pharmacy, with Lake County Narcotics so we
10:18:46 22	could work with them on the investigation just in case there
10:18:51 23	was something, you know, going on.
10:18:52 24	To my knowledge, you know, nothing was ever discovered

with it and we were told at the time, you know, just to --

10:18:55 25

		COOK CIOSS/ Hanifel
10:18:58 1	Q	We're not allowed to get into what you were told.
10:19:01 2	A	Okay.
10:19:02 3	Q	That's hearsay. So I don't want to elicit that.
10:19:05 4	A	Okay.
10:19:05 5	Q	So and then the third largest medication looks like
10:19:08 6	Vyvans	se. That's like an ADHD. That's kind of an
10:19:11 7	amphet	tamine; right?
10:19:12 8	A	Yes, sir.
10:19:12 9	Q	It's also a controlled substance, isn't it?
10:19:14 10	A	Yes, sir.
10:19:15 11	Q	So you're missing 90 tablets of that.
10:19:17 12		Now, when we talk about this, you said, quote, you
10:19:21 13	were k	kind of freaking out, closed quote.
10:19:25 14		This is a this is not a cool deal, is it?
10:19:28 15	A	Well, no, sir.
10:19:30 16	Q	And, in fact, it's a serious problem from a number of
10:19:34 17	differ	rent perspectives, you might have these pills being
10:19:40 18	stoler	n; right?
10:19:40 19	A	There was never even evidence to support theft.
10:19:43 20	Q	Never any evidence it wasn't theft, was there?
10:19:46 21	A	To my knowledge, I can't speak to that, no.
10:19:48 22	Q	No.
10:19:49 23		And it might be something that was just lost,
10:19:54 24	miscou	unted, and not paid for, or something like that; right?
10:19:57 25	A	I can't speak to, yeah, what happened.

10:20:00 1	Q	All you can speak to is that at that store, a bunch of	
10:20:04 2	it	disappeared; right?	
10:20:06 3	A	I can speak to, yeah, at the time we took over, there	
10:20:09 4	was	, yes, those counts were unaccounted for at that time.	
10:20:12 5	Q	And that's not the only time you've experienced things	
10:20:15 6	lik	e that at your stores.	
10:20:17 7		True?	
10:20:17 8	A	With regarding a loss of a controlled substance, no, I	
10:20:21 9	fil	ed this report before.	
10:20:23 10	Q	And you filed it afterwards?	
10:20:25 11	A	After after might be more accurate, yes, sir.	
10:20:27 12	Q	Yeah. I'm handing you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 20 well,	
10:20:30 13	Ms.	Fleming is handing you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21938.	
10:20:33 14		Here we've got in 2017, another entry from one of your	
10:20:38 15	sto	stores during the drug under drug loss.	
10:20:41 16		Do you see that?	
10:20:41 17	A	I do.	
10:20:42 18	Q	And this is another one where you submitted it. And	
10:20:45 19	thi	s time it's Percocet y'all have lost, another opiate;	
10:20:49 20	rig	ht?	
10:20:50 21	A	Correct.	
10:20:50 22	Q	In fact, if we look on the back, you've got two	
10:20:52 23	dif	ferent kinds, you lost 33 units of one, and then 60 units	
10:20:58 24	of	the other; correct?	
10:20:59 25	A	That is correct.	

10:21:00 1	Q And you're saying maybe this was just dispensing
10:21:04 2	errors or patients got too much; right?
10:21:09 3	A I see that, yes, sir.
10:21:11 4	Q Now, pharmacists aren't supposed to be handing out too
10:21:14 5	many opiates, are they?
10:21:16 6	A No, sir.
10:21:16 7	Q And so when we talked about whether or not there were
10:21:19 8	good pharmacists and not-so-good pharmacists, wouldn't you
10:21:22 9	agree realistically that there have been times where there
10:21:26 10	have been some issues in your stores?
10:21:30 11	A Issues as in?
10:21:31 12	Q Yeah.
10:21:32 13	Issues with proper dispensing and inventorying of
10:21:37 14	opiates.
10:21:38 15	A I can I can agree with you that I have obviously
10:21:40 16	seen issues with the inventory of opioids, yes.
10:21:44 17	100 percent.
10:21:44 18	Q All right.
10:21:45 19	Before we leave this stop on store issues, I want to
10:21:50 20	talk to you just a moment about staffing.
10:21:53 21	A Yes, sir.
10:21:53 22	Q Y'all have floaters that have to move from one store
10:21:56 23	to another; right?
10:21:57 24	A Yes, sir. That's how I started my career and what my
10:22:01 25	wife currently does for the company.

Q I understand Store 5941, you know which store that is?
A Yes, sir.
Q I understand right now that the pharmacy has even been
closed a good bit in that store with instructions for people
to go to Lake Shore Boulevard because of staffing issues;
right?
A I'm personally not aware of that, no.
Q You are unaware of that?
THE COURT: Was there an objection?
I guess not. Go ahead. You may answer.
BY MR. LANIER:
Q Are you unaware of that?
A I am unaware of that, correct.
Q All right.
So if I represent to you that we creeped on that store
yesterday and we went out to look at it just to get an idea,
and that that sign was up, that would be new to you?
A That would be new to me, yes, sir.
Q Okay. You know the doctors well?
A Generally speaking, yes.
Q So you know about Dr. Veres?
A Not that particular prescriber, no, sir.
Q Do you know about Dr. Torres?
A Not that prescriber, no, sir.
Q Do you know about Dr. Escobar?

10:23:02 1	A No, sir.
10:23:02 2	Q Do you know about Dr. Lazzarini?
10:23:06 3	A Are these Lake County doctors?
10:23:08 4	<pre>Q They're Lake and Trumbull?</pre>
10:23:10 5	A Okay.
10:23:11 6	Q They've had prescriptions filled both places.
10:23:13 7	A Okay. I am not familiar with that doctor, yes.
10:23:15 8	Q Dr. Demangone?
10:23:17 9	A Yes, I am familiar with that doctor.
10:23:18 10	Q And you know about the issues with his opiates;
10:23:21 11	correct?
10:23:21 12	A Yes, I'm aware of.
10:23:23 13	Q And are you aware of whether or not you filled any of
10:23:25 14	his prescriptions?
10:23:26 15	A I can speak to the fact that earlier on in my career
10:23:29 16	working in Lake County, I did. At some point, to the best
10:23:33 17	of my knowledge in 2014, maybe early '15, I stopped, to the
10:23:38 18	best of my knowledge.
10:23:39 19	Q Let's go to the last stop on the road. That's
10:23:43 20	limitations. And I want to ask you about some limitations.
10:23:47 21	And I've got some questions up here. We'll go at them one
10:23:50 22	at a time.
10:23:50 23	Were you a pharmacist during what has been described
10:23:52 24	to the jury as Phase 1 of the opioid epidemic, from the late
10:23:59 25	'90s into 2009?

10:24:00 1	A No, sir. I started as an intern in 2008, but I still
10:24:05 2	had, you know, my as I referenced in that story earlier,
10:24:08 3	you know, still was, you know, helping the pharmacy team
10:24:11 4	with, you know, opioid fraudulent opioid prescriptions.
10:24:14 5	Q Well, were you a pharmacist with the responsibilities
10:24:18 6	of a pharmacist during Phase 2 if we define that as 2010 to
10:24:24 7	2012?
10:24:25 8	A I became a licensed pharmacist in PA, best of my
10:24:29 9	knowledge, sir, probably around September of '12, so or
10:24:33 10	maybe August, something like that, but yeah, for the last
10:24:35 11	couple months of the year.
10:24:37 12	Q Great.
10:24:38 13	And then would you agree with me that the tools that
10:24:42 14	CVS gives you today help prevent diversion, tools like
10:24:47 15	NarxCare, for example?
10:24:49 16	Would you agree?
10:24:50 17	A I think they help us identify, I mean, not just
10:24:53 18	diversion, but also, yes, if a there's issues. Yes.
10:24:57 19	Yes, I'll agree.
10:24:58 20	Q Would you agree with me that Rx Connect has modified
10:25:01 21	over the years or decades since it first came out?
10:25:04 22	A Yes.
10:25:08 23	Q And when you were speaking about it, you're speaking
10:25:10 24	about it in today's incarnation. Fair?
10:25:14 25	A That is a fair assessment.

10:25:15 1	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Now, in your legal training, did they cover the $East$
10:25:20 2	Main case with you?
10:25:20 3	A Not to my knowledge, no, sir, that does not ring a
10:25:24 4	bell.
10:25:24 5	Q Did they cover the <i>Holiday</i> CVS case?
10:25:27 6	A No, sir. That doesn't ring a bell to my knowledge.
10:25:30 7	Q And it doesn't ring a bell even with you today, does
10:25:32 8	it?
10:25:32 9	A No, sir.
10:25:41 10	${f Q}$ I want to try and understand Rx Connect one last time
10:25:44 11	even in today's incarnation. Okay?
10:25:49 12	A Yes, sir.
10:25:49 13	Q In today's incarnation, let's talk about what I think
10:25:53 14	you can't see. And you fix this if I've got it wrong.
10:25:55 15	Okay?
10:25:55 16	A Okay. I'm with you.
10:25:56 17	Q Does it give you information about CVS's
10:26:01 18	investigations into suspicious prescribers?
10:26:05 19	A No, sir.
10:26:08 20	Q Does it give you information about CVS's analysts
10:26:13 21	no, about CVS's analysis of store dispensing habits?
10:26:19 22	A It does not, no, sir.
10:26:21 23	Q Does it give you information about whether prescribers
10:26:25 24	are top volume prescribers for hydrocodone or oxycodone?
10:26:34 25	A No.

10:26:36 1	Q Does it give you information about whether doctors are
10:26:38 2	the top prescriber for a share of controlled drugs versus
10:26:42 3	non-controlled drugs?
10:26:46 4	A I mean, like a pain management doctor obviously writes
10:26:50 5	a lot more of a controlled drug than a non-controlled drug.
10:26:53 6	I'm a little confused by the phrasing of that.
10:26:56 7	Q But will your Rx Connect give you the information
10:26:58 8	about whether or not this doctor is a top prescriber for the
10:27:02 9	shared controlled versus uncontrolled, or is that something
10:27:05 10	you've just got to compute like oh, he's a pain doctor, it
10:27:09 11	makes sense?
10:27:10 12	A It's something that, yeah, my years of practicing and
10:27:12 13	living in Lake County, like I can identify which prescriber
10:27:15 14	probably does write proportionately more controlled but does
10:27:19 15	the system provide that information, the answer is no.
10:27:22 16	Q And does the system itself give you an alert for red
10:27:27 17	flags that might be determined by the system?
10:27:31 18	A Well, the pharmacist practicing corresponding
10:27:35 19	responsibility is the one to identify a red flag. I mean,
10:27:38 20	or a potential red flag, I should actually say.
10:27:41 21	I the computer system alerting me wouldn't make me
10:27:45 22	any more diligence in, you know, practicing my own
10:27:48 23	corresponding responsibility.
10:27:49 24	I'm aware of potential red flags, how to resolve them.
10:27:52 25	I really don't need the computer to tell me how to do it.

10:27:54 1	Q All right.
10:27:55 2	That's whether or not you need it, but my question is
10:27:57 3	does the computer give it.
10:27:59 4	A Well, and it depends, sir, like on your definition of
10:28:02 5	a red flag. So let's say you want to tell me that a
10:28:06 6	patient, you know, who lives in Mentor who sees a specialist
10:28:10 7	in Akron for pain, you know, that medication, that patient
10:28:14 8	address, that doctor address is provided to me in Rx
10:28:16 9	Connect.
10:28:16 10	Q The doctor's address is, but does it alert you as a
10:28:19 11	red flag, does it say some of the other defendants have
10:28:22 12	something that will show red or something like that.
10:28:24 13	Does it do that for you?
10:28:25 14	A No, it doesn't do that, but again, being a
10:28:28 15	Lake County, an Ohio resident for the 25 years, I can tell
10:28:32 16	that particular potential red flag.
10:28:33 17	Q Okay. I'm at the end of the road. Thank you again.
10:28:36 18	I wish you the best, especially with those three kids.
10:28:41 19	A Yes. Thank you.
10:28:42 20	Q Um-hmm.
10:28:43 21	THE COURT: Okay. I think if any of the
10:28:45 22	jurors have any questions for Mr. Cook, if you'd provide
10:28:50 23	those to Mr. Pitts, we'll take our med-morning break and
10:28:54 24	then pick up with the juror questions and any counsel
10:28:57 25	follow-up questions.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF KENNETH COOK 10:52:21 1 BY MS. MILLER: 10:52:24 2 10:52:24 3 Mr. Cook, the jurors have some questions for you so I'm just going to put them right up here. 10:52:26 4 Mr. Pitts, could I have the ELMO, please? 10:52:28 5 The first question from one of our jurors is do you 10:52:36 6 10:52:40 7 document refusal to fills or do you just hand the script 10:52:42 8 back to the patient? 10:52:47 9 That's a good question. 10:52:49 10 My general practice when I refuse to fill a 10:52:52 11 prescription, I will document on the hard copy the reason 10:52:54 12 why, whether it's something I found or whether it's 10:52:56 13 something I couldn't resolve. 10:52:58 14 Ultimately, the script is the property of the patient. 10:53:01 15 So unless, you know, it was voided by the doctor, we have to 10:53:04 16 hand that prescription back to the patient. 10:53:18 17 Are controlled substance medications counted and 10:53:23 18 results logged daily? 10:53:27 19 Why don't we start with that question. 10:53:28 20 Okay. 10:53:29 21 So speaking to just -- I'll start with opioids. 10:53:33 22 are logged. Inventory is once a month. We do a handful of 10:53:36 23 counts a day, but by the end of like a month, we would have 10:53:40 24 inventoried the entire safe.

With controlled substance medications, yes, we also

10:53:42 25

10:53:45 1 it's called a cycle count. We count those. Not every day, but over the course of a month, they do get counted. 10:53:49 2 And where do you record those inventory results? 10:53:53 Our inventory system is automated now. It's part of 10:53:57 4 Rx Connect, like part of the system. If I were to find a 10:54:03 5 10:54:06 6 discrepancy or there were an issue with inventory, it will 10:54:09 7 alert me, you know, that what I entered is incorrect, you 10:54:13 8 know, allowing me time to maybe go back and recount what I 10:54:17 9 counted. And the second juror question, are these counts double 10:54:17 10 checked by another person? 10:54:22 11 10:54:25 12 Α No. 10:54:26 13 However, over the course of the month, you know, you 10:54:28 14 will have multiple pharmacists counting them. As pharmacy 10:54:32 15 manager, for example, it's not my sole responsibility. There are -- it's the responsibility of the pharmacist on 10:54:36 16 duty that particular day when that count drops to get it 10:54:38 17 10:54:41 18 done. So it's not double checked, per se, but it's not all 10:54:44 19 just done by me. 10:54:46 20 As a CVS pharmacist, do you have to document resolutions of red flags? If so, where do you document 10:54:50 21 10:54:55 22 resolutions? 10:54:57 23 Yes. Α 10:54:58 24 So, yeah, CVS, part of their policy is, and part of my

training was to document the resolution of a red flag.

10:55:02 25

Again, speaking to my early answer, it's my general
practice to -- documentation is typically done in the
patient profile in Rx Connect. It can also be done on the
hard copy.

That's more so what I did back when I started, you
know, as a pharmacist before we could add notes to a patient

profile. So either one of those options.

10:55:24 7

10:55:32 8

10:55:36 9

10:55:37 10

10:55:40 11

10:55:44 12

10:55:47 13

10:55:52 14

10:55:55 15

10:55:59 16

10:56:02 17

10:56:04 18

10:56:06 19

10:56:09 20

10:56:11 21

10:56:14 22

10:56:16 23

10:56:20 24

10:56:23 25

Q And this is similar to one of the other juror questions we had at the start.

If a CVS pharmacist decides to refuse to fill a prescription, what is the process of that? Are there certain documents that have to be filled out?

A So refusing to fill, again, so we're going to -- let's -- in this hypothetical, say we're in a situation where there's a red flag that I can't resolve. I will just communicate very clearly to the patient that, you know, unfortunately, you know, there's an issue -- issue was found, you know, filling this prescription, you know. I need more information on it. We always give them the option, you know, to leave the prescription until we can resolve it.

In this situation, you know, it doesn't specifically say, but, you know, I just -- I'm honest with the patient.

You know, you don't lie to them. You just say, you know, there's an issue, I have more questions, and I can't fill

6585

Cook - Redirect/Miller

this at this time or I can't fill it until I hear back more information from the doctor.

Documents that have to be filled out, not to my knowledge. There are circumstances if the prescription is deemed to be fraudulent, then we are required to notify Board of Pharmacy as well as local law enforcement. But assuming it's not a fraudulent prescription, there is no —to my knowledge, there's no legal requirement for a refusal to fill documentation.

Q Do you have incidents where non-controlled medications are missing in the hundreds?

A Yes.

10:56:25 1

10:56:28 2

10:56:29 3

10:56:33 4

10:56:35 5

10:56:40 6

10:56:43 7

10:56:47 8

10:56:50 9

10:57:02 10

10:57:08 11

10:57:12 12

10:57:14 13

10:57:20 14

10:57:22 15

10:57:24 16

10:57:28 17

10:57:31 18

10:57:34 19

10:57:38 20

10:57:40 21

10:57:44 22

10:57:47 23

10:57:51 24

10:57:55 25

You know, we'll have people who misfile. Controlled medications, ladies and gentlemen, typically come in like a bottle of a hundred or smaller, typically speaking. Most of your blood pressure medications, most of your diabetes medications -- well, not most, but a good amount of them come in bottles of 500 or a thousand.

So the discrepancy earlier, you know, yes, we do see instances where non-controlled medications are missing, could be on the wrong spot on the shelf. Yes. That does happen.

Q Are controlled substances, in your experience, the medications that go missing most often when you check inventory?

Cook - Redirect/Miller

aspects, ranging from like, you know, my -- like a score.

10:57:56 1	A So speaking to my experience, the answer is no.
10:57:59 2	Keep in mind, ladies and gentlemen, controlled
10:58:02 3	medications are a very, very small percentage of the
10:58:05 4	prescriptions that like I, as a pharmacist, fill on a
10:58:07 5	typical day.
10:58:08 6	The majority of scripts that we have, like an
10:58:11 7	inventory discrepancy, are non-controlled medications that
10:58:14 8	we fill far more often of.
10:58:16 9	For example, if I fill, you know, for those of you
10:58:19 10	familiar with, like, you know, Metformin for diabetes, we
10:58:24 11	fill maybe like a thousand tablets a day. Over the course
10:58:27 12	of a month, that's extremely more likely to be off than a
10:58:30 13	controlled medication.
10:58:37 14	Q And the final question, what does the yearly
10:58:44 15	evaluation process look like at CVS? Who completes the
10:58:49 16	evaluations? Rubric? Are these evaluations tied to
10:58:54 17	maintaining licensure or just for CVS?
10:58:57 18	A So, yes, the yearly evaluation process is typically
10:59:01 19	completed in March of April or the prior calendar year.
10:59:05 20	It's completed by my boss.
10:59:07 21	So when I was a pharmacist working in the store, it
10:59:09 22	was my pharmacy supervisor and/or district leader. Now that
10:59:13 23	I'm a district leader, it's obviously a little different.
10:59:16 24	There is a rubric that they go off of based on various

10:59:21 25

There's also like my personality, you know, just my ability to lead a team.

What is evaluated? So again, -- and it's changed over the years, it's evolved. What's not evaluated anymore is like work flow scores, how efficiently we're processing prescriptions.

What's more evaluated, there's something called community responsibility. We have an independent auditor team come in and audit our pharmacies on a monthly basis to ensure -- try to find compliance with various things.

That's a component.

Service scores for the store are a component. And then there's also for lack of a better word, I don't know what CVS calls it, like the human component, like my ability as a leader.

So if I had a bad evaluation year based on what I listed prior, they might say, well, you know, you're a good guy, tough situation maybe.

Evaluation tied to continuing licensure? No, it's just for CVS. I mean, if I did something bad enough to get a -- you know, get my license suspended, I'm probably not getting a yearly evaluation, but, no, that's just for internal CVS purposes.

Q All right.

I just have a few follow-up questions for you, Mr.

10:59:32 3
10:59:32 3
10:59:36 4
10:59:40 5
10:59:43 6
10:59:44 7
10:59:46 8
10:59:50 9
10:59:53 10
10:59:57 11
10:59:58 12
11:00:02 13

11:00:05 **14** 11:00:08 **15**

11:00:09 **16** 11:00:12 **17**

11:00:15 18

11:00:22 20

11:00:19 19

11:00:25 21 11:00:30 22

11:00:33 23

11:00:37 24

11:00:37 25

11:00:41 1	Cook.
11:00:41 2	A Absolutely.
11:00:42 3	Q I want to take you back for a moment to the
11:00:47 4	recordkeeping inventory-related issue that Mr. Lanier raised
11:00:52 5	with you at Store 3326.
11:00:54 6	Do you remember that?
11:00:55 7	A I do remember, yes.
11:00:56 8	Q And you had just assumed responsibility as the
11:01:02 9	pharmacy manager of 3326 when you discovered the paperwork
11:01:08 10	inventory issue; right?
11:01:08 11	A That is correct.
11:01:10 12	Q And you testified earlier that you contacted the DEA;
11:01:15 13	correct?
11:01:15 14	A That is correct.
11:01:16 15	Q You contacted the Board of Pharmacy?
11:01:18 16	A Correct.
11:01:18 17	Q Did you also contact Lake County Narcotics?
11:01:21 18	A Yes, and I omitted to say I obviously also contacted
11:01:26 19	my CVS supervisor as well.
11:01:28 20	Q And did all of those entities that you just named, did
11:01:31 21	they visit the store?
11:01:32 22	A Yes.
11:01:34 23	Q And did you work with them during those visits to
11:01:39 24	discuss the issue and share with them what you had learned?
11:01:42 25	A Yes, we did.

	Cook - Redirect/Miller
11:01:43 1	Q Did you subsequently correct the paperwork issues?
11:01:46 2	A Yes.
11:01:47 3	Q And did any of those regulators issue any citations?
11:01:52 4	A No, not to my knowledge, there was no citation.
11:01:57 5	Q Any fines?
11:01:57 6	A Not to my knowledge, no.
11:02:02 7	Q Back to Rx Connect for just one moment.
11:02:05 8	Mr. Lanier was asking you a little bit about alerts in
11:02:09 9	Rx Connect.
11:02:10 10	Do you recall that?
11:02:11 11	A I do recall that questioning.
11:02:12 12	Q And just one question on this.
11:02:16 13	Do you feel you need any more alerts than you already
11:02:20 14	have to exercise corresponding responsibility?
11:02:23 15	A I do not.
11:02:29 16	Q Last, Mr. Cook. Mr. Lanier also asked you about a
11:02:34 17	Dr. David Demangone.
11:02:37 18	Do you recall that?
11:02:38 19	A I recall that question.
11:02:39 20	Q And you testified that around 2014, you stopped
11:02:43 21	filling controlled substance prescriptions for
11:02:48 22	Dr. Demangone; is that right?
11:02:48 23	A To the best of my knowledge, that date is correct,
11:02:50 24	yes.
11:02:50 25	Q And to the best of your knowledge, had others of your

11:02:53 1	colleagues at CVS also stopped filling prescriptions for
11:02:59 2	Dr. Demangone?
11:03:00 3	A Yes, they had.
11:03:01 4	Q Are you aware that Dr. Demangone has a sign in his
11:03:04 5	office regarding CVS?
11:03:06 6	A Yes, I am aware of that sign.
11:03:07 7	Q And what does that sign say?
11:03:09 8	A It says taped, like right when you would walk in to
11:03:14 9	check in with your doctor's office where they might have all
11:03:16 10	those fliers, it says, "Do not fill RX at CVS," also says or
11:03:22 11	Walmart.
11:03:22 12	Q And, Mr. Cook, I'm showing you CVS-MDL-04243.
11:03:31 13	A Yes.
11:03:31 14	Q Do you recognize this sign?
11:03:32 15	A I do. I recognize that sign.
11:03:34 16	Q And is that the sign that you've seen in
11:03:38 17	Dr. Demangone's office?
11:03:38 18	A Yes, I have personally seen that sign, yes.
11:03:42 19	MS. MILLER: Thank you very much, Mr. Cook.
11:03:44 20	That's all I have.
11:03:44 21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11:03:47 22	THE COURT: I assume nothing from any other
11:03:49 23	defendants?
11:03:50 24	MR. STOFFELMAYR: No, Your Honor.
11:03:51 25	MR. MAJORAS: No, sir.

Cook - Recross/Lanier

11:03:52 1	THE COURT: All right.
11:03:52 2	Mr. Lanier, anything from you?
11:03:54 3	MR. LANIER: I'm going to ask one one or
11:03:57 4	two quickies.
11:03:58 5	THE COURT: All right.
11:04:03 6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF KENNETH COOK
11:04:05 7	BY MR. LANIER
11:04:05 8	Q Did you do a check on this when you saw the sign?
11:04:08 9	When did you see it, by the way?
11:04:10 10	A It was earlier this year. Maybe several several
11:04:14 11	weeks ago to the best of my knowledge.
11:04:16 12	Q Several weeks ago, getting ready to testify in this
11:04:19 13	case?
11:04:19 14	A I actually was a pharmacist at 4351, across the street
11:04:23 15	at that time, and his office was literally across I'm
11:04:25 16	sorry. I don't know the name of the street, so just figured
11:04:28 17	I'd pop in and see it.
11:04:29 18	Q Well, yeah. I understand. And I'm not I don't
11:04:32 19	mean to fuss over it. I'm just making sure I've got the
11:04:35 20	timing right.
11:04:35 21	A Yes, sir, several weeks.
11:04:36 22	Q Yeah.
11:04:37 23	But in the meantime, did you bother to check to see
11:04:39 24	whether or not the dosage data that y'all have given us
11:04:45 25	shows that CVS filled 2,765,660 dosage units for

Cook - Recross/Lanier

11:04:55 1	Dr. Demangone over the last number of years that y'all have
11:05:00 2	given us the data?
11:05:02 3	MS. MILLER: Objection.
11:05:04 4	Q Did you look?
11:05:05 5	THE COURT: Overruled.
11:05:06 6	THE WITNESS: The question is did I look?
11:05:07 7	BY MR. LANIER:
11:05:08 8	Q Yeah, to see how much y'all filled of the gentleman,
11:05:10 9	aside from just seeing the sign in his window now?
11:05:15 10	MS. MILLER: Objection, Your Honor.
11:05:17 11	THE COURT: Overruled.
11:05:17 12	THE WITNESS: With all due respect, I don't
11:05:19 13	know if I'd have access to that company-wide data.
11:05:23 14	MR. LANIER: Okay. Thank you.
11:05:23 15	That's all I have, Your Honor.
11:05:24 16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much, sir.
11:05:27 17	We appreciate your testimony, and you may step down.
11:05:29 18	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
11:05:39 19	MS. SWIFT: Your Honor, may we proceed with
11:05:41 20	our next witness?
11:05:42 21	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
11:05:43 22	MS. SWIFT: The pharmacies call Demetra Ashley
11:05:46 23	by deposition.
11:05:47 24	Ms. Ashley worked at the Drug Enforcement
11:05:50 25	Administration for more than 30 years. When she retired in

2018, she was a senior administrator in the DEA's Office of
Diversion Control, a similar role to the role that
Joe Rannazzisi held for a period of time before her.

During her career at DEA, Ms. Ashley also worked as a diversion investigator in Detroit and Chicago for a number of years and was head of the Chicago's field division before moving to DEA headquarters.

The pharmacies questioning of Ms. Ashley is about 44 minutes long. Plaintiffs' questioning is about 19 minutes long.

DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF DEMETRA ASHLEY

BY MS. SWIFT

11:06:06 4

11:06:09 5

11:06:12 6

11:06:15 7

11:06:17 8

11:06:21 9

11:06:24 10

11:06:24 11

11:06:24 12

11:06:35 13

11:06:37 14

11:06:40 15

11:06:42 16

11:06:44 17

11:06:45 18

11:06:47 19

11:06:50 20

11:06:54 21

11:06:54 22

11:06:56 23

11:07:01 24

11:07:03 25

Q Good morning, Ms. Ashley.

My name is Kate Swift and I represent Walgreens. Thank you for being with us here today.

My first question for you is do you live and work in Chicago?

A Yes.

Q If I understand your resumè correctly, you worked at the Drug Enforcement Administration for more than 30 years; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Did you work as a DEA diversion investigator in both Detroit and Chicago for a number of years?

A Yes.

11:07:05 1	Q Were you also head of the Chicago field division for
11:07:08 2	the DEA for a number of years?
11:07:11 3	A For diversion, yes.
11:07:13 4	Q In that role as head of DEA's Chicago Field Division
11:07:18 5	Office For Diversion, were you in charge of diversion
11:07:22 6	control for five states?
11:07:24 7	A Yes.
11:07:25 8	Q Is that what you were doing from around 2007 until
11:07:29 9	2015?
11:07:30 10	A Yes.
11:07:31 11	Q I understand you also worked at DEA headquarters for a
11:07:35 12	number of years in different roles; is that right?
11:07:38 13	A Yes.
11:07:39 14	Q Were you the acting assistant administrator for DEA's
11:07:43 15	Office of Diversion Control for a period of time?
11:07:47 16	A Yes.
11:07:49 17	Q That was a senior executive role?
11:07:54 18	A Senior executive, correct.
11:07:55 19	Q When did you leave the DEA?
11:07:57 20	A March of 2018.
11:07:58 21	Q Ms. Ashley, in your experience, with more than
11:08:02 22	30 years at the DEA, is it your understanding that DEA
11:08:05 23	regulates medications that are classified as controlled
11:08:09 24	substances?
11:08:09 25	A Yes.

11:08:10 1	Q	Does that include prescription opioid medications such
11:08:13 2	a	s oxycodone?
11:08:15 3	A	Yes.
11:08:16 4	Q	Can a doctor write a prescription for an opioid
11:08:19 5	me	edication without a DEA registration?
11:08:22 6	A	No.
11:08:26 7	Q	Do prescribers have to renew their DEA registration on
11:08:30 8	a	regular basis?
11:08:31 9	A	Yes.
11:08:32 10	Q	Do you recall how often prescribers have to renew
11:08:36 11	t]	heir DEA registration?
11:08:38 12	A	Every three years.
11:08:40 13	Q	Can the DEA revoke a doctor's registration to
11:08:43 14	p	rescribe opioids if DEA determines that that prescriber's
11:08:48 15	d	oing so is not in the public interest?
11:08:51 16	A	Yes.
11:08:52 17	Q	Can the DEA investigate and recommend criminal charges
11:08:56 18	a	gainst doctors who write illegitimate prescriptions for
11:09:00 19	0]	pioids?
11:09:00 20	A	Yes.
11:09:02 21	Q	What is a rogue pain clinic?
11:09:04 22	A	It's a clinic where they are likely operating we
11:09:11 23	u	sed to call them pill mills, where there's, you know, lines
11:09:14 24	01	ut the door and physicians are writing prescriptions, you
11:09:18 25	kı	now, for no legitimate medical need, like, they're not

11:09:21 1	they're not within compliance of the Controlled Substances
11:09:24 2	Act.
11:09:24 3	Q Is a pill mill the same thing as a rogue pain clinic,
11:09:28 4	in your understanding?
11:09:29 5	A Pretty much, yeah.
11:09:30 6	${f Q}$ At rogue pain clinics and pill mills, is it your
11:09:33 7	understanding, from your experience at the DEA, that doctors
11:09:37 8	were both writing and dispensing pain medications without a
11:09:40 9	legitimate medical purpose?
11:09:42 10	A Yes, on some occasions, yes.
11:09:45 11	Q Based on your experience at DEA, is it true that pill
11:09:50 12	mills were a problem all over the country in this time
11:09:54 13	frame?
11:09:54 14	A Yeah, I think so. Yes.
11:09:56 15	\mathbf{Q} Was that true in 2011, 2012, 2013, that pill mills
11:10:00 16	were a problem all over the country?
11:10:03 17	A I believe so, yes.
11:10:08 18	$oldsymbol{Q}$ You already testified that rogue pain clinics are
11:10:11 19	basically the same thing as pill mills.
11:10:14 20	Is it fair to say that rogue pain clinics were also a
11:10:17 21	problem all over the country in the 2011, 2012, 20313 time
11:10:23 22	frame?
11:10:23 23	A I believe so.
11:10:26 24	${f Q}$ Would you agree that rogue pain clinics and pill mills
11:10:30 25	were a big part of the prescription opioid problem in the

11:10:34 1	2011, 2012, 2013 time frame?
11:10:37 2	A Yes. I believe it was part of the problem, yes.
11:10:40 3	\mathbf{Q} Do you agree, based on your 30-plus years at DEA, that
11:10:44 4	pharmacy employees have been an important source of
11:10:47 5	cooperation and assistance in investigations of pill mills
11:10:50 6	over the years?
11:10:52 7	A I believe they have been an important source, yes.
11:10:58 8	MS. SWIFT: This will be Exhibit 5 to the
11:11:00 9	deposition.
11:11:03 10	BY MS. SWIFT:
11:11:04 11	Q Ms. Ashley, do you recognize what I marked as
11:11:07 12	Exhibit 5 as a statement that you gave to the Senate
11:11:13 13	Judiciary Committee in 2017?
11:11:17 14	A Yes.
11:11:19 15	Q If you turn to Page 4, I would like to ask you about
11:11:26 16	your statement in the bottom paragraph.
11:11:31 17	You told the Senate Judiciary Committee that since
11:11:35 18	2014, DEA has observed a decline in prescriptions written
11:11:38 19	for certain Schedule II opioids; is that right?
11:11:43 20	A That's right.
11:11:50 21	Q Would you agree that doctors in general stopped
11:11:52 22	writing so many opioid prescriptions in that time frame, not
11:11:55 23	just bad doctors who may have been operating out of a pill
11:11:59 24	mill or a pain clinic, a rogue pain clinic.
11:12:03 25	Would you agree with that?

11:12:03 1	A Yeah, it's likely, yes.
11:12:05 2	Q Following the decrease in doctors writing
11:12:10 3	prescriptions for opioids, DEA reduced the amount of opioids
11:12:15 4	that could be manufactured each year; correct?
11:12:20 5	A Yeah. But, again, that's part of the consideration.
11:12:23 6	But, yes, that did happen.
11:12:25 7	Q In your statement to the Senate Judiciary Committee
11:12:31 8	that I marked as Exhibit 5, you said in October 2016, DEA
11:12:39 9	announced a 25 percent reduction or more in the 2017 APQs,
11:12:46 10	or quotas, for many prescription opioids, including
11:12:51 11	oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl, hydromorphone and
11:12:55 12	morphine; correct?
11:12:55 13	A Correct.
11:12:57 14	${f Q}$ You went on to say that hydrocodone was reduced to
11:13:02 15	66 percent of the previous year's 2016 level; correct?
11:13:07 16	A Correct.
11:13:08 17	Q Do you see that, what I've marked as Exhibit 6, is a
11:13:18 18	hearing transcript from the Committee on the Judiciary of
11:13:22 19	the House of Congress from May of 2018?
11:13:27 20	A Okay.
11:13:28 21	Q And if you'd look at Page 3, the table of contents,
11:13:33 22	you can see that the witnesses who testified, the first one
11:13:37 23	on the list is Robert W. Patterson, Acting Administrator,
11:13:42 24	Drug Enforcement Administration.
11:13:42 25	Do you see that?

11:13:44 1	A Yes.
11:13:46 2	Q Turn, if you would, please, to Page 32 of this hearing
11:13:53 3	transcript that I marked as Exhibit 6.
11:13:59 4	Do you see close to the top of the page where it says
11:14:03 5	Mr. Patterson?
11:14:04 6	A Yes.
11:14:06 7	Q Mr. Patterson testified, "I look at the vast majority
11:14:10 8	of doctors, 99.99 percent are all trying to do right by
11:14:16 9	their patients."
11:14:16 10	Do you agree with that statement based on your
11:14:18 11	experience at DEA?
11:14:20 12	A I believe the vast majority, yes, are trying to do the
11:14:23 13	right thing, yes.
11:14:25 14	$oldsymbol{Q}$ When those vast majority of doctors who are trying to
11:14:29 15	do right by their patients, when they write prescriptions
11:14:32 16	for opioid medications, would you agree that it's
11:14:36 17	appropriate for pharmacists to fill those legitimate
11:14:39 18	prescriptions?
11:14:40 19	A Sure, if they make an independent judgment, yeah.
11:14:44 20	Yes.
11:14:45 21	Q And, in fact, would you also agree that part of DEA's
11:14:48 22	mission is to ensure an adequate supply of controlled
11:14:54 23	substance medications, including opioids, to meet the
11:14:56 24	legitimate medical needs of patients?
11:14:59 25	A Yes.

11:15:00 1	Q Would you agree, Ms. Ashley, that even if a doctor
11:15:04 2	does right by her patients and writes a legitimate
11:15:08 3	prescription, and the pharmacist properly fills that
11:15:12 4	prescription in her professional judgment, that it is a
11:15:16 5	legitimate prescription, even if those things both happen,
11:15:20 6	it is still possible for that medication to get into the
11:15:23 7	wrong hands through no fault of the pharmacist or the
11:15:26 8	doctor?
11:15:27 9	A That is possible, yes.
11:15:29 10	Q All right.
11:15:30 11	Turn back to what was it was in Exhibit Q. So you
11:15:37 12	took it out of the envelope. I'll tell you what it is on
11:15:41 13	the first page.
11:15:42 14	A I tried to keep them organized. Let's see.
11:15:46 15	Q This is the one that was marked as Exhibit 1 and it's
11:15:51 16	your PowerPoint presentation that says Pharmacy Track, Drug
11:15:57 17	Enforcement Administration Regulations Update on the first
11:15:59 18	page. It's got a green and blue banner.
11:16:02 19	A This one, yes.
11:16:03 20	Q Yeah. That's it. Okay.
11:16:04 21	We're going to go to Page 10 of Exhibit 1. And I
11:16:09 22	should ask you, do you recall giving this presentation?
11:16:12 23	A I don't specifically recall giving it, but I've I'm
11:16:15 24	familiar with this, I think. I'm pretty certain it's me,

yeah, that gave this.

11:16:18 25

11:16:19 1	Q On Page 10 of your presentation marked as Exhibit 1,
11:16:25 2	you wrote, "Most frequent method of obtaining pharmaceutical
11:16:29 3	controlled substance for non-medical use, friends and
11:16:33 4	familyfor free," correct?
11:16:35 5	A Yes.
11:16:36 6	Q Would you agree with me that those prescriptions that
11:16:40 7	people may obtain from their friends or their family, those
11:16:43 8	prescriptions may have been legitimately written?
11:16:46 9	A Yes.
11:16:48 10	Q Would you agree with me that those prescriptions may
11:16:52 11	have been legitimately filled by a pharmacist?
11:16:54 12	A Yes.
11:16:54 13	Q Does the DEA, in your understanding, register every
11:16:58 14	pharmacy that dispenses controlled substances to patients?
11:17:04 15	A Yes.
11:17:06 16	MS. SWIFT: This will be Exhibit 8 to
11:17:08 17	Ms. Ashley's deposition.
11:17:10 18	BY MS. SWIFT:
11:17:10 19	Q Ms. Ashley, do you see that this is the DEA's
11:17:13 20	Pharmacist's Manual that I marked as Exhibit 8?
11:17:15 21	A Yes.
11:17:17 22	Q Is the DEA's Pharmacist's Manual, is that, in your
11:17:23 23	experience, is that published guidance from DEA for
11:17:27 24	pharmacists on the Controlled Substances Act?
11:17:32 25	A Yes.

11:17:34 1	Q Do you see the heading "Renewal of Pharmacy
11:17:37 2	Registration" on Page 14?
11:17:39 3	A Yes.
11:17:41 4	Q It says, "A pharmacy registration must be renewed
11:17:44 5	every three years"
11:17:46 6	A Three years, okay. Yes.
11:17:47 7	${f Q}$ Was that was the same true when you were at the
11:17:51 8	DEA?
11:17:52 9	A Yes.
11:17:55 10	Q Now, if you'll look at Page 18 of the Pharmacist's
11:18:04 11	Manual, do you see the heading, "Denial of Registration in
11:18:08 12	the Public Interest"?
11:18:09 13	A Yes.
11:18:10 14	Q Is it correct that DEA can deny a pharmacy a
11:18:15 15	registration if it if DEA deems that to be in the public
11:18:20 16	interest?
11:18:20 17	A Yes.
11:18:23 18	Q Was that also true when you were at the DEA?
11:18:25 19	A Yes.
11:18:27 20	Q Does DEA also have the authority to suspended or
11:18:32 21	revoke a pharmacy's DEA registration?
11:18:35 22	A Yes.
11:18:37 23	Q Does the DEA have the authority to inspect each
11:18:41 24	pharmacy that it registers in person and review its records?
11:18:46 25	A Yes, they have the authority to do that.

11:18:49	1	Q	Does DEA have access to data regarding the pharmacy's
11:18:52	2	purch	ases and sales of controlled substances?
11:18:56	3	A	Yes.
11:18:57	4	Q	Ms. Ashley, based on your experience at DEA, does DEA
11:19:01	5	use t	he types of data that you just testified about, ARCOS
11:19:06	6	shipp	ing data, state prescription monitoring data, to
11:19:09	7	inves	tigate pharmacies?
11:19:11	8	A	Yes.
11:19:12	9	Q	Does DEA use that type of data to investigate doctors?
11:19:17	10	A	Yes.
11:19:18	11	Q	Does DEA use that type of data to investigate
11:19:20	12	indiv	idual patients?
11:19:23	13	A	I well, some of it, yes. Not ARCOS, I don't think,
11:19:30	14	no, b	ut PDMP, yes.
11:19:34	15	Q	Does DEA use that type of data to help ensure that
11:19:40	16	pharm	acies are following the law?
11:19:42	17	A	Yes.
11:19:43	18	Q	DEA's regulation on the filling of controlled
11:19:50	19	subst	ance prescriptions by pharmacists is called the
11:19:55 2	20	Corre	sponding Responsibility Regulation; is that right?
11:19:58 2	21	A	Yes.
11:20:00 2	22	Q	If you'll take a look in the Pharmacist's Manual,
11:20:05 2	23	which	is Exhibit 8, at Page 42.
11:20:13	24		Do you see that the Corresponding Responsibility
11:20:16	25	Regul	ation is described there?

11:20:17	1	A	Yes.
11:20:17	2	Q	That regulation is 21 CFR 1306.04(a), correct?
11:20:24	3	A	Yes.
11:20:26	4	Q	The Corresponding Responsibility Regulation says that,
11:20:31	5	"A pha	armacist has a corresponding responsibility for the
11:20:33	6	prope	r dispensing of controlled substances," correct?
11:20:37	7	A	Yes.
11:20:38	8	Q	That was true the entire time you were at the DEA as
11:20:42	9	well;	right?
11:20:43	10	A	Correct.
11:20:45	11	Q	And the next paragraph of the Pharmacist's Manual, at
11:20:50	12	Page '	42, DEA's guidance, is that, "A pharmacist is required
11:20:55	13	to exe	ercise sound professional judgment and to adhere to
11:20:59	14	profe	ssional standards when making a determination about the
11:21:02	15	legit	imacy of a controlled substance prescription," correct?
11:21:07	16	A	Correct.
11:21:08	17	Q	Do you agree with that, based on your experience at
11:21:11	18	DEA?	
11:21:12	19	A	Yes, I agree with that.
11:21:14	20	Q	Would you agree that there are many ways a pharmacist
11:21:17	21	might	satisfy herself that a prescription for a controlled
11:21:20	22	subst	ance is legitimate?
11:21:22	23	A	Yes.
11:21:23	24	Q	For example, a pharmacist might talk to the patient
11:21:26	25	about	a drug combination to make sure the patient

11:21:30	1	understands the potential side effects. That's one way she
11:21:33	2	might resolve the red flag?
11:21:34	3	A That's one way, yes.
11:21:36	4	Q A pharmacist might also call the doctor to get a
11:21:38	5	better understanding of the prescription.
11:21:40	6	Would you agree with that?
11:21:41	7	A I agree.
11:21:42	8	Q The pharmacist might check the State Prescription Drug
11:21:45	9	Monitoring Program to see if the patient has been filling
11:21:48	10	similar prescriptions at other pharmacies.
11:21:49	11	Would you agree with that?
11:21:50	12	A I agree.
11:21:51	13	Q Would you agree that checking the State Prescription
11:21:55	L 4	Drug Monitoring Program may be required depending on state
11:21:58	15	law?
11:21:58	16	A I agree.
11:21:59	L7	Q Would you agree with me that the pharmacist might
11:22:03	18	document what she did, particularly if she thinks there is a
11:22:07	19	red flag on a prescription?
11:22:09 2	20	A I agree.
11:22:11 2	21	Q Would you agree with me that there is no DEA
11:22:14 2	22	requirement that the pharmacist document the steps she takes
11:22:16 2	23	to resolve a red flag before filling a prescription?
11:22:24 2	24	A A federal requirement, no, I don't think there is.
11:22:26 2	25	That they document it, that's what you're asking me?

11:22:29 1	Q Yes, that's what I asked you.
11:22:30 2	A Yeah.
11:22:33 3	Q There is no federal requirement to document the
11:22:35 4	resolution of red flags, is that what you said?
11:22:37 5	A To document the resolution, not that I can recall, no.
11:22:41 6	${f Q}$ The pharmacist might look at a prescription for an
11:22:46 7	unusual quantity or combination of drugs and determine,
11:22:50 8	based on her knowledge of that patient, that the
11:22:53 9	prescription presents no issues.
11:22:54 10	Would you agree with that?
11:22:56 11	A Based on her knowledge of that patient?
11:22:59 12	Q Yes.
11:23:00 13	A That they may decide to fill the prescription? Yeah,
11:23:05 14	that's possible. Yes.
11:23:06 15	Q The pharmacist might determine that there is not a red
11:23:10 16	flag on that prescription based on her knowledge of the
11:23:11 17	patient, the doctor, or other circumstances.
11:23:14 18	Would you agree with that?
11:23:15 19	A I agree.
11:23:16 20	Q In the pharmacist's professional judgment, she might
11:23:21 21	determine that the prescription is legitimate and
11:23:25 22	appropriately fill it, even if it is for a large quantity of
11:23:29 23	opioids.
11:23:29 24	Would you agree with that?
11:23:31 25	A Based on other knowledge?

11:23:35	1	Q Yes.
11:23:36	2	A Yes, I do agree with that.
11:23:37	3	Q The pharmacist might, in her professional judgment,
11:23:40	4	determine that a prescription is legitimate and
11:23:43	5	appropriately fill it even if it is for an unusual
11:23:46	6	combination of drugs.
11:23:47	7	Would you agree with that?
11:23:50	8	A Yes.
11:23:51	9	Q The pharmacist, in her professional judgment, might
11:23:54	LO	determine that a prescription is legitimate and
11:23:58	L1	appropriately fill it even if the patient traveled a long
11:24:02	L2	distance to visit the doctor or the pharmacy?
11:24:08]	L3	A Well, yeah, I guess there would be additional
11:24:13	L 4	information. I mean, it would have to be additional
11:24:16	L5	information, but sure, they may.
11:24:18	L 6	Q The same is true even if the patient paid in cash; the
11:24:23	L7	pharmacist might determine, in her professional judgment,
11:24:24 1	L8	based on her knowledge, that that prescription is legitimate
11:24:27	L9	and appropriately fill that prescription?
11:24:29 2	20	A There are circumstances that would make that true,
11:24:32 2	21	yes.
11:24:32 2	22	Q There may be any number of good reasons to fill a
11:24:35 2	23	prescription that was paid in cash.
11:24:36 2	24	Would you agree with that?
11:24:38 2	25	A Yes.

11:24:40 1		Q If a prescription bears red flags, it does not
11:24:44 2	:	necessarily mean that it lacks a legitimate medical purpose.
11:24:47 3	}	Would you agree with that?
11:24:48 4	:	A I agree with that.
11:24:49 5	,	Q If a prescription bears a red flag, it does not
11:24:52 6		necessarily mean that a patient does not need that
11:24:55 7	,	medication to treat her condition.
11:24:56 8	;	Would you agree with that?
11:24:58 9)	A I agree with that.
11:24:59 10		Q If a prescription bears red flags, it does not
11:25:02 11		necessarily mean that it will lead to diversion.
11:25:04 12	:	Would you agree with that?
11:25:06 13	}	A I agree with that.
11:25:08 14	:	Q The DEA does not have a requirement that a pharmacy
11:25:11 15		conduct a computer data analysis on its prescription records
11:25:16 16)	before a pharmacist fills a prescription?
11:25:19 17	,	A No, there is no that I'm aware of, that I can
11:25:22 18	}	recall, no.
11:25:23 19)	Q In your experience in more than 30 years at the DEA,
11:25:27 20)	can you think of any published guidance by DEA suggesting
11:25:31 21		that pharmacies conduct computer data analysis on their
11:25:34 22		prescription records?
11:25:36 23	}	A Published guidance, not that I recall.
11:25:39 24	:	Q Would you agree with me, Ms. Ashley, that even if a
11:25:43 25	,	pharmacy has a computer system doing some sort of data

11:25:46 1	analysis, the pharmacist still has to exercise her
11:25:50 2	professional judgment before filling a prescription?
11:25:54 3	A Yes, I agree with that.
11:25:55 4	Q Based on your experience at DEA, is it true that State
11:26:00 5	Boards of Pharmacy are charged with investigating pharmacies
11:26:05 6	that don't follow the law?
11:26:06 7	A Yes, I agree with that.
11:26:08 8	Q Is it your understanding that State Boards of Pharmacy
11:26:10 9	are also law enforcement agencies?
11:26:14 10	A I don't know that always.
11:26:16 11	Q Do you know that that's sometimes the case, as in
11:26:19 12	Ohio?
11:26:19 13	A Yes, I think it's sometimes the case, yes.
11:26:23 14	Q Do you understand that State Board of Pharmacies do
11:26:25 15	revoke licenses when pharmacies violate the law?
11:26:29 16	A Yes.
11:26:33 17	Q Do you agree, Ms. Ashley, that internet pharmacies
11:26:35 18	were a significant problem for a period of time?
11:26:39 19	A Yes, I agree with that.
11:26:41 20	Q When it comes to the corresponding responsibility
11:26:46 21	obligation, would you agree with me, based on your
11:26:52 22	experience at DEA, that the law is the same for every
11:26:55 23	pharmacist, whether that pharmacist is employed by a large
11:26:57 24	chain like Walgreens or a single mom and pop pharmacy?
11:27:02 25	A The law is the same, correct.

11:27:04 1	Q Do the Controlled Substances Act and its regulations	
11:27:08 2	require the same thing of every registered pharmacy, whether	
11:27:12 3	that pharmacy is part of a big chain or stands all by	
11:27:16 4	itself?	
11:27:17 5	A Yes. The law is the same, yes.	
11:27:20 6	Q Ms. Ashley, in your time at the DEA, did DEA work with	
11:27:24 7	pharmacy chains and pharmacy associations, like the National	
11:27:28 8	Association of Boards of Pharmacy, to develop a consensus	
11:27:33 9	around potential red flags a pharmacist might identify on a	
11:27:39 10	controlled substance prescription?	
11:27:40 11	A Yes.	
11:27:42 12	MS. SWIFT: This will be Exhibit 13.	
11:27:46 13	BY MS. SWIFT:	
11:27:46 14	Q Is the document that I marked as Exhibit 13, is this a	
11:27:49 15	consensus document that DEA worked on with the National	
11:27:52 16	Association of Boards of Pharmacy and others on red flag	
11:27:58 17	warning signs related to prescribing and dispensing	
11:28:01 18	controlled substances?	
11:28:03 19	A Yes.	
11:28:04 20	Q You can you can see that there's a list of	
11:28:06 21	stakeholders on the first page of this consensus document	
11:28:10 22	that I marked as Exhibit 13.	
11:28:11 23	Do you see that?	
11:28:12 24	A Yes.	
11:28:13 25	Q CVS, Walgreens, and Rite Aid are all listed among the	

11:28:18 1	stakeholders on this consensus document about red flags;
11:28:25 2	correct?
11:28:25 3	A Yes.
11:28:26 4	Q There are also a number of organizations listed as
11:28:29 5	stakeholders on this consensus document, including the
11:28:32 6	American Medical Association.
11:28:33 7	Do you see that?
11:28:34 8	A Yes.
11:28:36 9	Q The National Association of Boards of Pharmacy is
11:28:38 10	listed.
11:28:38 11	Do you see that?
11:28:39 12	A Yes.
11:28:40 13	Q The National Association of Chain Drug Stores is
11:28:42 14	listed.
11:28:43 15	Do you see that?
11:28:45 16	A Yes.
11:28:45 17	Q And there are a number of other associations of
11:28:49 18	various types of healthcare providers.
11:28:52 19	Do you see that?
11:28:52 20	A Yes.
11:28:55 21	Q Do you agree, based on your career at DEA, that
11:29:00 22	putting together a document like this stakeholder's document
11:29:04 23	on red flag warning signs was a good thing for these
11:29:08 24	pharmacists pharmacies and other organizations to do?
11:29:11 25	A Yes, I agree it was a good thing.

11:29:13 1	Q And I think you just testified that DEA worked with
11:29:17 2	these pharmacies and other organizations on this consensus
11:29:22 3	document; is that right?
11:29:22 4	A That's right.
11:29:24 5	Q If you take a look at the bottom of Page 2 of this
11:29:30 6	consensus document on red flags, at the very end of the last
11:29:39 7	paragraph, do you see where it says, "The consensus
11:29:44 8	document, however, is not to be construed as establishing
11:29:46 9	any standards of care" are you with me?
11:29:49 10	A I do see it.
11:29:50 11	Q "Consensus document, however, is not to be construed
11:29:53 12	as establishing any standard of care but considered as
11:29:57 13	general guidelines and as a reminder that healthcare
11:30:01 14	practitioners must comply with federal laws and regulations
11:30:03 15	and use their professional judgment when confronted with red
11:30:07 16	flag warnings and aberrant patient behaviors in regard to
11:30:13 17	controlled substance prescriptions."
11:30:15 18	Do you agree with that statement?
11:30:17 19	A Yes, I do.
11:30:18 20	Q It's also consistent with what DEA put in its
11:30:22 21	Pharmacist's Manual that pharmacists must use their
11:30:25 22	professional judgment in determining what prescriptions to
11:30:28 23	fill.
11:30:28 24	Would you agree with that?
11:30:29 25	A Yes.

11:30:31 1	Q You understand that pharmacies have sought guidance
11:30:35 2	from DEA from time to time on how to exercise their
11:30:42 3	corresponding responsibility?
11:30:42 4	A Yes.
11:30:44 5	MS. SWIFT: This will be Exhibit 14.
11:30:46 6	BY MS. SWIFT:
11:30:46 7	Q Just to orient you to what this document is, because
11:30:51 8	it's a couple of things, do you see that the first couple of
11:30:54 9	pages is a letter to DEA from the National Association of
11:30:58 10	Chain Drug Stores?
11:31:00 11	A Yes.
11:31:01 12	Q And then Pages starting at Page 3 and the rest of
11:31:06 13	the document is a response from DEA.
11:31:10 14	Do you see that?
11:31:10 15	A Yes.
11:31:12 16	Q All right.
11:31:13 17	Starting with the letter from the National Association
11:31:15 18	of Chain Drug Stores, do you understand that that's a
11:31:21 19	national organization that it is what it sounds like it
11:31:28 20	is, it's an association of large chain drug stores, like
11:31:33 21	Walgreens and others?
11:31:34 22	A Yes.
11:31:34 23	Q The National Association of Chain Drug Stores is
11:31:38 24	writing to DEA in July of 2019.
11:31:40 25	Do you see that?

11:31:41 1	A Yes.
11:31:41 2	Q And feel free to take your time to look at it if you
11:31:45 3	need to, but my first question is whether you agree with me
11:31:48 4	that in the second and third paragraph of this letter, the
11:31:52 5	Chain Drug Stores Group is asking for DEA's views on whether
11:31:57 6	there are legitimate medical reasons to prescribe so-called
11:32:02 7	trinity prescriptions, meaning a combination of an opioid, a
11:32:07 8	benzodiazapine, and a muscle relaxer.
11:32:11 9	Do you see that?
11:32:12 10	A Oh, yeah, I do. I see it.
11:32:14 11	Q Would you agree with me that that's also known as a
11:32:18 12	cocktail prescription?
11:32:19 13	A Yes, I agree.
11:32:22 14	${f Q}$ Then on the next page of the letter from the Chain
11:32:25 15	Drug Stores Group, the writer says, "To clear any confusion,
11:32:34 16	we ask that you provide guidance in writing."
11:32:37 17	Do you see that?
11:32:38 18	A Yes.
11:32:39 19	Q Okay.
11:32:42 20	And DEA's response follows that letter from the Chain
11:32:45 21	Drug Stores Group?
11:32:46 22	Do you see that?
11:32:47 23	A Yes.
11:32:47 24	Q The response is from November of 2019?
11:32:49 25	A Yes.

11:32:53 1	${f Q}$ The at the very bottom of the third paragraph
11:32:56 2	and please feel free to take your time to look at whatever
11:32:59 3	you want to but do you see at the bottom of the third
11:33:02 4	paragraph DEA responds to the Chain Drug Stores Group that,
11:33:07 5	"The DEA lacks the authority to issue guidelines that
11:33:11 6	constitute advice relating to the general practice of
11:33:14 7	medicine"?
11:33:16 8	A Yes.
11:33:16 9	Q Do you agree with that statement, based on your
11:33:19 10	experience at DEA?
11:33:20 11	A I agree with that statement.
11:33:23 12	Q The next paragraph, second sentence, DEA says to the
11:33:29 13	National Chain Drug Stores Group, "Federal law and DEA
11:33:33 14	regulations do not impose a specific quantitative minimum or
11:33:40 15	maximum limit on the amount of medication that may be
11:33:44 16	prescribed on a single prescription, or the duration of
11:33:48 17	treatment intended with the prescribed controlled
11:33:52 18	substance."
11:33:52 19	Do you see that?
11:33:53 20	A Yes.
11:33:53 21	Q Do you agree with that those statements?
11:33:56 22	A I do.
11:33:59 23	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Do you agree that federal law and DEA regulations do
11:34:02 24	not impose a limit on the duration of treatment for a
11:34:06 25	prescribed controlled substance?

11:34:08 1	A I agree with that, yes.
11:34:10 2	Q And federal law and DEA regulations also do not impose
11:34:15 3	any maximum limit on the amount of medication that may be
11:34:18 4	prescribed on a single prescription.
11:34:20 5	You agree with that as well?
11:34:21 6	A I agree with that, yes.
11:34:23 7	Q Then the DEA attaches to its letter a Federal Register
11:34:28 8	notice from 2006 on dispensing controlled substances for the
11:34:32 9	treatment of pain.
11:34:33 10	Do you see that?
11:34:34 11	A Yes.
11:34:35 12	Q It's very hard to read in every copy that I could find
11:34:39 13	attached to the letter. And, so, I have a better copy of
11:34:45 14	it. I'm going with LL of your box. This will be
11:34:52 15	Exhibit 15.
11:34:54 16	Do you have it in front of you, Ms. Ashley?
11:34:56 17	A Yes.
11:34:57 18	Q Okay.
11:35:00 19	Again, it's a Federal Register notice from
11:35:03 20	September 6th, 2006. It says, "Department of Justice,
11:35:08 21	DEA or Drug Enforcement Administration, 21 CFR Part 1306,
11:35:13 22	dispensing controlled substances for the treatment of pain"?
11:35:15 23	Did I get all that correctly?
11:35:17 24	A Yes.
11:35:18 25	Q Okay.

11:35:19	1	Now, I'd like you to look at Page 3, which has at the
11:35:30	2	top of it, 52717.
11:35:33	3	Do you see that?
11:35:35	4	A Page 3, the 52718?
11:35:39	5	Q It's 52717 is what I'm going for.
11:35:43	6	A Oh, okay. Yes.
11:35:45	7	Q Do you see at the heading at the bottom of the second
11:35:48	8	column that reads, "The Meaning of the Legitimate Medical
11:35:50	9	Purpose Requirement"?
11:35:52	10	A Yes.
11:35:54	11	Q Then if you carry that over to the third column, do
11:35:58	12	you see where DEA says, "Federal courts have long recognized
11:36:02	13	that it is not possible to expand on the phrase 'legitimate
11:36:06	14	medical purpose' in the usual course of professional
11:36:10	15	practice in a way that will provide definitive guidelines"?
11:36:14	16	A Yes, I see that.
11:36:15	17	Q Do you agree with that statement, based on your more
11:36:18	18	than 30 years at DEA?
11:36:19	19	A I agree with that statement.
11:36:21 2	20	Q DEA goes on to say, "There are no specific
11:36:25 2	21	guidelines."
11:36:26 2	22	Do you see that in the next paragraph?
11:36:28 2	23	A I do.
11:36:29 2	24	Q Do you agree with that statement?
11:36:31 2	25	A I do.

11:36:32 1	Q Then if you'll turn to the next page. This one is the
11:36:43 2	page having 52718, and there's a heading, "Comments
11:36:47 3	Regarding the Use of Opioids."
11:36:48 4	Do you see that?
11:36:49 5	A Yes.
11:36:50 6	Q Under that heading it says that, "DEA recognizes that
11:36:56 7	physicians who specialize in the treatment of pain believe
11:36:58 8	the undertreatment of pain is of paramount concern and a
11:37:02 9	serious public health problem."
11:37:03 10	Do you see that?
11:37:04 11	A Yes. Yes. I see it.
11:37:07 12	Q Okay. Do you agree with that statement?
11:37:14 13	A Yes.
11:37:17 14	Q And still in that first column oh, sorry, on
11:37:22 15	Page 5. So now I believe we should be on 52719.
11:37:29 16	In the middle of that first column do you see the
11:37:32 17	first paragraph that says, "First, one cannot provide"?
11:37:35 18	A Yes.
11:37:37 19	Q It says and this is DEA's statement "One cannot
11:37:41 20	provide an exhaustive and foolproof list of do's and don'ts
11:37:49 21	when it comes to prescribing controlled substances for pain
11:37:52 22	or any other medical purpose."
11:37:53 23	Do you agree with that statement?
11:37:55 24	A Yes, I do.

Q It goes on to say that, "Each patient's medical

11:37:58 25

situation is unique and must be evaluated based on the entirety of the circumstances."

Do you agree with that as well?

A Yes, I do.

Then on the third column of that same page, the first full paragraph on the page where it says, "DEA recognizes."
Do you see that?

A Yes.

11:38:05 4

11:38:06 5

11:38:11 6

11:38:15 7

11:38:16 8

11:38:16 9

11:38:20 10

11:38:23 11

11:38:27 12

11:38:30 13

11:38:35 14

11:38:38 15

11:38:38 16

11:38:39 17

11:38:42 18

11:38:50 19

11:38:55 20

11:38:57 21

11:38:58 22

11:38:59 23

11:39:03 24

11:39:07 25

Q It says, "DEA recognizes that the overwhelming majority of American physicians who prescribe controlled substances do so for legitimate medical purposes. In fact, the overwhelming majority of physicians who prescribe controlled substances do so in a legitimate manner and will never warrant scrutiny by federal or state law enforcement officials."

Do you agree with that statement?

A Yes, I agree with that statement.

Q In response to questions from doctors, this is the DEA saying, we're not going to tell doctors what kind of prescriptions they can and cannot write.

Would you agree with that?

A Yes.

Q In response to questions from pharmacies, the DEA also said we're not going to tell pharmacists what prescriptions they can and can't fill.

11:39:09 1	Would you agree with that?
11:39:11 2	A Can you repeat that? Drug Enforcement Administration
11:39:13 3	is not going to tell
11:39:17 4	Q Pharmacists what prescriptions they can and can't
11:39:19 5	fill.
11:39:19 6	A That's correct. I mean, I should say I agree.
11:39:22 7	Q Ms. Ashley, has the DEA ever imposed limits on the
11:39:26 8	amount of prescription opioids that may be prescribed or
11:39:28 9	dispensed for a patient, in your experience?
11:39:32 10	A Not that I'm aware of.
11:39:34 11	Q In your experience, has Drug Enforcement
11:39:36 12	Administration ever imposed any limit on the daily dose of a
11:39:39 13	prescription opioid that may be prescribed or dispensed for
11:39:42 14	a patient?
11:39:43 15	A Not in my experience.
11:39:45 16	Q In your experience, has DEA ever imposed any limits on
11:39:49 17	the strength of a prescription opioid medication that may be
11:39:52 18	prescribed or dispensed for a patient?
11:39:55 19	A Not in my experience.
11:39:56 20	Q In your experience, has DEA ever prohibited the
11:40:00 21	prescribing or dispensing of prescription opioids in
11:40:04 22	combination with other medications?
11:40:06 23	A Not in my experience.
11:40:09 24	Q Would you agree with me, Ms. Ashley, that people
11:40:13 25	suffering from pain should have access to prescription

Deposition of Demetra Ashley - Cross/Weinberger opioid medication if a doctor determines that that's an 11:40:16 1 appropriate treatment? 11:40:19 2 11:40:20 3 I agree with that. Α MS. SWIFT: I've marked this one as Exhibit 16 11:40:23 4 to Ms. Ashley's deposition. 11:40:26 5 BY MS. SWIFT: 11:40:29 6 11:40:30 7 Ms. Ashley, do you recognize this letter as one that Q 11:40:34 8 you wrote in April of 2017? 11:40:38 9 Yes. 11:40:39 10 You were responding to concerns from a pain patient; 11:40:47 11 is that correct? 11:40:49 12 Yes. Α 11:40:50 13 Do you understand, based on your experience at the DEA 11:40:54 14 in this time frame, that pain patients were having a harder 11:40:58 15 time getting access to pain medication? 11:41:02 16 I was -- yeah, I did -- did learn that, yes. 11:41:07 17 Pain patients like this one were writing to DEA about their concerns about getting access to the necessary pain 11:41:13 18 medication. Is that true? 11:41:16 19 11:41:17 20 Yes, that's true. 11:41:17 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DEMETRA ASHLEY 11:41:38 22 BY MR. WEINBERGER: 11:41:38 23 Ms. Ashley, my name is Peter Weinberger, and I'm

privileged to represent the plaintiffs. I have an

opportunity now to ask you questions, and so I'm going to go

11:41:44 24

11:41:46 25

11:41:55 1 | right to it.

And by the way, the questions that I'm going to ask you today, in accordance with the parameters that have been set by the Department of Justice are about your own personal knowledge as a long-time employee of the Drug Enforcement Administration.

Based upon your knowledge and experience at the DEA, is it true that these defendants, Walgreens, CVS, Walmart, Rite Aid, and Giant Eagle, are required to, quote, provide effective controls and procedures to guard against the theft and diversion of controlled substances, end quote?

- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q So what I just asked you about is what's contained in the federal regulations, which were enacted as a result of the Controlled Substances Act. And it says, in Section (a), "All applicants and registrants shall provide effective controls and procedures to guard against theft and diversion of controlled substances."

So this is the regulation that governs this, correct, Ms. Ashley?

- A Correct.
- **Q** Each of these retail pharmacies, in your experience, should know that controlled substances, like opioid prescriptions, can be addictive.

True?

11:42:02 4

11:42:06 5

11:42:10 6

11:42:11 7

11:42:17 8

11:42:24 9

11:41:55 2

11:41:58 3

11:42:39 12 11:42:39 13

11:42:30 10

11:42:34 11

11:42:43 14

11:42:50 15

11:42:54 16

11:42:58 17

11:43:01 18

11:43:03 19

11:43:08 20

11:43:09 21

11:43:11 22

11:43:17 23

11:43:20 24

11:43:24 25

11:43:25 1 **A** Yes, I agree with that.

11:43:26 2

11:43:30 3

11:43:33 4

11:43:34 5

11:43:35 6

11:43:41 7

11:43:45 8

11:43:48 9

11:43:49 10

11:43:51 11

11:43:51 12

11:43:58 13

11:44:05 14

11:44:11 15

11:44:14 16

11:44:17 17

11:44:18 18

11:44:21 19

11:44:27 20

11:44:35 21

11:44:37 22

11:44:40 23

11:44:42 24

11:44:43 25

Q They should all know that opioid prescription drugs can often be diverted.

True?

- A True, yes.
- Q And by diverted, we mean they can be stolen within the pharmacies, by pharmacy employees or others, or can be used by patients or individuals in ways not consistent with a legitimate medical use.

True?

- A Yes.
- Q And if these five retail pharmacy companies have been registrants for 30 years or more, they should have been well aware of these risks associated with opioid prescription medications for that entire period of time.

True? Do you agree with that?

- A Yes, I agree with that.
- And, frankly, that's why the Controlled Substances Act was enacted. It was -- its purpose was to set up a closed system where drug companies, including the defendant pharmacies, were required to follow the rules to minimize the risk of misuse and diversion of drugs, like opioid prescriptions; correct?
- A Correct.
- Q Addiction, misuse, and diversion of opioid

prescriptions pose a significant risk to the health and safety of our communities across the nation.

Do you agree with that?

A I agree with that.

11:44:57 3

11:44:57 4

11:44:58 5

11:45:02 6

11:45:05 7

11:45:06 8

11:45:07 9

11:45:08 10

11:45:12 11

11:45:15 12

11:45:19 13

11:45:24 14

11:45:26 15

11:45:31 17

11:45:35 18

11:45:38 19

11:45:42 20

11:45:43 21

11:45:49 22

11:45:51 23

11:45:59 24

11:46:00 25

Q Diversion of opioid prescriptions is a danger to the health and welfare of our cities and counties across the country.

Do you agree with that?

- A I agree with that.
- Q So with respect to your personal knowledge over all the years that you worked at the DEA, would you agree that the DEA helps the defendants know the law and regulations associated with dispensing opioid products?
- A Yes, I agree.
- **Q** The DEA sends out advisory letters. True?
- 11:45:30 16 **A** Yes, true.
 - Q The DEA holds meetings with these defendant companies from time to time to explain issues associated with regulations about dispensing. True?
 - A Yes, it's true.
 - Q The DEA publishes, in the Federal Register, the results of enforcement actions brought against companies that violate the dispensing regulations of the DEA -- of the Controlled Substances Act?
 - A Yes, that's true.

11:46:02 1 With respect to dispensing enforcement actions that Q have been brought against CVS and Walgreens and Walmart and 11:46:05 2 Rite Aid, isn't it true that the DEA publishes 11:46:11 3 adjudications, information about those enforcement actions? 11:46:18 4 In my personal recollection, yes. 11:46:22 5 Well, as part of their obligation under a 1301.71 to 11:46:23 6 11:46:41 7 provide effective controls to guard against theft and 11:46:44 8 diversion, would you agree that these defendant pharmacies 11:46:46 9 corporately have an obligation to develop policies to train 11:46:49 10 pharmacists to comply with the regulations? 11:46:52 11 Yeah, I agree -- as part of that process, yes. 11:46:56 12 Would you agree that the defendants are required to 11:46:58 13 develop and implement systems to provide the necessary tools 11:47:02 14 for their pharmacists to comply with the CSA regulations? 11:47:06 15 Α Yes. 11:47:08 16 Would you agree that the defendants' pharmacist 11:47:14 17 training and the tools that they provide must be designed to provide effective controls and procedures to prevent the 11:47:20 18 theft and diversion of opioids? 11:47:24 19 11:47:26 20 Yeah, I believe that's an obligation. 11:47:29 21 Because if the training and the tools used by the 11:47:34 22 defendant corporations are not adequate, we run the risk of 11:47:41 23 opioid pills getting into the wrong hands and leading to 11:47:45 24 diversion; correct? 11:47:46 25 That's correct. Α

11:47:47 1 And I think we've already -- I think you already agree Q with me that diversion is dangerous to the health and safety 11:47:51 2 of our neighborhoods; correct? 11:47:54 3 That's correct. 11:47:56 4 Α And diversion burdens our court systems, our law 11:47:56 5 enforcement community, and the social fabric of our 11:47:59 6 11:48:02 7 communities. Agreed? 11:48:05 8 Do you agree with that? 11:48:06 9 Yes, I agree. Α And is it fair to say that this opioid epidemic, from 11:48:07 10 your knowledge at the DEA, had been going on since early 11:48:09 11 11:48:16 12 2000s. 11:48:17 13 The answer is yes; right? 11:48:19 14 It's fair to say that, yes. Α 11:48:21 15 Q Yes. 11:48:22 16 And is it fair to say that any registrant, including 11:48:25 17 these five defendants, from your experience, knew or should have known of the raging epidemic in opioid prescription 11:48:28 18 11:48:33 19 pills from the early 2000s on? 11:48:37 20 I believe they knew or should have known. 11:48:39 21 And as registrants and dispensers of prescription 11:48:49 22 opioid medications, shouldn't the conduct of these 11:48:52 23 pharmacies have been -- shouldn't they have taken into 11:48:59 24 effect the fact that there was an ongoing epidemic of 11:49:05 25 prescription opioid pills in this country?

11:49:06 1 Α That would be my expectation, yes. And because of the danger and risk of prescription 11:49:09 2 opioid pills and the -- and its effect on the epidemic, 11:49:12 3 would you agree that these pharmacy companies should have 11:49:19 4 been extremely vigilant in ensuring that their employees 11:49:23 5 complied with the Controlled Substances Act? 11:49:28 6 11:49:30 7 Α I believe they should have been vigilant, yes. 11:49:33 8 You're aware of the CSA regulation that requires the 11:49:41 9 defendants to store their dispensing data in their own 11:49:50 10 systems? 11:49:51 11 Α Yes. 11:49:52 12 Can we agree, generally -- and we'll get into the red Q 11:49:57 13 flag systems a little bit later -- but can we agree, 11:50:01 14 generally, that many of the red flags associated with either 11:50:05 15 a prescriber profile or a patient profile company use data 11:50:11 16 to identify potential red flags? 11:50:14 17 Yes. Α And in terms of the pharmacy company's obligation to 11:50:15 18 11:50:24 19 establish appropriate controls to quard against diversion, it would be reasonable to expect the pharmacies to access 11:50:29 20 11:50:34 21 their own databases to look for red flags; right? 11:50:39 22 Α That is reasonable, yes. 11:50:40 23 And, particularly, if a pharmacy company is being 11:50:45 24 vigilant in the face of a raging prescriptions opioid pill

epidemic, access to that database of information would be

11:50:49 25

11:50:56 1 important; correct? I agree it would be important, yes. 11:50:58 2 Is it your understanding, based upon your years of 11:51:00 3 experience, that a pharmacy and its pharmacists have a 11:51:03 4 corresponding responsibility, in addition to the 11:51:07 5 prescriber's responsibility, to fill only opioid 11:51:12 6 prescriptions that are issued for a legitimate medical 11:51:15 7 11:51:17 8 purpose? 11:51:18 9 That is my understanding. 11:51:20 10 The corresponding responsibility has been described by the Drug Enforcement Agency, in your experience, as quote, 11:51:23 11 11:51:31 12 "the last line of defense to preventing opioid abuse and 11:51:34 13 diversion." 11:51:36 14 True? 11:51:36 15 Α That's true. 11:51:36 16 And by last line of defense, that means -- what is 11:51:40 17 meant is it's the very last opportunity before the opioid prescription pills gets into the hands of the patient or 11:51:46 18 11:51:50 19 gets on to the streets for the system to ensure that the 11:51:55 20 prescription is properly dispensed under the laws; correct? 11:52:00 21 It's my personal understanding that that is the last decision-making before it's turned over to the end user, 11:52:03 22 11:52:10 23 correct. 11:52:10 24 Also known as the last line of defense; correct? 11:52:15 25 Last line of defense. Α

11:52:16 1	Q So Exhibit 1 is what Ms. Swift showed you. It's this
11:52:19 2	PowerPoint that where you were a presenter, apparently,
11:52:23 3	in 2016. And I want to go over just a couple of pages of
11:52:28 4	it.
11:52:28 5	And you've entitled this "DEA and Pharmacy: Working
11:52:32 6	Together to Prevent Prescription Drug Abuse."
11:52:37 7	Can we can we agree, based on your experience over
11:52:40 8	your many years at the DEA, that, you know, part of the
11:52:44 9	job of your job was to communicate with DEA's I'm
11:52:48 10	sorry, with pharmacy corporations, like these defendants,
11:52:51 11	and to cooperate with them and provide them, where
11:52:55 12	appropriate, proper guidance.
11:52:58 13	True?
11:52:59 14	A Yes, that's true.
11:53:06 15	Q And that's something that you strove do?
11:53:08 16	A Yes, I is did.
11:53:10 17	Q I'm interested in the use of the terminology "red
11:53:14 18	flag," based upon your experience, you know, as a layperson
11:53:17 19	not in this field.
11:53:19 20	I'm just interested, from your personal understanding,
11:53:22 21	does red flag mean stop and investigate? Is that what using
11:53:26 22	that terminology means?
11:53:30 23	A It means stop, pay attention, warning, yes.
11:53:33 24	Q Let's look at are you familiar, as a DEA employee,

of "blue highway," the prescription of the "blue highway"?

11:53:43 25

11:53:48 1	A The term is familiar. I see that, yes.
11:53:52 2	${f Q}$ And from your knowledge, Ms. Ashley, at the DEA, was
11:54:04 3	the DEA aware of the factor that patients were coming from
11:54:13 4	Ohio, Kentucky, Tennessee, Georgia, going down to Florida,
11:54:18 5	and getting prescriptions filled and then taking them back
11:54:22 6	to these other states?
11:54:24 7	A I did not know independent of DEA, of my role
11:54:29 8	Q You became
11:54:30 9	A at DEA.
11:54:31 10	Q You became generally aware of that in your position at
11:54:36 11	DEA, correct?
11:54:36 12	A I became aware of it in my role at DEA, yes.
11:54:38 13	Q And did you become aware, as part of your personal
11:54:43 14	experience at the DEA, that some of this pill migration or
11:54:50 15	traveling was from pill mills that were being dispensed at
11:54:56 16	the Walgreens and CVS Pharmacy facilities in Florida?
11:55:00 17	A I recall that, yes.
11:55:02 18	Q And some of the conduct at those CVS and Walgreens
11:55:06 19	stores were investigated, and the subject of enforcement
11:55:12 20	actions brought against those companies by the DEA.
11:55:15 21	Without going into details, isn't that true?
11:55:18 22	A I am aware of that, yes.
11:55:19 23	Q If you would pull out P-OD-WAG-00248.
11:55:32 24	This is a Settlement Agreement between the federal
11:55:36 25	government and CVS from 2015. And I just want to first

11:55:49 1 of all, are you familiar with this Settlement Agreement, the document itself? 11:55:51 2 I'm familiar with the Settlement Agreement with CVS. 11:55:53 Α And if you go back to Page 2, Paragraph G, it says, 11:55:57 4 0 CVS -- and this is the corporation, CVS; right? 11:56:07 5 11:56:11 6 Yes. Α 11:56:12 7 Q Not some individual pharmacist; right? 11:56:14 8 Α Correct. 11:56:15 9 Does the agreement say that, that CVS is acknowledging 11:56:19 10 it has a corresponding responsibility? 11:56:21 11 Α Yes. 11:56:22 12 Now, let's move to Walgreens for a moment, Ms. Swift's 11:56:29 13 client. 11:56:29 14 This is the press release from the Department of 11:56:39 15 Justice. 11:56:39 16 And were you generally familiar, as the -- and 11:56:43 17 personally knowledgeable about the -- this -- the settlement of this enforcement action with Walgreens? 11:56:47 18 11:56:49 19 Yes, personally knowledgeable, yes. Α 11:56:51 20 Well, did you -- do you know from your own personal knowledge that the Department of Justice, in this press 11:56:54 21 11:56:59 22 release, had the ability -- or had allowed people who were 11:57:06 23 reading it the ability to link to the Walgreens memorandum 11:57:13 24 of agreement?

Well, certainly that's what it says on the document,

11:57:15 25

11:57:17 1 right? That there's a link to it; right? And it's in a pdf form; right? 11:57:23 2 11:57:24 3 That's what it says, yes. Α Ms. Ashley, we talked about the fact that these 11:57:25 4 pharmacy defendants have a duty to provide tools to their 11:57:30 5 pharmacists to prevent diversion, generally speaking, under 11:57:43 6 11:57:49 7 1301.71; correct? 11:57:52 8 Do you agree with that? 11:57:53 9 Α Yes. 11:57:53 10 Do you believe from your experience at the DEA that that includes pharmacies providing a work environment for 11:57:58 11 11:58:04 12 their pharmacists that allows the pharmacists to fulfill 11:58:07 13 their corresponding responsibility? 11:58:10 14 Yeah, I agree with that. Α So that would include not imposing strict and 11:58:11 15 Q 11:58:15 16 unreasonable time limits to fill prescriptions so that they 11:58:20 17 cannot have enough time to investigate red flags? Yeah, that sounds unreasonable. 11:58:25 18 Α 11:58:27 19 Not -- and it would include not requiring quotas on 11:58:31 20 prescriptions filled. 11:58:32 21 True? 11:58:33 22 Α Yeah, that sounds unreasonable. 11:58:38 23 It would require adequate staffing of the pharmacy to Q 11:58:41 24 allow enough pharmacists at these stores to fulfill their 11:58:44 25 corresponding responsibility.

Deposition of Demetra Ashley - Redirect/Swift

11:58:45 1 True?

11:58:46 2 A Yeah, I think that's important.

11:58:48 3 **Q** Ms. Ashley, those are all the questions I have. Thank

11:58:50 4 you.

11:58:58 5 THE COURT: Okay. That was timed perfectly.

11:59:02 6 MS. SWIFT: Your Honor, there's a little bit

11:59:03 7 of redirect.

11:59:04 8 THE COURT: Oh, okay. I apologize.

MS. SWIFT: Recross.

11:59:06 10 THE COURT: Then we should conclude it.

11:59:08 11 | Sorry.

11:59:05 9

11:59:12 13

11:59:12 14

11:59:12 15

11:59:14 16

11:59:17 17

11:59:19 18

11:59:23 19

11:59:28 20

11:59:34 21

11:59:35 22

11:59:41 23

11:59:46 24

11:59:50 25

11:59:09 12 MS. SWIFT: Thank you.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DEMETRA ASHLEY

BY MS. SWIFT:

Q All right.

Ms. Ashley, do you see on the face of Exhibit 10, the press release from the United States Attorney's Office in the Southern District of Florida? It says, in the first paragraph that, "The settlement related to six Walgreens retail pharmacies in Florida" -- in the first paragraph?

A Yes, that's what it says.

Q Ms. Ashley, if you would, pull out Exhibit 2, which is your PowerPoint from 2013.

A I have it.

Q All right.

Deposition of Demetra Ashley - Redirect/Swift

11:59:51 1 If you would, turn to Page 34 of your 2013 PowerPoint, which is the page that -- or one of the pages the 11:59:57 2 plaintiffs' lawyer asked you about before. 12:00:01 3 Do you remember that? 12:00:02 4 12:00:04 5 Yes. Actually, just to lead up to it, let's go back to 36. 12:00:06 6 I apologize. Just so it will make more sense if we start 12:00:09 7 12:00:13 8 with 36. 12:00:15 9 Sure. I have it. 12:00:16 10 It says, "Georgia Example: Traditional Pain 12:00:20 11 Management Clinics." 12:00:21 12 Do you see that? 12:00:22 13 I do. Α 12:00:22 14 And it says, "In years prior to 2009 and 2010, there Q 12:00:26 15 were 15 to 20 legitimate clinics." 12:00:29 16 Do you see that? 12:00:29 17 Yes. Α "Almost all owned by physicians, Accept Insurance, 12:00:30 18 12:00:34 19 Medicaid, Medicare, et cetera; patients need payments; 12:00:38 20 follow pain management quidelines; patients get a complete 12:00:40 21 physical work-up and exam; use physical therapy, other treatment methods; prescribed drugs usually include 12:00:45 22 12:00:50 23 non-narcotics." 12:00:50 24 Do you see all of that? 12:00:51 25 Α Yes.

12:00:51 1	Q Then if you go back to Page 38, it says, "Now, in
12:00:59 2	2012 approximately 125 rogue clinics owned by
12:01:04 3	non-physicians, and the owners: Are from another state;
12:01:08 4	many are convicted felons; usually owned or operated a pain
12:01:12 5	clinic in another state; have ties to some type of organized
12:01:17 6	crime."
12:01:18 7	And then in the last bullet, it says, "If from
12:01:22 8	Florida, left not because of the Florida PMP, but due to new
12:01:26 9	pain clinic restrictions and no dispensing"?
12:01:28 10	Did I get all that correctly?
12:01:30 11	A Yes.
12:01:30 12	Q And is that consistent with your understanding from
12:01:32 13	your 30-plus years at the DEA of what happened with the
12:01:36 14	expansion of rogue pain clinics in this time frame?
12:01:44 15	A Yeah, in general. Yes.
12:01:46 16	Q Flip ahead to Page 47, please.
12:01:52 17	This slide has a heading that says, "Utility of the
12:01:56 18	TDS's: Operation Pill Nation."
12:01:59 19	Do you know what the acronym TDS stands for?
12:02:02 20	A Yes.
12:02:02 21	Q What does it stand for?
12:02:05 22	A Tactical Diversion Squad.
12:02:07 23	Q So this says, "Utility of the Tactical Diversion
12:02:10 24	Squads: Operation Pill Nation."
12:02:13 25	Explain for me, please, if you could, what the

12:02:15 1	Tactical Diversions Squads were or are?
12:02:18 2	A The Tactical Diversion Squads are groups within DEA
12:02:22 3	that have diversion investigators, special agents, and local
12:02:27 4	state law enforcement officers, and they work together on
12:02:32 5	diversion matters.
12:02:33 6	Q What is Operation Pill Nation?
12:02:36 7	A That was the title given to the initiative for the
12:02:39 8	Florida investigations.
12:02:42 9	Q It says that, "DEA was working with other federal,
12:02:45 10	state, and local partners to start identifying, targeting
12:02:49 11	and investigating rogue pain clinics," correct?
12:02:52 12	A Correct.
12:02:53 13	${f Q}$ It goes on to say that, "11 tactical diversion squads
12:03:00 14	from across the United States provided assistance," and that
12:03:03 15	there were 340 undercover buys from more than 48 clinics and
12:03:08 16	64 doctors, correct?
12:03:10 17	A Correct.
12:03:13 18	Q Then if you look at the next slide, slide 48, still
12:03:17 19	talking about Operation Pill Nation, the DEA's operation in
12:03:21 20	Florida, it says that there were 21 search warrants executed
12:03:25 21	at clinics, residences, and other locations in south Florida
0.0	

Is that consistent with your understanding of

and five were pain clinic owners.

and 25 people arrested on various federal and state drug and

money laundering charges, of which five were medical doctors

12:03:32 22

12:03:35 23

12:03:38 24

12:03:41 25

- 12:03:43 1 Operation Pill Nation?
- 12:03:46 2 **A** Yes.

12:04:15 10

12:04:16 11

12:04:20 12

12:04:25 13

12:04:28 14

12:04:32 15

12:04:36 16

12:04:39 17

12:04:41 18

12:04:49 19

12:04:53 20

12:04:57 21

12:05:02 22

12:05:04 23

12:05:07 24

12:05:09 25

- Q Exhibit 12 is a statement from Susan Langston, the

 diversion program manager at the DEA's Miami Field Division

 Office, before the Controlled Substance Standards Committee

 of the Florida Board of Pharmacy and the Florida Department

 of Health for a public meeting concerning issues with

 patients filling prescriptions for controlled substances in

 August of 2015, correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q In that same paragraph, she goes on to say, "At that time, most of the narcotic pain pills prescribed by those pain pill physicians were dispensed directly from the pain clinics and the involvement of a separate retail pharmacy was not necessary."

Is that true based on your experience at DEA?

- A Yeah. Yes, it is.
- Ms. Langston says to the Florida Board of Pharmacy in this statement that the shift in the Florida law, "The new law shifted the dispensing of most narcotic painkillers to actual pharmacies. The shift heightened pharmacists' responsibilities and they were suddenly faced with circumstances many never had dealt with before."

And my only question is whether you know that to be true.

12:05:09 1 Α Yeah. Yes, I think that the legislation did change 12:05:15 2 things, yes. 12:05:16 3 It goes on to say in the very next paragraph, "We recognize that the vast majority of controlled substance 12:05:18 4 prescriptions are written by highly trained and ethical 12:05:21 5 medical professionals who are treating legitimate medical 12:05:24 6 conditions." 12:05:27 7 12:05:28 8 Do you agree with that? 12:05:29 9 I agree with that. 12:05:30 10 She goes on, "We also recognize that the vast majority 12:05:34 11 of controlled substance prescriptions written by doctors are 12:05:37 12 for legitimate medical purposes and are issued in the usual 12:05:41 13 course of professional practice. A great deal of the time a 12:05:44 14 red flag at a pharmacy can easily be explained, and once it 12:05:48 15 is resolved, there should be no problem filling that 12:05:50 16 prescription." 12:05:50 17 Do you agree with that? 12:05:51 18 Yeah, I agree with that. Α 12:05:54 19 Ms. Langston says, "On behalf of DEA, although we ask 12:05:58 20 pharmacists to be on the look-out for suspicious activities 12:06:02 21 that may indicate drug abuse and diversion, we are not 12:06:04 22 asking pharmacists to be medical doctors." 12:06:06 23 Do you agree with that? 12:06:07 24 I agree with that. Α

Ms. Ashley, do you have any personal knowledge of the

12:06:10 25

Q

12:06:13 1 dispensing systems used by Walgreens, CVS, Walmart, Rite Aid, or Giant Eagle pharmacies? 12:06:19 2 Do you mean their platform for prescriptions? 12:06:23 3 Α 12:06:26 4 Yes. 12:06:27 5 No. Do you have any personal knowledge about what those 12:06:28 6 pharmacies do with the dispensing data that exists in those 12:06:30 7 12:06:34 8 systems? 12:06:38 9 Generally, but no. I mean, in general, for DEA 12:06:42 10 purposes, I do. The storage part of it, you mean? 12:06:45 11 Well, what general knowledge do you have about what pharmacies do with the data in their systems? 12:06:49 12 12:06:51 13 The only general knowledge I have is that they store 12:06:53 14 it and they have it available for DEA when we need it. 12:06:56 15 Q And they can provide records to DEA upon request? Is 12:06:59 16 that what you're getting at? 12:07:01 17 Α Yes. 12:07:02 18 Do you have any other personal knowledge about what 12:07:05 19 pharmacies do with the dispensing data in their systems? 12:07:11 20 I don't. 12:07:13 21 Would you agree that most people who take prescription 12:07:16 22 opioids pursuant to a doctor's prescription never use 12:07:20 23 heroin? 12:07:22 24 Yeah, I would agree with that. Α

The Corresponding Responsibility Regulation, that's

12:07:24 25

Deposition of Demetra Ashley - Redirect/Swift 12:07:28 1 1306.04(a), correct? 12:07:32 2 Α Yes. The regulation states that, "The responsibility for 12:07:33 the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled 12:07:36 4 substances is upon the prescribing practitioner." 12:07:41 5 That's the first half of that sentence; correct? 12:07:43 6 12:07:45 7 Α Correct. 12:07:46 8 It goes on to say, "But a corresponding responsibility 12:07:51 9 rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription," 12:07:54 10 correct? 12:07:54 11 Α Correct. Then it goes on to say, "The person knowingly filling 12:07:57 12 12:08:02 13 such a purported prescription," it says, "The person 12:08:05 14 knowingly doing that is subject to penalties" for that. 12:08:09 15 Do you agree with that? 12:08:10 16 Yes, there has to be knowledge, yes. Α 12:08:12 17 Would you agree with me, Ms. Ashley, that pharmacies 12:08:15 18 generally try to work with the DEA to ensure that they have 12:08:17 19 effective controls to prevent diversion? 12:08:19 20 I agree with that. Would you agree with me that pharmacies generally try 12:08:20 21 to work with the DEA to ensure that they are complying with 12:08:22 22

12:08:26 23

12:08:27 24

12:08:28 25

the law?

Α

I agree with that.

In your experience, do pharmacies try their best to

Deposition of Demetra Ashley - Recross/Weinberger

12:08:33 1 prevent the diversion of prescription opioids?

A In general, yes.

12:08:36 2

12:08:40 3

12:08:42 4

12:08:45 5

12:08:46 6

12:08:48 7

12:08:52 8

12:08:54 9

12:08:56 10

12:09:00 11

12:09:00 12

12:09:01 13

12:09:04 14

12:09:06 15

12:09:11 16

12:09:21 17

12:09:27 18

12:09:32 19

12:09:36 20

12:09:38 21

12:09:46 22

12:09:50 23

12:09:51 24

12:09:53 25

MS. SWIFT: Your Honor, I think there's something like five minutes left. If you want to take a break now or finish it up.

THE COURT: Well, all right. I guess we should -- we should probably --

MR. WEINBERGER: It's two and a half matters.

THE COURT: Well, I guess -- because I've got

two criminal matters, but let's finish this up, please.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF DEMETRA ASHLEY

BY MR. WEINBERGER:

Q Ms. Ashley, a couple of follow-up questions after Ms. Swift's redirect.

And then, finally, you were asked by Ms. Swift to go back to the Exhibit 10, our Exhibit 10, Plaintiffs'

Exhibit 10, which is the press release from the Department of Justice regarding the Walgreens settlement.

And she said -- she said, "Well, isn't it true,

Ms. Ashley, that this was limited to their conduct in

Florida." Well, you know, once again, let's take a look at

the entire document and see if what she asked you about is

actually correct.

The second -- first paragraph, the second -- the very last sentence in the first paragraph says, "The settlement

12:09:58 1	further resolves open civil investigations in the District
12:10:01 2	of Colorado, the Eastern District of Michigan, and the
12:10:05 3	Eastern District of New York, as well as civil
12:10:08 4	investigations by DEA field offices nationwide, pursuant to
12:10:14 5	the Controlled Substances Act."
12:10:15 6	Have I read that correctly?
12:10:17 7	Well, so, I've read that correctly; correct?
12:10:20 8	A Yes, you read that correctly.
12:10:20 9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DEMETRA ASHLEY
12:10:21 10	BY MS. SWIFT:
12:10:21 11	Q Ms. Ashley, do you see the first full paragraph on
12:10:23 12	Page 2 of Exhibit 10?
12:10:29 13	A Page 2, first full paragraph. Yes, I do.
12:10:31 14	Q It says, "The Settlement Agreement covers conduct that
12:10:34 15	was the subject of DEA's administrative actions and the U.S.
12:10:40 16	Attorney's Office civil penalty investigation."
12:10:41 17	Do you see that?
12:10:42 18	A I do.
12:10:43 19	Q More specifically, the settlement covers allegations
12:10:46 20	against Walgreens Jupiter Distribution Center and six
12:10:50 21	Walgreens retail pharmacies; correct?
12:10:53 22	A That's what it says, yes.
12:10:54 23	Q Would you agree with me that DEA's efforts through
12:11:00 24	Operation Pill Mill Nation curtailed diversion by many, many
12:11:05 25	rogue pain clinics and pill mills in Florida?

12:11:10 1	A I agree with that.
12:11:16 2	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks for going a little
12:11:20 3	longer. We'll recess till 1:15.
12:11:25 4	Usual admonitions. And then we'll pick up with
12:11:30 5	another defense witness.
12:11:31 6	Have a good lunch.
12:11:32 7	(Jury excused from courtroom.)
12:12:01 8	(Recess was taken from 12:12 p.m. till 1:22 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

01:21:55 1	Monday Session, November 8, 2021, at 1:22 P.M.
01:21:55 2	COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
01:23:19 3	(Jury returned to courtroom.)
01:23:39 4	THE COURT: Okay. Please be seated.
01:23:42 5	I apologize for the delay. This was on me. I had two
01:23:47 6	complicated criminal matters to take care of during the noon
01:23:50 7	hour.
01:23:50 8	So, Mr. Majoras, you may call your next witness,
01:23:52 9	please.
01:23:53 10	MR. MAJORAS: Thank you, Your Honor.
01:23:55 11	John Majoras for Walmart. Good afternoon, folks.
01:23:58 12	I'd like to call to the stand Lori Militello.
01:24:22 13	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Militello. If
01:24:24 14	you could just raise your right hand, please.
01:24:26 15	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are
01:24:28 16	about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and
01:24:30 17	nothing but the truth under pain and penalty of perjury?
01:24:33 18	THE WITNESS: I do.
01:24:34 19	THE COURT: Thank you.
01:24:34 20	Please be seated, and you may remove your mask while
01:24:38 21	testifying, please.
01:24:38 22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
01:24:41 23	THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Majoras.
01:24:45 24	MR. MAJORAS: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	

01:24:46 1	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF LORI MILITELLO
01:24:46 2	BY MR. MAJORAS:
01:24:46 3	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Militello.
01:24:47 4	A Good afternoon.
01:24:47 5	Q Thank you for joining us. Why don't you introduce
01:24:49 6	yourself to the jury, please.
01:24:50 7	A My name is Lori Militello. I am a pharmacist for
01:24:55 8	25 years and worked with Walmart 23 of those.
01:24:59 9	Q When did you get out of pharmacy school?
01:25:01 10	A I graduated in 1994 with my bachelor's of science in
01:25:07 11	pharmacy.
01:25:08 12	Q And when you graduated, we've heard quite a bit about
01:25:12 13	pharmacy school already, but when you graduated, did you
01:25:15 14	have to do some clinical work before becoming licensed?
01:25:22 15	A Yes. We had to do internships on our own and also we
01:25:26 16	did externship rotations, and we called them clerk ship
01:25:30 17	rotations through college, through university.
01:25:33 18	Q And where did you do the rotations?
01:25:35 19	A The rotations themselves or they were at some
01:25:36 20	independent pharmacies in the Toledo area, and also I did it
01:25:41 21	at the local hospital, Fremont Memorial, where I'm from. I
01:25:47 22	did a rotation there, and then I also did one at Toledo
01:25:51 23	hospital.
01:25:51 24	Q You said you're from Fremont?
01:25:52 25	A From Fremont.

01:25:53 1	Q That's sort of Sandusky area?
01:25:56 2	A Yeah, kind of right between here and Toledo.
01:25:59 3	Q Okay. And I'm sorry. Where did you go to school?
01:26:01 4	A I went to the University of Toledo.
01:26:03 5	Q And you're not the only pharmacist in your family, are
01:26:06 6	you?
01:26:06 7	A I am not the only pharmacist. My husband is a
01:26:08 8	pharmacist. My brother is a pharmacist. My brother-in-law,
01:26:12 9	my husband's brother, is a pharmacist also.
01:26:15 10	Q Did you meet your husband in pharmacy school?
01:26:17 11	A I met him in high school.
01:26:19 12	Q Oh, so you both decided to go to pharmacy school?
01:26:21 13	A We did, yes.
01:26:22 14	Q What was your decision process? What made you want to
01:26:24 15	become a pharmacist?
01:26:25 16	A At the time, I was in high school, I was actually
01:26:28 17	going to try to be a physical therapist, and I had actually
01:26:33 18	a career day where a pharmacist came in and spoke and
01:26:37 19	between her testimony of what a great job she thought it was
01:26:41 20	and what a fabulous experience she was having, and my
01:26:45 21	husband pursuing it at the time, my boyfriend, it was
01:26:49 22	something that I just thought would be a good fit for me.
01:26:54 23	Q So you both became pharmacists out of school at
01:26:59 24	Toledo?
01:27:00 25	A That is correct.

01:27:00 1	Q And did you receive any further education beyond that?
01:27:03 2	A I did not. I got my bachelor's and then I got my
01:27:06 3	pharmacy license and started practicing.
01:27:08 4	Q How about your husband?
01:27:08 5	A My husband went on to get his doctorate in pharmacy,
01:27:13 6	so it was at that time, an additional two years plus a year
01:27:16 7	of residency. And so at that time, I worked while helping
01:27:20 8	him get through the rest of his doctorate.
01:27:22 9	Q Do you have any kids?
01:27:23 10	A We have two sons, 23 and 21, both at Ohio State.
01:27:28 11	Q My kids are older than that and I still refer to them
01:27:32 12	as kids so I hope you don't mind.
01:27:34 13	A Yes.
01:27:35 14	Q What are they studying at Ohio State?
01:27:36 15	A My oldest is a second-year dental student and my
01:27:39 16	youngest is graduating this year in environmental
01:27:42 17	engineering.
01:27:43 18	Q Where do you and your husband live today?
01:27:45 19	A Today we live in Lakewood.
01:27:46 20	Q Just west of here?
01:27:47 21	A Just west, yes.
01:27:48 22	Q And where are you currently working as a Walmart
01:27:52 23	pharmacist?
01:27:53 24	A Currently, I work at the Walmart Pharmacy in Eastlake.
01:28:02 25	Q So how long have you been a Walmart pharmacist?

01:28:02 1	A I have been a Walmart pharmacist since right around,
01:28:04 2	since 1996 is when I started, December of '96.
01:28:06 3	Q I shorted you a couple years.
01:28:08 4	A You did.
01:28:08 5	Q My apologies.
01:28:10 6	A That's okay.
01:28:11 7	Q It seems like 30.
01:28:12 8	A Yeah. Exactly. Yeah.
01:28:13 9	Q Take us, if you would, through sort of the history,
01:28:18 10	not necessarily in great detail but generally within Ohio
01:28:20 11	where you've worked in Walmart stores as a pharmacist.
01:28:23 12	A In Walmart stores, I started out in Lima, Ohio, which
01:28:27 13	is on the western side of the state.
01:28:29 14	While I was there, I traveled between I worked
01:28:33 15	generally at the Lima, Walmart but also traveled to
01:28:38 16	Van Wert, Ottawa, Celina.
01:28:41 17	While I was there, I worked in those varies areas.
01:28:43 18	When we moved to Cleveland, we had friends from pharmacy
01:28:47 19	school that were connected to the Walmart here in Eastlake.
01:28:51 20	And that is how I started working at the Eastlake store.
01:28:55 21	But in my time period up here, I've worked at several
01:29:00 22	stores, Mentor, Madison, Macedonia, Great Great Northern,
01:29:05 23	North Olmsted, Elyria, Cleveland, kind of Medina, all over.
01:29:14 24	Q So if you were to identify a particular Walmart store

where you spent most of your time, where would that be?

01:29:17 25

01:29:19 1	A It would be Eastlake.
01:29:21 2	${f Q}$ And Eastlake is east of here, just really right on the
01:29:25 3	Lake border?
01:29:26 4	A Yeah, a straight shot east.
01:29:28 5	Q Just outside of Euclid maybe?
01:29:31 6	A Yes.
01:29:31 7	Q And you mentioned a couple of other stores, Madison
01:29:34 8	and Mentor. Those are both in Lake County; is that right?
01:29:36 9	A That's correct.
01:29:40 10	Q Where does your husband work? Is he still a
01:29:42 11	pharmacist?
01:29:42 12	A My husband is a clinical pharmacist. He's down at the
01:29:46 13	Cleveland Clinic.
01:29:54 14	Q I'd like to talk a little more about the Eastlake
01:29:57 15	store if we could.
01:29:58 16	Could you describe for us the community that the
01:30:00 17	Eastlake store is in?
01:30:01 18	A The Eastlake store is in a kind of medium I would
01:30:09 19	say mid-class neighborhood. A lot of blue collar
01:30:13 20	working folks. You know, a pretty tight-knit community, I
01:30:20 21	would say.
01:30:20 22	Q What street in particular is it on in east in
01:30:22 23	Eastlake?
01:30:23 24	A The Walmart pharmacy is on Vine Street.
01:30:26 25	Q I'd like to get some understanding I'd like you to

01:30:29 1	give the jury some understanding about as a pharmacist, how
01:30:32 2	you have gotten to know your patients and the community.
01:30:36 3	A Well, it really started as soon as I started there.
01:30:39 4	The pharmacist that I did work with was really very outgoing
01:30:46 5	and very personal with all of his patients, and he kind of
01:30:50 6	just mentored me into that same type of mindset. So I'm
01:30:55 7	very I like to be one-on-one with my patients.
01:30:58 8	I know very many of them by name and situation, and so
01:31:04 9	I've always felt like I have a really good relationship with
01:31:09 10	the people that I serve in the community.
01:31:11 11	Q And how do you learn that over time if you're
01:31:14 12	essentially filling prescriptions?
01:31:16 13	A Just in talking to the people. It's not always about
01:31:19 14	medications. Sometimes it's about life, other than that.
01:31:23 15	So I just try to touch base with them on, you know, all
01:31:27 16	different aspects as I'm, you know, talking to them maybe
01:31:31 17	about medications also.
01:31:33 18	Q So I'd like to get a little bit of a better sense of
01:31:36 19	how the pharmacy at Walmart looks in case someone hasn't
01:31:39 20	been there.
01:31:40 21	First it so the pharmacy is within a much larger
01:31:45 22	Walmart store; is that right?
01:31:47 23	A Yes, very much.
01:31:47 24	Q If I were to walk into a Walmart, would I find the

01:31:51 25

pharmacy?

01:31:51 1	A At this point, we have a special set of doors labeled
01:31:54 2	pharmacy doors. So we're right inside those doors to the
01:31:57 3	right, so right inside the main doors.
01:31:59 4	Q So right up near the front of the store?
01:32:00 5	A That's correct.
01:32:01 6	Q Who else works in the pharmacy with you as a you're
01:32:04 7	a pharmacist. Who else is up in the pharmacy with you?
01:32:06 8	A Sometimes there is an overlapping pharmacist and we
01:32:11 9	generally always have at least two technicians usually and
01:32:17 10	potentially some cashiers as well.
01:32:19 11	So sometimes we have five technicians in a day, but
01:32:22 12	there's usually a small at least a small group of us back
01:32:26 13	there.
01.32.20	Chere.
01:32:26 14	Q And what is a tech?
01:32:26 14	Q And what is a tech?
01:32:26 14	Q And what is a tech? A technician is at this point somebody who has been
01:32:26 14 01:32:28 15 01:32:31 16	Q And what is a tech? A technician is at this point somebody who has been certified through the State Board of Pharmacy to work in the
01:32:26 14 01:32:28 15 01:32:31 16 01:32:35 17	<pre>Q And what is a tech? A A technician is at this point somebody who has been certified through the State Board of Pharmacy to work in the pharmacy, count medications, some of them are able to</pre>
01:32:26 14 01:32:28 15 01:32:31 16 01:32:35 17 01:32:40 18	Q And what is a tech? A technician is at this point somebody who has been certified through the State Board of Pharmacy to work in the pharmacy, count medications, some of them are able to immunize now, do basic screenings, that kind of thing.
01:32:26 14 01:32:28 15 01:32:31 16 01:32:35 17 01:32:40 18 01:32:44 19	Q And what is a tech? A technician is at this point somebody who has been certified through the State Board of Pharmacy to work in the pharmacy, count medications, some of them are able to immunize now, do basic screenings, that kind of thing. Q How many pharmacists are typically in the store at any
01:32:26 14 01:32:28 15 01:32:31 16 01:32:35 17 01:32:40 18 01:32:44 19 01:32:47 20	Q And what is a tech? A technician is at this point somebody who has been certified through the State Board of Pharmacy to work in the pharmacy, count medications, some of them are able to immunize now, do basic screenings, that kind of thing. Q How many pharmacists are typically in the store at any given time?
01:32:26 14 01:32:28 15 01:32:31 16 01:32:35 17 01:32:40 18 01:32:44 19 01:32:47 20 01:32:49 21	Q And what is a tech? A technician is at this point somebody who has been certified through the State Board of Pharmacy to work in the pharmacy, count medications, some of them are able to immunize now, do basic screenings, that kind of thing. Q How many pharmacists are typically in the store at any given time? A There's always one, obviously. Sometimes there's some

who do you report to? Is there a title or a person that you

01:33:07 25

01:33:10 1	would report to?
01:33:11 2	A My direct report is to my pharmacy manager.
01:33:14 3	Q And does the pharmacy manager have responsibilities
01:33:16 4	outside the pharmacy itself?
01:33:21 5	A I mean, on yeah. They have things that they are
01:33:24 6	required to do that maybe don't deal with the day-to-day
01:33:28 7	business of the pharmacy, so there's certain things, you
01:33:34 8	know, they have to do at a managing level that maybe doesn't
01:33:37 9	have to do with filling prescriptions.
01:33:38 10	Q And is there a separate store manager at the Walmart
01:33:41 11	in Eastlake?
01:33:42 12	A Yeah, there is a store manager.
01:33:44 13	Q So if you were to describe what the difference between
01:33:46 14	being a store manager versus the pharmacy manager?
01:33:48 15	A The pharmacy manager is independently over the
01:33:51 16	pharmacy. The store manager doesn't necessarily have any
01:33:54 17	jurisdiction over the pharmacy.
01:33:56 18	Q Do you report at all to the store manager?
01:33:58 19	A I do not.
01:34:00 20	Q We've had a chance during the number of weeks that
01:34:02 21	we've been here to meet some of the other folks from
01:34:06 22	Walmart, including some colleagues who started as
01:34:07 23	pharmacists and then moved into field management or
01:34:11 24	headquarters.
01:34:12 25	A Um-hmm.

01:34:12 1	Q You've been a pharmacist your entire career; right?
01:34:14 2	A That is correct.
01:34:15 3	Q What took you on that path as opposed to some other
01:34:18 4	path at Walmart?
01:34:19 5	A Between raising my children, I mean, it was a job
01:34:24 6	where I was able to work a couple days a week easily and
01:34:29 7	still be able to be home with my kids. It was also
01:34:34 8	something I wanted to be in the store with working with
01:34:39 9	the public and the community and not necessarily kind of
01:34:43 10	working in a home office or a management type position.
01:34:47 11	\mathbf{Q} So looking back over that 25 years, have you enjoyed
01:34:50 12	being a pharmacist?
01:34:51 13	A I've enjoyed it.
01:34:54 14	Q Why is that?
01:34:54 15	A I've met a lot of really great people, people that I
01:34:57 16	felt counted on me, and so it's been a fulfilling career.
01:35:03 17	Q In fact, if you weren't here today, where would you
01:35:05 18	be?
01:35:05 19	A I'd be at work.
01:35:07 20	Q One of the things that I'd like to do with you if you
01:35:10 21	could is I'd like to talk a little bit about the layout of
01:35:17 22	the pharmacy itself. We haven't heard much about that
01:35:20 23	today.
01:35:20 24	And in preparing for your testimony, you and I helped
01:35:23 25	put together a slide to help you do that; is that right?

01:35:25 1	A That's correct.
01:35:26 2	Q And if I put that up on the screen now, which is
01:35:30 3	DEM well, I may have the wrong title. By the way, Ms.
01:35:34 4	Militello, you have a binder in front of you with documents
01:35:36 5	that I may ask you to take a look at. But do you see the
01:35:41 6	screen well enough?
01:35:42 7	A I see the screen.
01:35:43 8	Q Okay.
01:35:44 9	So it what just generally, what is it that
01:35:47 10	you're showing here in this diagram?
01:35:50 11	A It's just the basic layout of the pharmacy as far as
01:35:55 12	where we interact with the public and where we are kind of
01:35:59 13	doing our prescription filling and checking towards the back
01:36:03 14	of the pharmacy.
01:36:05 15	Q Let me maybe we can walk through a little bit of a
01:36:08 16	situation where if a someone drops off a prescription and
01:36:11 17	then how it kind of works through the pharmacy.
01:36:13 18	A Um-hmm.
01:36:14 19	Q Before doing that, though, does Walmart have a
01:36:21 20	drivethrough at it's pharmacy?
01:36:23 21	A Some do, we do not.
01:36:24 22	Q So if someone's picking something up at your pharmacy
01:36:27 23	or dropping it, is it in person?
01:36:29 24	A It is in person if they're dropping off a hard copy
01:36:34 25	prescription, but the doctor very commonly, now they send it

01:36:36	1	over the computer in what we call an e-script. So that's
01:36:39	2	very common now as well.
01:36:40	3	Q So in particular, I'd like to ask you some questions
01:36:42	4	about some of the security features at the pharmacy.
01:36:44	5	Are you familiar with those?
01:36:45	6	A Yes.
01:36:45	7	Q So let's go first to that door on the front left, the
01:36:49	8	one with the stop sign on it and
01:36:51	9	A Yes.
01:36:51	10	Q And it looks like an old lock. What security
01:36:55	11	features are involved with that door?
01:36:56	12	A At the door, that's a dead bolt and a knob lock that
01:37:02	13	is present there so that and that shuts automatically so
01:37:06	14	that only pharmacy personnel with keys, which is a
01:37:10	15	pharmacist, can enter that door.
01:37:11	16	Q So only the pharmacist has keys?
01:37:13	17	A Yes.
01:37:14	18	Q Do you carry it on you?
01:37:16	19	A I do, on my key chain.
01:37:18 2	20	Q Do you have a key right now?
01:37:19 2	21	A I do.
01:37:20 2	22	Q Okay.
01:37:21 2	23	So then to the right of that, as we're looking at it,
01:37:25 2	24	there are two, looks like windows with drop-offs?
01:37:30 2	25	A That is correct.

01:37:31 1	Q And what happens there?
01:37:32 2	A Drop off is where a customer that does have a
01:37:36 3	prescription or refill, say they sometimes bring in their
01:37:38 4	bottles for refills, that's where they would stop and talk
01:37:41 5	to usually a technician there, sometimes a pharmacist, and
01:37:48 6	get that process of prescription filling started there.
01:37:51 7	${f Q}$ And on the door itself, we have a stop sign there. Is
01:37:54 8	there literally a stop sign on the door at the pharmacy?
01:37:56 9	A Yes, there is literally a stop sign. Yes.
01:37:58 10	Q Looking again across the front, there are two windows
01:38:02 11	that say "consultation." What happens at those windows?
01:38:06 12	A Those are windows where if a person has a random
01:38:13 13	question, maybe about something that's out front or over the
01:38:15 14	counter, they can stop there and we will go over there to
01:38:18 15	talk with them. Also, a patient that is picking up a
01:38:21 16	medication that is new to them or something that we need to
01:38:25 17	talk to them about is directed to that window so that we can
01:38:29 18	have a conversation.
01:38:31 19	Q If you look around inside the pharmacy on the walls,
01:38:38 20	there are, looks like sort of black ovals on the walls in a
01:38:41 21	couple different places.
01:38:43 22	A Yes.
01:38:43 23	Q What are those?
01:38:43 24	A Those are security cameras.
01:38:45 25	Q And are they throughout the pharmacy the way this

01:38:49 1	drawing depicts them?
01:38:50 2	A They're throughout the pharmacy on the walls, also on
01:38:53 3	the ceiling.
01:38:54 4	Q How many do you have on the ceiling?
01:38:58 5	A I can think of two for sure.
01:39:01 6	Q So let's go let's go back through the pharmacist.
01:39:04 7	I think the person in the white coat, is that the
01:39:07 8	pharmacist?
01:39:08 9	A That would represent a pharmacist, I think, yes.
01:39:10 10	Q Is that where you spend most of your time?
01:39:12 11	A I spend some of my time there, yes, certainly.
01:39:14 12	Q So what's a pharmacist bench?
01:39:17 13	A We consider the bench kind of where all the not the
01:39:26 14	filling necessarily but the checking of prescriptions and
01:39:30 15	bagging them for the front takes place.
01:39:33 16	Q Is that just a traditional term that's used?
01:39:36 17	A It is.
01:39:37 18	Q For a pharmacist?
01:39:38 19	A Yes.
01:39:39 20	Q So we often talk about a Judge on a bench. You've got
01:39:43 21	your own bench in the pharmacy?
01:39:44 22	A Right. Right.
01:39:45 23	If we're talking about the pharmacist being on the
01:39:47 24	bench, it usually means that they are working in the filling
01:39:49 25	process of the pharmacy for that day.

01:39:51 1	Q So you said that the pharmacist has the key to the
01:39:54 2	pharmacy. What if the pharmacist has to leave the pharmacy?
01:39:57 3	A If the pharmacist has to leave, then the pharmacy is
01:40:00 4	cleared out and locked.
01:40:03 5	Q So if you had to run to your car to pick something up
01:40:06 6	during the middle of the day?
01:40:07 7	A Yes.
01:40:07 8	Q What would happen?
01:40:08 9	A I would clear everybody out, lock the doors, and go
01:40:12 10	get my item.
01:40:14 11	Q To the far right to the front, there's the there's
01:40:17 12	a steel shutter. What is that showing us?
01:40:19 13	A When we close at night or for lunch, that shutter is
01:40:26 14	directly behind the cash registers but in front of where we
01:40:30 15	store any filled prescriptions for pickup. So that comes
01:40:35 16	down to shutter that area off so no one can gain access.
01:40:39 17	Q So if I'm if I'm at the Walmart pharmacy in the
01:40:42 18	middle of the afternoon and the shutters are down, I'm
01:40:45 19	guessing the pharmacist's phone was in the car or something
01:40:48 20	like that?
01:40:48 21	A Either that, or or lunch, yeah.
01:40:52 22	Q Okay.
01:40:52 23	So when you leave, everything shuts down?
01:40:54 24	A Yes.
01:40:55 25	Q Why is that?

01:40:56 1	A That's to guarantee security of the pharmacy and
01:41:01 2	what's in it.
01:41:02 3	Q All right.
01:41:02 4	Looking to the back left wall, it looks like you don't
01:41:05 5	have to shut down the pharmacy if you need to use the
01:41:08 6	restroom. Is that fair?
01:41:08 7	A That is fair.
01:41:09 8	Q Is that the only access to the restroom point that the
01:41:11 9	pharmacy and the pharmacy personnel are able to use?
01:41:13 10	A That is the only restroom, right. That's the only way
01:41:18 11	in right there.
01:41:19 12	Q So looking back in some of the other items in our
01:41:22 13	drawing here, there are four shelves in the back. What
01:41:25 14	are what are on those four shelves?
01:41:27 15	A Those are what we call bays, in pharmacy term. So
01:41:33 16	those contain the stock bottles of medications that we are
01:41:36 17	going to be using to fill prescriptions.
01:41:39 18	Q So if you need to go pick something up to transfer
01:41:42 19	into a smaller bottle, that's where you start?
01:41:45 20	A That's where we start.
01:41:46 21	Q And at the pharmacist bench, we have we still have
01:41:50 22	some computers on there.
01:41:52 23	A Right.
01:41:52 24	Q We've had some testimony already about Connexus.
01:41:57 25	You're familiar with Connexus?

01:41:58 1	A Yes.
01:41:59 2	Q That's the Walmart pharmacy computer system?
01:42:02 3	A Software, yes.
01:42:03 4	Q How often are you using Connexus on a daily basis?
01:42:06 5	A All day, every workday.
01:42:08 6	Q And so they're handy for your use when you're at the
01:42:11 7	pharmacist bench?
01:42:11 8	A Absolutely.
01:42:12 9	Q Are there other folks in the pharmacy who are using
01:42:15 10	the computer system?
01:42:16 11	A I'm sorry. Could you repeat?
01:42:17 12	Q Sure.
01:42:18 13	Are there other folks working in the pharmacy who
01:42:20 14	might be using the computer system?
01:42:21 15	A Yes.
01:42:22 16	Technicians and on occasion, the cashiers will use the
01:42:27 17	system as well.
01:42:29 18	Q Are there aspects of the computer system that only you
01:42:33 19	can enter?
01:42:35 20	A To enter into Connexus, you have to put a username and
01:42:39 21	password to gain access.
01:42:40 22	Q And who has usernames and passwords?
01:42:43 23	A Everybody has their own individual.
01:42:45 24	Q And are there some aspects, though, of the Connexus
01:42:48 25	system that a pharmacist is only able to access?
J	

01:42:51 1	A Certainly, yes.
01:42:52 2	So if a pharmacist is signed into a computer, we have
01:42:54 3	certain functions that we can do that nobody else can do.
01:42:58 4	Q We've heard quite a bit about the OARRS PMP system.
01:43:02 5	You're familiar with that?
01:43:03 6	A Yes.
01:43:03 7	Q How long have you been able to access OARRS from your
01:43:06 8	computer?
01:43:06 9	A I would say a good 10 years.
01:43:09 10	Q What did you think about OARRS when it first became
01:43:12 11	available?
01:43:12 12	A I thought it was a great program and I was happy to
01:43:15 13	see it implemented. I mean, it's something that I use every
01:43:18 14	day working.
01:43:22 15	Q Is there much communication going on in the pharmacy
01:43:24 16	or is everyone just kind of doing their own work?
01:43:26 17	A It's a whole day of communication. So that's
01:43:29 18	basically all we're doing is trying to make sure everybody
01:43:32 19	knows what's going on and relaying things between people
01:43:37 20	that work. It's constant.
01:43:40 21	Q And that includes between you and the techs as well as
01:43:43 22	the cashier?
01:43:44 23	A That's between pharmacists, between pharmacist and
01:43:48 24	technicians, technicians and cashiers, cashiers and
01:43:53 25	technicians. It's always.

01:43:55 1	Q Why?
01:43:55 2	A Because it's just important for everybody to be aware
01:43:57 3	and knowing if there's a situation that might need attention
01:44:02 4	for one reason or the other.
01:44:05 5	Q How many pharmacists work on a regular basis at the
01:44:10 6	let me tell you why I'm asking this. I don't mean on any
01:44:13 7	given at a particular time.
01:44:14 8	A Um-hmm.
01:44:14 9	Q But overall, how many pharmacists work at the Eastlake
01:44:17 10	store?
01:44:17 11	A In general, we have three steady pharmacists that are
01:44:21 12	working that store.
01:44:23 13	Q Is there any communication among the pharmacists who
01:44:25 14	work in the Eastlake store?
01:44:26 15	A Yeah, most definitely.
01:44:28 16	Q Why is that?
01:44:29 17	A Because we feel it's important for all of us to be on
01:44:33 18	the same page regarding certain things. So we freely, you
01:44:38 19	know, talk about things, whether it's, you know, a specific
01:44:43 20	patient prescription, something we're concerned over,
01:44:46 21	something we want to make sure they know to pass on to a
01:44:49 22	patient, just all kinds of reasons.
01:44:51 23	Q Has that changed at all over time in the 25 years
01:44:54 24	you've been there, the level of communication?
01:44:56 25	A I think we've always communicated between pharmacists,

01:45:00 1	always, yeah.
01:45:01 2	Q Looking back to our drawing here, there's something
01:45:04 3	right in the middle called C-II safe.
01:45:07 4	A Yes.
01:45:08 5	Q What is that?
01:45:08 6	A There is a locked safe that contains the controlled II
01:45:16 7	substances, and it's located behind the pharmacy bench.
01:45:18 8	Q Who has access to that safe?
01:45:19 9	A The pharmacist.
01:45:19 10	Q Is that a key pad access?
01:45:22 11	A It's a magnetic key that allows us access.
01:45:26 12	Q And I don't want to get into particulars here for
01:45:33 13	security reasons, but is there some time-activation element
01:45:37 14	of safety about that safe?
01:45:37 15	A There is, yes.
01:45:38 16	
01:45:40 17	
01:45:40 18	
01:45:49 19	
01:45:51 20	
01:45:52 21	
01:45:58 22	
01:46:00 23	Q And then finally, there's the bagged prescription
01:46:07 24	shelf. I think we've probably seen those before, but tell
01:46:10 25	us what that is.

01:46:11 1	A The bagged prescriptions are after the pharmacist has
01:46:14 2	completed their final visual check on the medication, it
01:46:19 3	goes into a plastic bag which hangs in a day, numerical
01:46:25 4	color order in the front of the pharmacy so that those can
01:46:29 5	be quickly obtained when a patient comes in to pick up their
01:46:36 6	medication.
01:46:36 7	Q And I forgot to mention the motion detectors on the
01:46:39 8	back wall.
01:46:40 9	A Yes.
01:46:40 10	Q I think there's a couple of those. How are those
01:46:43 11	used?
01:46:43 12	A Those are in utilization from what I understand mostly
01:46:46 13	when the alarm is set and going, those motion detectors go
01:46:50 14	into effect to make sure there's no motion in the pharmacy.
01:46:52 15	Q That's when the pharmacy has cleared out and is locked
01:46:55 16	up?
01:46:55 17	A For the night, yeah.
01:46:56 18	Q So if you had a chance to tell someone here's what I
01:46:59 19	do all day and we just went through the description,
01:47:01 20	anything else you want to share with the jury about the
01:47:03 21	drawing?
01:47:04 22	$oldsymbol{\mathtt{A}}$ About the drawing I guess the biggest thing is
01:47:09 23	it's everybody's standing still there and that rarely
01:47:13 24	happens on a regular day in the pharmacy. But other than
01:47:17 25	that, I mean, it's just a it's a process that you kind of

	Militello - Direct/Majoras
01:47:24 1	work and hone over the years, so
01:47:30 2	Q Okay.
01:47:30 3	If we could take that down, I'm going to change
01:47:33 4	topics. And again, this is something that we've had quite a
01:47:36 5	bit of information about this case, and that's the concept
01:47:39 6	of corresponding responsibility.
01:47:40 7	You're familiar with that?
01:47:41 8	A I am familiar, yes.
01:47:42 9	Q What does that mean to you in your practice as a
01:47:44 10	pharmacist?
01:47:45 11	A I believe corresponding responsibility is for me, as a
01:47:49 12	pharmacist, in the process of filling prescriptions of any
01:47:53 13	sort, that I am filling them in pursuant to a
01:47:58 14	prescription from a medical doctor who has diagnosed a
01:48:03 15	patient, and that I'm dispensing them with the proper
01:48:06 16	information they need to take the medication correctly.
01:48:08 17	Q And corresponding responsibility is often used when
01:48:12 18	we're talking about controlled substances; is that right?
01:48:14 19	A Yes.
01:48:14 20	O Is it used in other situations as a pharmacist?

01:48:14 20

Q Is it used in other situations as a pharmacist?

01:48:17 21 01:48:19 22

pharmacist because you have a corresponding responsibility

I feel it goes throughout your practice as a

01:48:22 23

to make sure anything you're dispensing is a proper

01:48:29 24

01:48:29 25

dispense.

Q How long have you been aware that a pharmacist has a

01:48:31	1	corresponding responsibility?
01:48:32	2	A Since I graduated pharmacy school.
01:48:35	3	Q Is that something you learn in pharmacy school?
01:48:37	4	A Certainly, we yeah, certainly we learn that.
01:48:40	5	Q On the times that you've been at Walmart, the
01:48:43	6	25 years, have you had training opportunities?
01:48:46	7	A Oh, yes, we have.
01:48:49	8	Q Could you tell us about those, please?
01:48:51	9	A We've always had computer-based learning process.
01:48:57	10	They offer us continuing education through pharmacist's
01:49:02	11	letter. They've given us opportunities to take continuing
01:49:07	12	education hours in other areas outside of computer-based
01:49:11	13	learning.
01:49:12	14	So they've provided us with situations and types of
01:49:23	15	trainings that we're able to kind of go through and look at.
01:49:28	16	And so we've had a lot of a lot of training throughout.
01:49:31	17	Q As a licensed pharmacist, do you have continuing
01:49:33	18	education responsibilities to maintain your license?
01:49:35	19	A Yes.
01:49:36 2	20	Q Have you always been fully licensed for the last
01:49:39 2	21	25 years or since you graduated?
01:49:40 2	22	A Yes.
01:49:41 2	23	Q Has your license ever been suspended?
01:49:42 2	24	A No.
01:49:43 2	25	Q Any disciplinary measures taken against you by the

01:49:48 1	Board of Pharmacy?
01:49:49 2	A No.
01:49:49 3	Q I should ask this, but you're licensed in Ohio?
01:49:52 4	A Yes.
01:49:52 5	Q Anywhere else?
01:49:53 6	A No.
01:49:54 7	Q Switching switching topics again, are you familiar
01:49:58 8	with the concept of red flags in
01:50:02 9	A Yes.
01:50:02 10	Q In in performing your corresponding responsibility?
01:50:07 11	A Yes, I am.
01:50:07 12	Q What are red flags to you?
01:50:08 13	A Red flags to me are situations in which you come
01:50:13 14	across filling prescriptions, for controlled substances
01:50:17 15	mainly, where something is an issue where it doesn't quite
01:50:25 16	fit with what you feel it should be and something that needs
01:50:29 17	to be resolved before you fill the prescription.
01:50:31 18	Q During the time that you've been a pharmacist, has the
01:50:40 19	term red flags always meant the same thing?
01:50:42 20	A Since I've been a pharmacist, not necessarily. We
01:50:45 21	didn't really use that term when I first started practicing
01:50:48 22	pharmacy.
01:50:48 23	Q What term did you use?
01:50:49 24	A We didn't necessarily have a term other than it was a
01:50:53 25	situation where something in your pharmacist training has

	1
01:50:57 1	told you that something is not right here and that you need
01:50:59 2	to resolve it before you fill a prescription.
01:51:02 3	Q And other than and maybe not using the term red
01:51:06 4	flags, was the process of resolving those situations the
01:51:10 5	same type of thing you're doing today?
01:51:11 6	A Yes.
01:51:11 7	Q Have you had tools available to you to help you in
01:51:15 8	doing that?
01:51:18 9	A I've especially as things have evolved, certainly,
01:51:22 10	yes, but we've always used whatever tools were available
01:51:25 11	that were available to us to be able to make sound decisions
01:51:30 12	in what we filled.
01:51:33 13	Q When you are evaluating prescriptions to assess
01:51:37 14	whether they're legitimate, is that an easy process?
01:51:40 15	A Not always.
01:51:40 16	Q Why?
01:51:41 17	A There's a lot of factors that play into dispensing a
01:51:45 18	prescription, so it's not necessarily a cut-and-dry
01:51:49 19	situation where either it's a yes or a no. There are a lot
01:51:52 20	of gray areas that you have to evaluate and use your best
01:51:57 21	professional judgment to decide whether you're going to fill
01:51:59 22	that or not fill that.
01:52:01 23	Q So you said you've been doing this for 25 years. How
01:52:04 24	does your experience play into helping you resolve
01:52:09 25	determining whether a prescription is legitimate?

01:52:11 1	A Yeah, experience is I would it's a huge a huge
01:52:15 2	measure. The more you've dealt with different situations,
01:52:19 3	different circumstances, different patients, you learn
01:52:23 4	you learn a lot. You learn what to look for.
01:52:27 5	Q Can you give us examples of things that, because of
01:52:29 6	the position you're in now, you might be able to readily
01:52:32 7	assess because of your experience?
01:52:34 8	A Just simply I mean one that sticks out most is I
01:52:37 9	know my patients. So if I have a patient that's been
01:52:40 10	dealing with a newly diagnosed cancer and they have some
01:52:46 11	prescriptions that are from, you know, I don't know, out of
01:52:51 12	the area or a doctor that's kind of obscure that I have not
01:52:55 13	seen before, or it's for a combination of a couple things,
01:52:59 14	maybe to help quell their anxiety and also to help treat the
01:53:03 15	pain of their cancer, it's not something that necessarily is
01:53:05 16	going to cause me to throw up my hands and call the doctor
01:53:08 17	or say I'm not going to fill it. It's something that I
01:53:11 18	evaluate and then talk to the patient about and it's just
01:53:16 19	something I can better evaluate.
01:53:18 20	Q Just if you have a prescription where you don't know
01:53:22 21	the patient or maybe don't know the doctor or the doctor's
01:53:24 22	specialty, how are you able to learn more about that?
01:53:28 23	A Mainly, obviously, we're going to utilize I will
01:53:33 24	utilize OARRS in that case for sure. Also, we have a system
01:53:38 25	or a website available to us that we can look up physicians

01:53:44 1	and we can tell specialties, we can tell licenses, so that's
01:53:49 2	a way. And really by talking to the patient, calling the
01:53:54 3	doctor, if necessary. So there are different things we can
01:53:57 4	do.
01:53:57 5	Q How does the doctor's specialty or how can the
01:54:01 6	doctor's specialty help you in making a decision about a
01:54:03 7	prescription fill?
01:54:05 8	A Well, for instance, if we have a doctor who is
01:54:11 9	that's, you know, a doctor that I know is at Seidman Cancer
01:54:16 10	Center, that makes a big difference on whether I'm getting a
01:54:19 11	high dose opioid prescription from him or if I'm getting it
01:54:22 12	from a primary care provider or pediatrician for an adult or
01:54:28 13	something where I would say, you know, this needs more
01:54:31 14	attention.
01:54:31 15	Q And the Seidman Cancer Center, that's part of the
01:54:35 16	University Hospital system?
01:54:35 17	A It is, yes.
01:54:36 18	Q What about if a doctor has a specialty as being a pain
01:54:41 19	medicine specialist?
01:54:42 20	A Yes.
01:54:42 21	Q Does that give you any information?
01:54:43 22	A Oh, certainly it gives us a background as to why the
01:54:48 23	patient is, you know what the patient is experiencing
01:54:54 24	potentially that is causing them to have to see a doctor of
01:54:57 25	this capacity and then what's being prescribed.

I A And we have a flow mentioned Connecting Ad I doid another
Q And we briefly mentioned Connexus. As I said, another
witness has talked about it, but what is it that Connexus
can tell you, the computer system can tell you?
A Oh, my goodness. Connexus has a lot of different I
mean abilities that we can use, just beyond being able to
look up patients' histories at our pharmacy, doctors,
medication usage, notes from other pharmacists. I mean
there's a lot of other abilities that we have just by having
Connexus in front of us.
Q Going back to the times when it's difficult to make
the call or you get a close call on a prescription whether
to fill, why not just refuse it?
A I can't always just refuse it. I feel like I have to
talk to the patient and I have to do what's in the best
interest of the patient.
So if in professional judgment, after going through
things and kind of evaluating the whole thing I still feel
it's better for the patient to get that prescription, I
don't feel it's ethical for me to say no, I'm not going to
fill it.
Q Have you ever been concerned that you may have gotten
your judgment wrong?
A I'm sure there are always those possibilities of that
happening, so, yeah. There's probably certain times where,
boy, I hope I called that right, but in my heart when I

01:56:28 1	filled it, I felt that I did.
01:56:31 2	Q Have you ever felt any pressure from Walmart to fill
01:56:34 3	any prescriptions that you did not feel comfortable filling?
01:56:36 4	A No.
01:56:38 5	Q Has Walmart ever placed time limits on you as to how
01:56:42 6	quickly you need to fill a prescription?
01:56:43 7	A I've never felt any pressure about how fast I have to
01:56:47 8	fill a prescription. If I feel it needs extra attention,
01:56:50 9	I've given it the extra attention it needs.
01:56:54 10	Q Are you familiar with the term "refusal to fill"?
01:56:57 11	A Yes.
01:56:58 12	Q What is that?
01:56:59 13	A "Refusal to fill" is if we have gone over the
01:57:03 14	information that we have available and we have decided that
01:57:07 15	this is not a prescription that I feel comfortable filling,
01:57:11 16	I can refuse that fill. And subsequently, I would fill out
01:57:15 17	a form stating that fact.
01:57:17 18	Q And what happens with the form?
01:57:19 19	A It's submitted to the home office.
01:57:22 20	Q Is that available to any other pharmacists in the
01:57:26 21	computer system that Walmart operates?
01:57:28 22	A Yes, it is available through Archer. So if I do
01:57:32 23	refuse a specific prescription or basically prescriber, that
01:57:36 24	is able to be seen as to why I refused that prescriber.
01:57:41 25	Q And you mentioned the Archer system. And again, we've

01:57:44 1	heard some testimony about that. That system came into
01:57:47 2	place around 2015; is that right?
01:57:49 3	A I believe so, yes.
01:57:50 4	Q So prior to that, how would pharmacists, how did you
01:57:53 5	as a pharmacist at the Walmart pharmacy learn information
01:57:57 6	about refusals to fill before the computer system had it?
01:58:00 7	A Well, at that time, we didn't necessarily have a
01:58:03 8	specific name for them, but we would talk between
01:58:06 9	pharmacists, both Walmart pharmacists and also pharmacies
01:58:10 10	that maybe were in our area, the, you know, pharmacy down
01:58:15 11	the street or surrounding us within a two-mile radius.
01:58:19 12	We'd kind of give them a call and feel out if they had
01:58:23 13	had any experience with that prescriber or patient or
01:58:25 14	whatever that might be.
01:58:27 15	Q So why would you contact another pharmacy?
01:58:31 16	A Because it was just gathering information in a way
01:58:37 17	that we had available to us to kind of be part of our
01:58:42 18	evaluation process for filling.
01:58:44 19	Q Did you have pharmacist friends at other pharmacies?
01:58:47 20	A Certainly acquainted, we were acquainted with each
01:58:51 21	other, yeah.
01:58:52 22	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And how would you make a decision as to when is the
01:58:54 23	right time to talk to another pharmacy or another
01:58:57 24	pharmacist?
01:58:58 25	A It would depend on the issue that we were having with

01:59:03 1	a particular prescription. If it was something that really				
01:59:06 2	did not sit well, then we would call I would call around				
01:59:10 3	and say, you know, I'm not sure if you've ever filled				
01:59:15 4	anything for this patient, but have you had any experience				
01:59:19 5	with them, or this prescriber, have you had any experience				
01:59:23 6	with this prescriber, just to find out if that was something				
01:59:27 7	on anyone else's radar.				
01:59:30 8	Q Would you ever get contacts in reverse, someone else				
01:59:32 9	calling you?				
01:59:33 10	A Yes.				
01:59:33 11	Q And did you find those to be useful?				
01:59:35 12	A Certainly, yeah.				
01:59:36 13	Q Why?				
01:59:36 14	A Um-hmm. It was just another way to gather				
01:59:40 15	information. So if somebody else was questioning something,				
01:59:43 16	that would definitely be put in the files of the brain just				
01:59:49 17	for future reference.				
01:59:52 18	Q In your work as a pharmacist in the Eastlake store,				
01:59:55 19	have you had to have contacts with law enforcement				
01:59:58 20	individuals?				
01:59:59 21	A Yes.				
01:59:59 22	Q Why?				
02:00:01 23	A We would also contact Lake Narcotics fairly regularly				
02:00:07 24	if there was a physician we had a question about, if there				
02:00:09 25	was a patient that we were kind of concerned about, we would				

02:00:13 1	contact Lake Narcotics to let them know that we were having				
02:00:17 2	the concerns, maybe they had already had concerns from other				
02:00:20 3	pharmacies as well, and they would let us know that.				
02:00:23 4	So there was just a communication process with them.				
02:00:25 5	Q If you had a concern that a prescription was forged or				
02:00:28 6	was fraudulent				
02:00:30 7	A Yes.				
02:00:30 8	Q would you have contacts with the law enforcement				
02:00:33 9	officials?				
02:00:33 10	A Yes.				
02:00:33 11	Q Same folks over at the Lake County Narcotics?				
02:00:39 12	A Right, yes.				
02:00:40 13	Q Anyone else?				
02:00:41 14	A Depends.				
02:00:42 15	I never personally have had a case where the forgery				
02:00:45 16	has gone to the point of the doctor having to fax us a				
02:00:49 17	signed release that that indeed was forged and that we've				
02:00:51 18	had to call in law enforcement other than that to take care				
02:00:55 19	of the situation.				
02:00:56 20	So I have never, but it can happen that way, too.				
02:00:59 21	Q We've all had a chance to meet Trey Edwards, who				
02:01:03 22	testified earlier.				
02:01:04 23	Do you know Mr. Edwards or Agent Edwards?				

A I do know him, yes.

Q How do you know him?

02:01:06 24

02:01:06 25

02:01:08 1	A When I first started, he was the Lake Narcotics agent				
02:01:13 2	that would come into our store fairly regularly.				
02:01:15 3	Q Did you know that his wife was a pharmacist?				
02:01:18 4	A I did. I know Jen. She works at the Giant Eagle,				
02:01:23 5	yep.				
02:01:23 6	Q How would you describe your interaction in				
02:01:25 7	relationship with the law enforcement officials in				
02:01:27 8	Lake County?				
02:01:27 9	A As far as Lake Narcotics, I thought it was great. I				
02:01:30 10	mean, we had a really good relationship with them, and we				
02:01:35 11	always felt like, you know, they would address things pretty				
02:01:40 12	promptly to a point, and then I think they got overrun.				
02:01:45 13	Q The oh, the narcotics enforcement group?				
02:01:48 14	A Yeah.				
02:01:49 15	Q Switching topics yet again, you talked about resolving				
02:01:54 16	red flags. And I'd like to talk to you now about				
02:01:56 17	documentation.				
02:01:57 18	A Um-hmm.				
02:01:57 19	${f Q}$ When you identify and take steps to resolve a red				
02:02:02 20	flag, do you document it?				
02:02:03 21	A If I have taken a step to yes, to call, to work out				
02:02:09 22	something that wasn't, you know, making sense or I would				
02:02:14 23	try to document that, yes.				
02:02:15 24	$oldsymbol{Q}$ You said when you take when you had taken steps.				
02:02:17 25	Are there other ways, short of taking steps that you				

02:02:22 1	described, that you can resolve red flags?
02:02:24 2	A Yeah. I mean, the red flags that are listed aren't
02:02:30 3	always generally a red flag, so they're listed as potential
02:02:33 4	red flags.
02:02:34 5	So sometimes I can look at a prescription and what may
02:02:37 6	be a red flag for a pharmacist that's visiting from, I don't
02:02:42 7	know, Toledo, they might have to call on that prescription.
02:02:47 8	I don't necessarily have to because I can work it out
02:02:49 9	in my process that I know it's a good prescription.
02:02:53 10	Q So it sounds like there might be like a chicken or egg
02:02:56 11	problem; when is it a red flag versus when it's something
02:03:00 12	you're able to resolve right away.
02:03:01 13	How do you how do you do that?
02:03:03 14	A I just evaluate the prescription for what it is, who's
02:03:06 15	writing it, who's the patient, what's the medication, how's
02:03:11 16	it being prescribed, how many tablets are being prescribed,
02:03:17 17	so what other medications does the patient take.
02:03:20 18	Q You mentioned that when you take steps, you try to
02:03:23 19	document it. What about if you were to check OARRS?
02:03:27 20	A I document that I check, yes.
02:03:29 21	Q All right.
02:03:31 22	And so we're clear on what documentation is as a
02:03:33 23	pharmacist, when you first of all, where do you document
02:03:36 24	that you checked OARRS?

A Generally, I -- it's documented for sure on the

02:03:38 25

02:03:43 1	prescription, but also in Connexus.
02:03:45 2	Q And when you put it in Connexus, give us an example of
02:03:49 3	what you'd actually type in, like the words or phrases?
02:03:52 4	A Well, we kind of keep things kind of shorthand. So
02:03:55 5	generally, I'll type in these days, I type in Narx
02:03:59 6	because we use a NarxCare application mostly, so then I'll
02:04:02 7	write Narx and then the date and my initials.
02:04:04 8	Q So if someone were to look that up later, it would
02:04:09 9	come up let's talk about OARRS. How would you abbreviate
02:04:12 10	OARRS?
02:04:12 11	A Usually I abbreviated OARRS with just an O.
02:04:16 12	Q So it would show O then what?
02:04:17 13	A Then the date that I checked OARRS, and then my
02:04:20 14	initials.
02:04:20 15	Q And is that standard at Walmart, that when something
02:04:23 16	is put into the system, you put your initials after it?
02:04:27 17	A Yes.
02:04:31 18	Q I'd like to walk you through a few prescriptions
02:04:36 19	A Sure.
02:04:37 20	Q that you actually filled, and maybe that will help
02:04:40 21	even further explain to the jury how you go about analyzing
02:04:44 22	prescriptions as a pharmacist.
02:04:47 23	So, in the binder in front of you, you have you
02:04:49 24	have a binder with some tabs on it, 1 through 9, I believe.
02:04:54 25	A I'm not seeing it.

02:04:59 1	Q Oh, it's coming. It's on its way.
02:05:03 2	A Okay. Got it. Okay.
02:05:13 3	Q So what I'd like you to do is if you'll turn to Tab 1
02:05:16 4	of your binder and for the record, this is
02:05:27 5	WMT-MDL-01343-0501.
02:05:30 6	And before we blow that up so it's a little easier to
02:05:33 7	read, what is the difference between what's at the top of
02:05:35 8	the screen and the bottom of the screen?
02:05:42 9	A Okay.
02:05:43 10	So the top of the screen is the actual it's called
02:05:49 11	On-Call Data but it was a faxing process. So that is the
02:05:53 12	actual prescription, and the bottom part of the sheet is our
02:05:57 13	what we call an end-of-day label.
02:06:00 14	Q What is the what is an end-of-day label, and what
02:06:03 15	does it do?
02:06:04 16	A It helps sequentially file a prescription, and it's
02:06:07 17	got the pertinent information on that prescription. It
02:06:11 18	tells the prescription number, patient, the date of birth
02:06:16 19	for the patient, the date it was filled, who actually
02:06:22 20	what we call four point, which is a process before filling
02:06:26 21	where the pharmacist visually compares the prescription to
02:06:30 22	what's been input into Connexus.
02:06:34 23	So that's a four point. It tells who did that. It

tells who visual verifies, which means when the prescription

has been physically filled and it comes to the pharmacist

02:06:37 24

02:06:40 25

o2:06:43 1 for that pharmacist to look at the label, the drug inside,

o2:06:48 2 the name, all of that kind of thing. So that's the visual

verify pharmacist.

And then the fill is the technician or potential pharmacist who filled that prescription, so actually took the stock bottle from the shelf and counted that out. It also --

Q No --

02:06:52 4

02:06:55 5

02:06:59 6

02:07:04 7

02:07:04 8

02:07:06 9

02:07:07 11

02:07:10 12

02:07:17 13

02:07:22 14

02:07:24 15

02:07:26 16

02:07:29 17

02:07:30 18

02:07:34 19

02:07:39 20

02:07:41 21

02:07:43 22

02:07:47 23

02:07:49 24

02:07:50 25

- A I'm sorry.
- 02:07:07 10 **Q** No. I'm sorry.
 - A It also gives the doctor's name, address, the DEA, which is their Drug Enforcement Agency number, and the NPI, which is a number used for prescribers, pharmacies, pharmacist number, along with the drug.
 - Q Just so we're clear, so this label, the end-of-day label, that's something that you at the pharmacy put on to the back of the prescription?
 - A If it's a hard copy prescription, yes. If it's a medication that's sent by e-script, then it prints off at end of day.
 - Q I want to go back to the original prescription but before we do that, since it's -- well, it disappeared.

 Let's go to the original prescription, and we'll work our way back.
 - **A** Okay.

02	:	07	:	54	1

Q All right.

02:07:54 2

02:07:57 3

02:08:01 4

02:08:03 5

02:08:08 6

02:08:10 7

02:08:11 8

02:08:14 9

02:08:18 10

02:08:22 11

02:08:27 12

02:08:29 13

02:08:33 14

02:08:37 15

02:08:41 16

02:08:45 17

02:08:50 18

02:08:52 19

02:08:57 20

02:09:00 21

02:09:02 22

02:09:02 23

02:09:05 24

02:09:09 25

So what I'd really like you to do is take us through how you, as a pharmacist, when you're looking at this, what is some of the pertinent information that you are trying to

look at right away to help you in making your decision about

how to fill it?

A Okay.

So the first thing that I would have noticed is that it was a morphine prescription, and it came over as a fax.

And so that is not allowed to be done. A fax cannot happen unless it's a hospice patient or a long-term care patient.

So the first thing I would have looked for is to make sure that that hospice patient was written on there because by law, it has to be written on there. So it was in the notes. So, therefore, I know it came from a hospice facility for a hospice patient.

- Q So there's some handwriting at the top that also says hospice patients, some initials and a date. What's that?
- A That was just me verifying that I did see hospice patient on the prescription itself that came over, and those initials are my initials and the date that I filled the prescription.
- Q So you said that one of the things you had to check is because it's a fax, you were allowed to receive faxes from hospice providers; correct?

Α Correct. 02:09:10 1 02:09:11 2 0 Okay. What else does that tell you about this particular 02:09:11 3 patient or this prescription, knowing it's from a hospice? 02:09:13 4 Well, the prescription itself is for morphine 02:09:16 5 solution. And so right there, the way that it's written for 02:09:24 6 02:09:28 7 a bottle of morphine solution and to take it every hour in 02:09:32 8 that manner for shortness of breath or pain as needed, that 02:09:37 9 to me tells me that either, number one, they're at the very 02:09:41 10 end of life, or number two, that this was sent over in combination with some other medications that they keep on 02:09:46 11 02:09:51 12 hand. We call it a hospice kit, but that's a combination of 02:09:56 13 medications that are kept on hand at the hospice patient's 02:10:00 14 home so that when they are experiencing end-of-life symptoms 02:10:06 15 and issues, that they can immediately have these -- access 02:10:10 16 to these to be able to be given. 02:10:14 17 So when you say a hospice kit, is that something 02:10:17 18 pre-packaged? 02:10:17 19 It's not pre-packaged. We don't pre-package it, but 02:10:20 20 it is something that hospice orders usually in a sequential 02:10:25 21 format. They'll order this group of medications so it's 02:10:28 22 available to the hospice nurse. 02:10:30 23 Is there a -- here we have the morphine being taken by 02:10:33 24 mouth. Is there something that you would typically expect

to be in a kit if that was ordered --

02:10:35 25

02:10:39 1	A Yeah, definitely the morphine is one medication that's
02:10:42 2	generally ordered. The Lorazepam or brand name is Ativan so
02:10:48 3	it's a benzodiazapine. That's very often ordered for that
02:10:51 4	kit.
02:10:53 5	There's another drug called Hyoscyamine, which is for
02:10:56 6	excessive secretions. So they'll very often put that liquid
02:11:00 7	in there for patients so that they have some relief from
02:11:03 8	that.
02:11:04 9	Sometimes haloperidol, which will calm agitation. So
02:11:09 10	that's usually the group of them we might see.
02:11:11 11	${f Q}$ So looking further at the prescription in front of
02:11:16 12	you, there's some information we've talked about before with
02:11:19 13	the jury. It's redacted so we can't get the personal health
02:11:21 14	information, but there's a date of birth that would be on
02:11:24 15	the prescription?
02:11:24 16	A Um-hmm, yes.
02:11:25 17	Q Is that something you look at, the date of birth of
02:11:28 18	the individual?
02:11:29 19	A Certainly it's something we would take into account,
02:11:32 20	but hospice can happen at any point in life. So it wouldn't
02:11:36 21	necessarily be any type of deciding factor.
02:11:42 22	Q Anything else as we look at this that you think it
02:11:45 23	would be interesting to point out to the jury as you were
02:11:48 24	as you would evaluate a script?

A Well, the patient -- or the, I'm sorry, prescriber, is

02:11:49 25

02:11:54 1	a prescriber whose address comes up as Ridge Road in Parma,
02:11:59 2	which is definitely not in Eastlake territory, but that is
02:12:04 3	because usually these hospice providers are out of an office
02:12:10 4	and they see the hospice patients.
02:12:13 5	So it's not anything unusual to see a centralized
02:12:17 6	office address like that on there.
02:12:19 7	Q But so it's a centralized office but where might
02:12:22 8	the actual hospice care be?
02:12:25 9	A At home.
02:12:26 10	Q And you mentioned Parma. That's just a little bit
02:12:29 11	southwest of downtown Cleveland?
02:12:31 12	A Right, yes.
02:12:32 13	${f Q}$ Anything else that strikes you as noteworthy on this
02:12:37 14	prescription?
02:12:46 15	A Not necessarily, no.
02:12:48 16	Q Okay. Let's turn back to the end-of-day label. I
02:12:52 17	want to see if we could put out a few things there.
02:13:02 18	Thank you, Mr. Perry, for turning that right side up.
02:13:05 19	So you've covered some of this already, but tell us
02:13:08 20	what a four point is, the 4pt. What is a four point to a
02:13:15 21	pharmacist?
02:13:15 22	A To a pharmacist, as we're on the bench there, there's
02:13:17 23	a there's queues that we look at. Four point is one of
02:13:23 24	those queues and it prioritizes, Connexus prioritizes those

queues and brings them up on our screen.

02:13:26 25

02:13:28 1	So in the four-point process or the four-point queue,
02:13:32 2	the actual copy of the prescription, if it was a hard copy,
02:13:36 3	it's scanned into the system at the drop-off window. If
02:13:41 4	it's an e-script, then that e-script is just what shows up
02:13:45 5	on our four point screen so that we can compare information
02:13:49 6	from the prescription itself to what we have input into
02:13:54 7	Connexus.
02:13:57 8	Q And then you have the VV, which is visual
02:14:00 9	verification?
02:14:00 10	A Correct.
02:14:00 11	Q So how does that differ from the four point?
02:14:03 12	A The visual verify is actually the process of the
02:14:07 13	pharmacist checking the physical drug itself, the bottle,
02:14:12 14	the label, make sure that all matches up. And generally,
02:14:17 15	during the visual verify, for a prescription, I usually will
02:14:22 16	go back over the prescription itself to make sure that the
02:14:25 17	label reads properly.
02:14:28 18	Q So when you have your initials as the LMI by VV, is
02:14:34 19	that the equivalent of saying that you're the pharmacist
02:14:36 20	that ultimately filled this prescription?
02:14:37 21	A I'm the pharmacist that four-pointed it and also
02:14:41 22	visual verified that drug. My technician filled it.
02:14:44 23	Q By and by filling it, what is the technician
02:14:47 24	actually doing?
02:14:48 25	A She is or he, but she in this case, is removing the

stock bottle from the pharmacy shelf and then filling that
medication and placing it on a rack for us to check.

Now, in this case, it was morphine. So she would have
to then come to me and say, I need morphine solution. I

and then I get that medication out.

If it's a medication where we need a count, she'll generally do that initial count. She will double count it, and then I will triple count it when I get to it and back count the stock bottle.

- Q So as you look at a prescription like this, and this one was filled in 2013, anything about this prescription that raises some flags or concerns to you?
- A No.

02:15:10 5

02:15:14 6

02:15:23 8

02:15:28 9

02:15:31 10

02:15:32 11

02:15:35 12

02:15:37 13

02:15:40 14

02:15:42 15

02:15:45 16

02:15:49 17

02:15:51 18

02:15:52 19

02:15:54 20

02:15:59 21

02:16:03 22

02:16:05 23

02:16:10 24

02:16:14 25

02:15:18

- **Q** Would you be surprised to know that you also filled a prescription written by the same doctor for the same patient for Lorazepam on the same day?
- A No.
- **Q** What is Lorazepam?

have to go to the safe.

- A Lorazepam is a benzodiazapine used for anxiety.
- **Q** And why would that not surprise you?
- A Because as I mentioned earlier, a lot of times it's issued to the patient for that hospice kit. And also a hospice patient, even if it's not for the kit, there's usually an amount of anxiety that goes along with that

02:16:18	1	process. So that would not have raised any red flag for me.
02:16:21	2	Q So if a one of the experts for the plaintiffs were
02:16:24	3	to say that this prescription has a red flag because it's a
02:16:28	4	combination of a benzo and an opioid, what's your response
02:16:37	5	to that?
02:16:37	6	A I would say not in this case.
02:16:38	7	Q Are there some cases where it would be?
02:16:40	8	A Some cases it would be.
02:16:41	9	Q And how do you go by differentiating which ones are
02:16:47 1	0	and which ones aren't?
02:16:47 1	1	A By evaluating the prescription, the prescriber, the
02:16:50 1	2	patient, the diagnosis.
02:16:53 1	3	Q Would you feel, if you filled both the Lorazepam and
02:16:58 1	4	the morphine prescriptions at the same time, would you feel
02:17:00 1	5	an obligation to write down why you did it and how you did
02:17:03 1	6	it?
02:17:04 1	7	A No, I would not.
02:17:04 1	8	Q Why not?
02:17:05 1	9	A Because it's something that, in my practice of
02:17:09 2	0	pharmacy, that's not something that should raise it
02:17:13 2	1	doesn't raise a red flag to me. So I wouldn't I wouldn't
02:17:16 2	2	document it.
02:17:17 2	3	Q Okay. Let's take a look at another prescription.
02:17:20 2	4	This is in Tab 2 of your binder. And the for the
02:17:28 2	5	record, the number is WMT-MDL-01343_0110.

02:17:41 1	And then I'll also put in the record that we have an
02:17:44 2	additional, which I think is just the blowup of this,
02:17:48 3	WMT-MDL-01343-011.
02:17:55 4	And I'll actually look at mine to see if I said that
02:18:01 5	correctly. And there's a reason why I do that because I was
02:18:04 6	wrong. Okay.
02:18:04 7	Let's the second number I gave you is actually
02:18:07 8	going to be the end-of-day label. Let's look first at what
02:18:10 9	we have in front of us. And I'd like to really have you
02:18:14 10	kind of go through what you did with the prescription you
02:18:17 11	saw a moment ago.
02:18:17 12	What are if this is in front of you, what's
02:18:20 13	important, what are you looking at? How are you evaluating
02:18:22 14	this?
02:18:22 15	A For the prescription in front of me, the first thing I
02:18:26 16	would notice is the fact that it's a dental prescription, so
02:18:30 17	it's coming from a dentist.
02:18:32 18	Q Why does that matter to you?
02:18:34 19	A Because a dentist is likely in this case, with Ativan
02:18:39 20	like this, which is Lorazepam, which is used for anxiety,
02:18:45 21	they are likely to write this for a procedure that may
02:18:49 22	really make a patient nervous. And so they will issue this,
02:18:54 23	as it looks like he did, for just a small amount, 12
02:19:02 24	tablets, which is in parenthesis, to cover three

appointments for the patient. And the directions on that

02:19:07 25

02:19:10 1	read, "Two tablets the evening before going to bed and then
02:19:14 2	two tablets the hour before the procedure."
02:19:17 3	So and then they make sure they write on there to
02:19:21 4	not drive or use heavy machinery. So that's just to make
02:19:24 5	sure the patient knows that they're going to need a driver.
02:19:27 6	Q So let's do what I think will be pretty simple math.
02:19:31 7	There are three appointments, and it looks for each
02:19:34 8	appointment, the prescription is to take two tablets the
02:19:38 9	night before and then two tablets an hour before the
02:19:41 10	appointment; is that right?
02:19:42 11	A That's right.
02:19:43 12	Q So for three appointments, you would end up with 12
02:19:46 13	tablets; is that right?
02:19:46 14	A That's correct.
02:19:52 15	Q Anything else with this particular prescription that
02:19:54 16	you would be looking at?
02:19:55 17	A The dentist is out of Great Northern, which is North
02:19:58 18	Olmsted, which is probably 40 minutes from Eastlake, but in
02:20:03 19	the case of dental prescriptions, sometimes people go to a
02:20:06 20	specific dentist to have a specific procedure. So that
02:20:09 21	wouldn't have raised any type of issue or red flag for me.
02:20:12 22	Q And you personally are familiar with North Olmsted?
02:20:15 23	A I am, yeah. That's where I worked on and off for a
02:20:18 24	few years after my first son was born.
02:20:22 25	Q Okay. So let's now turn to the next page, and I'll

	MILITERIO DILECT/ Majoras
02:20:25 1	just make sure the record is clear on this.
02:20:27 2	This, in fact, is WMT-MDL-01343-09641. Let's blow
02:20:38 3	that up.
02:20:39 4	Now, do you recognize this as the end-of-day label for
02:20:41 5	that prescription we just looked at?
02:20:47 6	A Yes, that appears to be correct.
02:20:50 7	${f Q}$ So take us just quickly through. Who did the four
02:20:53 8	point on this one?
02:20:54 9	A The four point was done by a colleague well,
02:20:58 10	actually the pharmacy manager at that time.
02:21:00 11	Q And the visual verify is you?
02:21:02 12	A That's correct.
02:21:03 13	${f Q}$ Is it unusual that one pharmacist would do the four
02:21:07 14	point and another would do the visual verify?
02:21:10 15	A No, not at all.
02:21:11 16	Q And then the fill is by someone with the initials PAH?
02:21:15 17	A That's a technician.
02:21:18 18	Q And then also here, there's a the PAT, PAY, is that
02:21:24 19	patient pay?
02:21:24 20	A That is correct, right.
02:21:25 21	Q So what does that indicate?
02:21:27 22	A I think that would be indicating how much the patient
02:21:30 23	actually did pay for the prescription.
02:21:32 24	Q So \$5.73, if I'm reading that correctly?
02:21:36 25	A Yes.

02:21:37 1	Q Anything else about the end-of-day label that you
02:21:42 2	think is worth sharing?
02:21:47 3	A Not not necessarily, no.
02:21:49 4	Q Okay.
02:21:49 5	So taken together, the prescription and the end-of-day
02:21:53 6	label, any red flags or concerns to you about this?
02:21:56 7	A No, not for me.
02:22:01 8	Q So would it surprise you to know that on the same day,
02:22:09 9	from the same prescriber, the same dentist, there is another
02:22:13 10	medication that you filled for hydro APAP?
02:22:18 11	A No, that would not surprise me.
02:22:19 12	Q Okay.
02:22:19 13	So first let's go let's talk what is hydro APAP?
02:22:24 14	And I'll tell you the strength was 10/500 milligrams.
02:22:27 15	A So that's hydrocodone, which is an opioid narcotic,
02:22:31 16	and then it's in combination with acetaminophen, which is
02:22:35 17	like Tylenol.
02:22:36 18	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And why would that not surprise you that would you
02:22:39 19	also see that prescription that day for this patient?
02:22:40 20	A Because the patient is going in for three different
02:22:44 21	appointments. So I would take into account that the
02:22:47 22	patient's having a procedure done that they very likely need
02:22:50 23	pain treatment for.
02:22:52 24	Q So when you take those two together, does that raise a
02:22:55 25	red flag for you or a concern?

02:22:57 1	A It doesn't raise a concern.
02:22:59 2	It's worth noting to the patient that there are
02:23:03 3	certain risks involved, but it doesn't it doesn't create
02:23:08 4	a red flag that I would not fill that combination.
02:23:10 5	Q So if one of the witnesses for the plaintiffs said,
02:23:13 6	wait, this is this is a red flag, what's your response?
02:23:15 7	A That is not a red flag for me, no.
02:23:18 8	Q But this is these two products are, again, a benzo
02:23:23 9	and a
02:23:24 10	A An opioid.
02:23:24 11	Q an opioid?
02:23:26 12	A Yes.
02:23:29 13	Q What if what if we go back. You saw the on the
02:23:37 14	end-of-day label, we mentioned the patient pay, the \$5.73.
02:23:42 15	Does that raise an issue for you?
02:23:43 16	A It does not raise an issue because sometimes patients
02:23:52 17	don't have prescription insurance. And for this medication,
02:23:57 18	the way it was prescribed from the dentist, I'm thinking
02:24:01 19	that's something they're not going to forego because they
02:24:04 20	can't put it on a prescription insurance so they decided to
02:24:08 21	pay out of pocket for it.
02:24:10 22	Q And I've been told that I, once again, made a mistake
02:24:14 23	in my label of the document.
02:24:17 24	So the label we're looking at, the end-of-day label is
02:24:21 25	WMT-MDL-01343_0111.

02:24:31 1	Your Honor, thank you. Thank you to my colleagues.
02:24:33 2	Okay.
02:24:34 3	Let's take let's take a look at one more if you
02:24:36 4	would, please. This should be behind Tab 3. And I will
02:24:46 5	read into the record that this is WMT-MDL-01343_0963. If we
02:25:02 6	could blow that up for Ms. Militello?
02:25:03 7	So let's do the same thing. Take us through what you
02:25:05 8	think is, as you're looking at this, the information that
02:25:08 9	you think is useful to know as you're thinking about filling
02:25:10 10	it.
02:25:10 11	A So the first thing, again, is noticing that it's
02:25:13 12	written by a DDS, which is a dentist prescription. It's for
02:25:19 13	a pain medication, which certainly would not be anything
02:25:25 14	unusual.
02:25:31 15	It's for, I'm sorry, 25 tablets, which is a fairly low
02:25:34 16	amount for 2013. It was a very reasonable amount, for sure.
02:25:39 17	Q And this shows that the dosage is one tablet every
02:25:46 18	four to six hours. So how many days of medication is this?
02:25:50 19	A That's going to be probably five to seven days worth
02:25:58 20	of medication.
02:25:58 21	Q Anything, as you look at a prescription from a dental
02:26:02 22	office of this nature, anything standing out to you as a
02:26:04 23	concern or a red flag?
02:26:07 24	A As of 2013, no.
02:26:10 25	\mathbf{Q} So when you say as of 2013, why are you distinguishing

02:26:13 1	that?
02:26:13 2	A Because now, in 2021, there are acute pain guidelines
02:26:18 3	that have kind of been put in place that we might I
02:26:23 4	definitely would call to make sure that the patient is not
02:26:28 5	taking any more than four of those in a day for no longer
02:26:32 6	than seven days. So there might have been a call made in
02:26:36 7	2021 regarding that.
02:26:37 8	Q When did those acute pain guidelines come into place?
02:26:42 9	A I'm going to say probably in the last five years.
02:26:44 10	Q Let's turn to the next page in your binder. This is
02:26:49 11	the end-of-day label. WMT-MDL-01343_ 0964. Blow that up,
02:27:02 12	please. Okay.
02:27:06 13	This is the do you recognize this as the end-of-day
02:27:08 14	label for the prescription we just saw?
02:27:09 15	A Yes, I do.
02:27:10 16	Q And this means when you have a label like this, the
02:27:13 17	information would show you that the prescription was filled;
02:27:15 18	right?
02:27:16 19	A Right, because there's a visual verify pharmacist on
02:27:19 20	that.
02:27:19 21	Q And who's that?
02:27:20 22	A That's me.
02:27:22 23	Q And you also did the four point on this one; right?
02:27:25 24	A I did.
02:27:26 25	Q And here we have a patient pay and also shows a

02:27:30 1	Number, \$21.72?
02:27:33 2	A Correct.
02:27:35 3	Q Anything about this prescription, looking at the front
02:27:38 4	and the back of it, that raises some concerns to you as you
02:27:43 5	look back to 2013?
02:27:46 6	A No.
02:28:05 7	Q So if I were to tell you that on the same day you also
02:28:08 8	filled a prescription for diazepam, a 10-milligram, one
02:28:17 9	one dose, a single pill for one day, would that surprise
02:28:19 10	you?
02:28:19 11	A No.
02:28:20 12	Q Why not?
02:28:21 13	A Again, that's probably for a dose prior to a
02:28:24 14	procedure.
02:28:26 15	Q Is that something is this type of combination of
02:28:31 16	medication something that you see in what you're doing as a
02:28:35 17	pharmacist at Walmart?
02:28:35 18	A Yeah, I see it quite often.
02:28:37 19	Q And what about specifically with respect to dentists?
02:28:40 20	A Yes, quite often with dentists.
02:28:44 21	Q So if someone were to say to you that there are red
02:28:47 22	flags because of the combination of the benzo and the
02:28:55 23	opioid, and the fact that the patient paid cash, what's your
02:28:57 24	response to that?
02:28:58 25	A The patient paying cash, they were born in 1985 I can

02:29:03	1	see, so in 2013, that may be a patient that did not have
02:29:09	2	prescription insurance. So that really this prescription
02:29:13	3	and that combination would not give me any red flag.
02:29:17	4	Q So didn't you write everything you just said on the
02:29:20	5	prescription back when you filled it?
02:29:21	6	A Because when I was evaluating the prescription, it was
02:29:24	7	second nature to me to know those facts.
02:29:27	8	Q When you say second nature, what's that based on?
02:29:31	9	A My experience as a pharmacist and what I know about
02:29:34	10	those medications, I guess.
02:29:40	11	Q Okay. Again, switching topics a bit. I'd like to
02:29:43	12	talk to you a little bit about when do you not fill a
02:29:45	13	prescription. Is there a phrase that you use at Walmart as
02:29:48	14	a pharmacist for when you do not when you decide the
02:29:51	15	prescription should not be filled?
02:29:52	16	A We consider that a refusal to fill.
02:29:54	17	Q Does that also include instances where you believe
02:29:57	18	there's a forgery?
02:30:00	19	A Oh, yes. Yeah.
02:30:02	20	Q But also times when you're just uncomfortable using
02:30:06	21	your corresponding responsibility and judgment; is that
02:30:07	22	right?
02:30:07	23	A Yes.
02:30:17	24	Q What do you do with a prescription when you refuse to
02:30:20	25	fill it? Let's start a forged prescription, one that you

02:30:24 1	think might be forged. What do you do with that
02:30:26 2	prescription?
02:30:26 3	A If it's a forged prescription, we would have to have
02:30:28 4	contact with the doctor, and we would have to have the
02:30:31 5	doctor confirm to us in writing that that was a forged
02:30:34 6	prescription. And in that case, the prescription would then
02:30:37 7	be confiscated from the patient.
02:30:41 8	Q And did you do anything else once you were you had
02:30:45 9	the belief that it was forged?
02:30:47 10	A I mean, certainly we would contact law enforcement,
02:30:50 11	let them know that as soon as the doctor has confirmed it.
02:30:53 12	Q What about a situation where you just were
02:30:57 13	uncomfortable filling it because you were not sure the
02:30:59 14	prescription was appropriately prescribed for legitimate
02:31:02 15	medical purpose? What would you do with those
02:31:04 16	prescriptions?
02:31:06 17	A With those prescriptions, I mean, we were not allowed
02:31:09 18	to just keep the prescription simply because we had a belief
02:31:13 19	in that manner. So the prescription would have to be
02:31:17 20	returned to the patient.
02:31:19 21	${f Q}$ And do you do anything with the prescription so that
02:31:21 22	if the patient tries to fill it somewhere else, there's a
02:31:25 23	reference to it that they stopped at your pharmacy first?
02:31:30 24	A So very often, there's maybe a sticker that had to be
02:31:36 25	peeled off or there may be some pharmacist writing on the

02:31:40 1	front of that prescription that would indicate to a pharmacy
02:31:42 2	that was getting it next that that has already been dropped
02:31:44 3	off at a pharmacy and not filled.
02:31:52 4	Q You've heard of the phrase blanket refusal to fill?
02:31:54 5	A I have.
02:31:55 6	Q What is that?
02:31:55 7	A Blanket refusal is when the pharmacist has taken it
02:31:59 8	upon themselves to refuse filling for a prescriber in
02:32:03 9	general.
02:32:04 10	So you have a prescriber you get prescriptions for.
02:32:06 11	You don't feel that they prescribe in a manner that is
02:32:11 12	appropriate so you decide that you are not going to fill
02:32:14 13	controlled prescriptions for that prescriber.
02:32:16 14	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And have you done that within your time as a
02:32:18 15	pharmacist at Walmart?
02:32:19 16	A I did do it, yes.
02:32:20 17	Q And, so, are there situations where you just have
02:32:24 18	concluded anything that comes in from a particular
02:32:26 19	prescriber, you're not going to fill?
02:32:28 20	A In the case where I did it, it was that I was I was
02:32:32 21	trying to communicate with the prescriber and I was not
02:32:34 22	getting sufficient feedback from the prescriber, I was not
02:32:38 23	getting calls back from the prescriber themselves, so I felt
02:32:42 24	like I wasn't getting information that I was requesting and
^ F	

o2:32:47 25 so it was persistent. So that's why I had put the blanket

02:32:51 1	refusal in.
02:32:51 2	Q And when you did that, do you know whether that
02:32:56 3	complied with Walmart policies at the time?
02:32:58 4	A I'm sorry, if
02:32:59 5	Q Do you know whether that complied with Walmart
02:33:01 6	policies at the time?
02:33:02 7	A It did, yes.
02:33:06 8	Q You're also are you familiar with the phrase
02:33:09 9	corporate blocks?
02:33:10 10	A I am.
02:33:10 11	Q Have you ever felt you needed a corporate block to
02:33:12 12	help you decide whether to fill a prescription from a
02:33:16 13	particular prescriber?
02:33:19 14	A It didn't really come down to whether I needed it. It
02:33:22 15	is a situation where if that prescriber is blocked
02:33:25 16	corporately, we are not permitted to fill that prescription.
02:33:30 17	Q At any point in time in your career at Walmart, if you
02:33:34 18	were to make decision after decision that you're not going
02:33:37 19	to fill a prescription from a particular provider, did you
02:33:41 20	feel comfortable doing that?
02:33:43 21	A Yes. If I felt that situation had arisen, yes.
02:33:47 22	Q Ever get any push back from anyone that you shouldn't
02:33:50 23	be doing it that way?
02:33:51 24	A No.
02:33:52 25	Q Last topic I'd like to talk to you about is drug

	MITICELIO - DIFECC/Majoras
02:33:55 1	disposal.
02:33:57 2	The jury's heard a bit about this issue from other
02:34:04 3	witnesses so I'd like to like you to help explain what
02:34:07 4	Walmart has done.
02:34:08 5	What is Dispose RX?
02:34:10 6	A Dispose RX is a pre-packaged powder with directions
02:34:14 7	typed on the side that tells the patient if they have
02:34:17 8	remaining opioid medication or benzodiazapine or some type
02:34:21 9	of medication left that they don't want in their house, that
02:34:25 10	this powder can be added with warm water and then shaken up,
02:34:29 11	it deactivates the product and it can be disposed of.
02:34:40 12	Q Why is Walmart offering that product?
02:34:43 13	A It's a service to the patient to be able to get rid of
02:34:49 14	medications that they don't want anyone else having access
02:34:53 15	to in a responsible manner, and there are a lot of patients
02:34:59 16	that are very appreciative of Dispose RX.
02:35:01 17	Q To go back to your drawing we had of your pharmacy,
02:35:04 18	there was a consulting window.
02:35:05 19	A Yes.
02:35:06 20	Q Is there any consulting that you do with your patients
02:35:09 21	about Dispose RX?
02:35:10 22	A Yes.
02:35:10 23	Q What's that?

I go through just what I just told you and that

they're getting an opioid prescription filled. If you don't

02:35:11 24

02:35:15 25

02:35:17 1	take all that medication, which we want you to take it for
02:35:20 2	the least amount of time possible at the lowest dose that's
02:35:24 3	treating your pain, and if you have tablets remaining after
02:35:27 4	that, that this Dispose RX can be utilized to help get rid
02:35:32 5	of that medication.
02:35:32 6	Q How much does a Dispose RX kit cost?
02:35:35 7	A It does not cost.
02:35:38 8	MR. MAJORAS: Thank you, Ms. Militello.
02:35:40 9	Your Honor, I pass the witness.
02:35:41 10	THE COURT: Okay. I assume no questions from
02:35:44 11	CVS or Walgreens?
02:35:46 12	MR. STOFFELMAYR: No, Your Honor.
02:35:47 13	MR. DELINSKY: No.
02:35:47 14	THE COURT: Okay.
02:35:47 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

02:35:47	1	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF LORI MILITELLO
02:36:25	2	BY MR. LANIER:
02:36:25	3	Q All right.
02:36:27	4	My big question for you today, I want to make sure I'm
02:36:32	5	pronouncing your name right. Militello?
02:36:36	6	A Perfect.
02:36:37	7	Q Okay.
02:36:38	8	Ms. Militello, my name is Mark Lanier. I'm going to
02:36:40	9	take you on cross-examination for a while. I've done a
02:36:42	10	little road map so that you've got an idea of where we're
02:36:46	11	going and the jury does as well.
02:36:48	12	I want to talk to you about some of the patients, and
02:36:53	13	I want to talk to you about some of the stores, and then I
02:36:56	14	want to talk to you about some of the policies.
02:36:58	15	Okay?
02:36:58	16	A Okay.
02:36:59	17	Q Let's start with patients.
02:37:02	18	So I had a chance to get our folks to run through the
02:37:05	19	dispensing data, the data that y'all provided to us, your
02:37:10	20	company provided to us, about the dispensing that you've
02:37:14	21	done and others have done in your stores. Okay?
02:37:16	22	A Okay.
02:37:17	23	Q So, for example, the Eastlake store. Do you know how
02:37:24	24	many unique patients you and other pharmacists have serviced
02:37:31	25	over the last, I think we have 14 years or so of dispensing

1	data?
2	A No, I don't.
3	${f Q}$ You talk about how important it is that you know your
4	patients and that you've gotten to know them. Would you be
5	surprised to know that y'all have filled prescriptions for
6	11,628 different people?
7	A I did not know that number.
8	Q All right.
9	And would you agree with me there's no way you know
10	all of those 11,628 people?
11	A There is no way.
12	Q All right.
13	And there have been other stores you've worked at. I
14	think you worked at Walmart 2197 for a while?
15	A I don't know the store number, but
16	Q It's another one in the county.
17	A Okay.
18	Q And 13,200 different people there. You wouldn't know
19	all of those either, would you?
20	A I certainly wouldn't.
21	Q And if we just counted the different doctors that have
22	filled prescriptions there, at the Eastlake store that we
23	were talking about, 5,168 different prescribers, there's no
24	way you know all of those. Fair?
25	A Not.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

02:38:40 1	Q Okay.
02:38:41 2	So when we talk about knowing the doctors and the
02:38:44 3	patients, would it be fair to say that there are some you do
02:38:48 4	know, but there are many you do not know. Fair?
02:38:54 5	A Over the course of those years, yeah, that's fair.
02:38:56 6	Q And that's magnified if the jury understands that
02:39:03 7	you've worked in so many different Walmarts, you don't
02:39:06 8	you've lost count.
02:39:07 9	Fair?
02:39:08 10	A Yeah.
02:39:08 11	The stores in other areas, I can't account for their
02:39:12 12	patients and doctors.
02:39:13 13	Q What I mean by that, though, is you've been assigned,
02:39:18 14	some people call it floating, others call it a temporary,
02:39:22 15	but you've been assigned to go to different Walmarts?
02:39:24 16	A Um-hmm.
02:39:25 17	Q Over your years. And some of them, you work in for a
02:39:28 18	day, and some of them a week, and some of them a month, but
02:39:31 19	you get you float around to different Walmarts.
02:39:33 20	Fair?
02:39:36 21	A I mean, I work steadily in Eastlake. So that's my
02:39:39 22	steady store, but I have floated to different stores to help
02:39:43 23	out, yes.
02:39:43 24	Q Yeah.
02:39:44 25	In your deposition, when asked how many different

02:39:47 1	Walmart pharmacies have you worked in, your answer was I
02:39:50 2	couldn't give you an accurate account because I've worked at
02:39:54 3	various pharmacies that need extra help or floated out to
02:39:58 4	pharmacies, and not just in Northeast Ohio, but all over the
02:40:02 5	State of Ohio; right?
02:40:03 6	A Correct.
02:40:04 7	${f Q}$ And so not only might you not know all of the doctors
02:40:07 8	and patients in your store, but as you, or others float
02:40:12 9	around, you're going to be hit with patients and doctors
02:40:14 10	you've never heard of before.
02:40:16 11	Fair?
02:40:16 12	A Yes.
02:40:17 13	Q All right.
02:40:18 14	While we're dealing with patients by the way, how
02:40:23 15	did I do on that picture?
02:40:24 16	A Great.
02:40:25 17	Q All right. That's you; right?
02:40:26 18	A That's me.
02:40:26 19	Q It looks like I cropped out your husband. You go tell
02:40:30 20	him, he got cut. All right?
02:40:34 21	While we're on this subject, I want to put into a
02:40:40 22	little fuller perspective an answer you gave earlier about
02:40:44 23	contacting other pharmacies and pharmacists. Okay?
02:40:48 24	A Okay.
02:40:48 25	Q Now, you did give a deposition in this case a few

02:40:51 1	months back; right?
02:40:52 2	A Correct.
02:40:53 3	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And you took the same oath that His Honor gave to you
02:41:00 4	to tell the truth. And you understood that we were getting
02:41:02 5	testimony from you that we could hold you to if you took the
02:41:06 6	stand here today; right?
02:41:07 7	A Correct.
02:41:07 8	Q All right.
02:41:07 9	So in that regard, do you recall being asked if you
02:41:10 10	know any of the other pharmacists that work at the other
02:41:13 11	pharmacies nearby? And you said, "I know them to talk to
02:41:18 12	them; I don't know them personally."
02:41:20 13	Fair?
02:41:21 14	A Fair.
02:41:22 15	Q And then the question, "Under what circumstances would
02:41:26 16	you know them to talk to them?"
02:41:29 17	Do you remember what answer you gave?
02:41:31 18	A I do not.
02:41:31 19	Q You said, "We often talk during transfers of
02:41:37 20	prescriptions."
02:41:39 21	Remember that?
02:41:39 22	A Yes.
02:41:40 23	Q A transfer of prescription means you're getting
02:41:45 24	somebody that used to be filled at another store, or they're
02:41:49 25	getting somebody that you used to be filled at your store;

02:41:52 1	right?
02:41:52 2	A Right.
02:41:52 3	Q And then when asked, did you ever talk to them about
02:41:57 4	anything other than transfers of prescriptions, your answer
02:42:01 5	was, at this point, rarely anything about transfers.
02:42:07 6	Is that still your testimony today?
02:42:09 7	MR. MAJORAS: Objection. Improper
02:42:11 8	impeachment. It's consistent.
02:42:13 9	THE COURT: Overruled.
02:42:17 10	BY MR. LANIER:
02:42:17 11	Q Is it?
02:42:18 12	A We did talk during transfers, but we also I would
02:42:23 13	also discuss with them, or maybe another pharmacist in my
02:42:28 14	store would discuss with them and pass it along to me. So
02:42:31 15	we did have a relationship with other pharmacists.
02:42:32 16	Q But the answer, "Did you ever talk" here, let me
02:42:36 17	show it to you so you've got a chance to see it. It's on
02:42:39 18	Page 83.
02:42:41 19	"Under what circumstances would you know them to talk
02:42:43 20	to them?
02:42:44 21	"We often talked during transfers of prescriptions.
02:42:47 22	"And do you remember talking to them about anything
02:42:50 23	other than transfers of prescriptions?
02:42:52 24	"At this point, rarely anything about transfers."
02:42:55 25	Is that still your testimony today?

02:42:57 1	A Yes, because at this point, we do barely talk to them
02:43:04 2	about anything but transfers.
02:43:06 3	Q But just then later, just a couple questions or two
02:43:09 4	later, "Have you ever talked to any of these pharmacists
02:43:11 5	about problems with certain patients?"
02:43:14 6	Your answer was, "These pharmacists know" and those
02:43:17 7	may have been the ones right close to you, right?
02:43:21 8	A Um-hmm.
02:43:21 9	Q "Have you ever talked with any other pharmacists about
02:43:24 10	prescribers?
02:43:27 11	"Today? No.
02:43:28 12	"What about since you started working at Store 1863?
02:43:31 13	Have you ever talked to other pharmacists about
02:43:34 14	prescribers?"
02:43:35 15	You said, "I have.
02:43:39 16	"What did you talk to them about?
02:43:41 17	"I don't recall at this point.
02:43:45 18	"Do you recall anything about those conversations?
02:43:46 19	"Not really at this point."
02:43:48 20	Is that still your testimony today, ma'am?
02:43:51 21	A Yes. I don't remember specific conversations.
02:43:54 22	Q You were asked, "Do you remember recall talking to
02:43:58 23	them about, for example, forged or altered prescriptions?"
02:44:02 24	And you said, "I don't.
02:44:05 25	"Do you ever recall talking to them about prescribing

6709

02:44:10 1	patterns?
02:44:10 2	"Potentially prescribing patterns.
02:44:13 3	"And who would you have talked to.
02:44:14 4	"I don't know.
02:44:14 5	"Do you recall generally what was discussed?
02:44:16 6	"I do not.
02:44:17 7	"Do you recall discussing with any of these
02:44:20 8	pharmacists opioids or opioid prescriptions?
02:44:22 9	"Potentially at one point.
02:44:27 10	"Do you recall who?
02:44:29 11	"No."
02:44:30 12	Is that still your testimony today?
02:44:32 13	A Yes.
02:44:33 14	Q All right.
02:44:33 15	So when you talked in response to Mr. Majoras'
02:44:38 16	questions about speaking with other pharmacists frequently,
02:44:41 17	is it safe for us to assume your answers under oath were
02:44:44 18	correct and you speak to them frequently about transfers of
02:44:48 19	prescriptions, maybe once about opioids?
02:44:52 20	A We would speak to pharmacists about transfers, but
02:44:55 21	also if we had a concern, we would call other pharmacies in
02:44:58 22	the area regarding the concern.
02:45:00 23	Q Well, now you've just said we at that point, and I can
02:45:03 24	only ask you about you personally. So is your personal
02:45:08 25	testimony the same as it was several months ago when you

02:45:10 1	were under oath?
02:45:11 2	A Yes.
02:45:12 3	Q Thank you.
02:45:13 4	Now, while we're dealing with patients, I want to do
02:45:17 5	one more thing. You looked at a number of prescriptions
02:45:20 6	with Mr. Majoras, but I'd like to look at a few others,
02:45:26 7	please. I'm going to have handed up to you Plaintiffs'
02:45:35 8	Exhibit 17572.
02:45:40 9	Do you have that, ma'am?
02:45:43 10	A I do.
02:45:44 11	Q And it's really small print so I'm going to have to
02:45:46 12	blow it up pretty good, and we'll have to move it around on
02:45:52 13	the screen a little to make it work. But this is dealing
02:45:57 14	with a doctor, a prescriber, named Trevor Levin.
02:46:03 15	A Um-hmm.
02:46:04 16	Q Do you see that?
02:46:04 17	A I do.
02:46:05 18	Q And you remember him, don't you?
02:46:06 19	A I do.
02:46:12 20	Q Trevor Levin is someone that, in the comments here,
02:46:15 21	you've got by the way, this is your main store, Eastlake;
02:46:18 22	right?
02:46:18 23	A It is.
02:46:19 24	Q Prescriber is prescribing large amounts of
02:46:24 25	hydrocodone, Soma, Valium, for the same patient. Is not

02:46:29 1	cooperative in having discussions about patient therapy.
02:46:34 2	Not comfortable filling prescriptions from this doctor at
02:46:38 3	the quantities he prescribes.
02:46:41 4	Do you see that?
02:46:41 5	A I see that.
02:46:42 6	Q And so we see as of June 7th, 2018, you were not being
02:46:50 7	comfortable filling those prescriptions; right?
02:46:57 8	A I don't know who made the comment.
02:46:58 9	Q Okay. So maybe you, maybe not you. Fair?
02:47:00 10	A I don't know.
02:47:02 11	Q But we do know that if we keep looking, a few weeks
02:47:07 12	before, at the store in Mentor, the same note of this doctor
02:47:13 13	is made that his specialty is as a hormone fatigue and rehab
02:47:19 14	center, not as pain management, that he routinely writes for
02:47:25 15	cocktail of commonly abused drugs or combo, oxy, Soma,
02:47:32 16	Valium, with no other non-controlled substance prescriptions
02:47:36 17	presented by patient.
02:47:38 18	Do you see that as well?
02:47:39 19	A I see that.
02:47:40 20	Q That he's confrontational when asked questions and
02:47:44 21	concerns relating to the patient care.
02:47:46 22	Do you see that also?
02:47:53 23	A Um-hmm, yes.
02:47:54 24	Q All right.
02:47:55 25	And the reason I'm bringing this up is because we've

02:47:57 1	got some other prescriptions that were filled by this doctor
02:48:00 2	or filled of this doctor that I'd like to talk to you about.
02:48:06 3	So I'm handing you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21391, which is a
02:48:15 4	prescription, and it's one that was refused to fill
02:48:26 5	March 6th, 2017, over a year earlier.
02:48:29 6	Do you see this?
02:48:29 7	A I see it.
02:48:32 8	Q Now, is this your prescription?
02:48:36 9	A It is not, no.
02:48:39 10	Q We've got your name on this at one point. Let's look
02:48:43 11	at the OARRS report together.
02:48:47 12	This is a classic OARRS report, isn't it?
02:48:50 13	A Yes.
02:48:52 14	${f Q}$ And help the jury walk through some of this OARRS
02:48:55 15	report, please.
02:48:57 16	First of all, we've got the prescriber, and that's
02:49:02 17	this Trevor Levin fellow; right?
02:49:05 18	A Right.
02:49:06 19	Q And we've got here, if we blow this up a little bit
02:49:09 20	more, we've got him writing prescriptions, these three, all
02:49:14 21	on the same day. True?
02:49:16 22	A Yes.
02:49:17 23	Q And it looks like these daily medicines, at least
02:49:22 24	these are 60 tablets; is that right?
02:49:25 25	A Correct. On the top, oxycodone. It looks like it was

02:49:28 1	60.
02:49:29 2	Q Yeah. In fact, we can see it here.
02:49:31 3	He's written a prescription to be filled for 60
02:49:35 4	oxycodone, 90 carisoprodol?
02:49:44 5	A Carisoprodol.
02:49:44 6	Q That's exactly what I was not able to say.
02:49:50 7	Carisoprodol.
02:49:50 8	Now, this is a patient history report and yet, the
02:49:53 9	patient's got two different numbers. Do you know why that
02:49:58 10	is?
02:49:58 11	A No, I don't.
02:49:59 12	Q All right.
02:50:00 13	We've got oxycodone, OxyContin, and carisoprodol.
02:50:05 14	What kind of a drug is carisoprodol?
02:50:08 15	A It is a muscle relaxer.
02:50:15 16	Q So that's part of the trinity cocktail. You got two
02:50:17 17	of the three, don't you?
02:50:18 18	A Correct.
02:50:19 19	${f Q}$ If you look at the next page, not only do we have
02:50:22 20	and we're going to come back to these dates February,
02:50:26 21	oxycodone and OxyContin, 30 days of each or one and 60 of
02:50:33 22	the other, but if you go back to the next page of this
02:50:36 23	patient history, you've got diazepam for 90 days, is that
02:50:44 24	or quantity 90. So that's 30 days. This is days; right?
02:50:48 25	A Right.

02:50:49	1	Q So you've got and diazepam, that's the third part
02:50:53	2	of the trinity. That's the benzo, isn't it?
02:50:58	3	A Yes, it is a benzodiazapine.
02:50:59	4	Q So you've got, for this person, you've got for this
02:51:07	5	person prescriptions written all on the same day for the
02:51:14	6	trinity, don't you?
02:51:15	7	A Yes.
02:51:17	8	Q And these were filled at Walmart, weren't they?
02:51:22	9	A Yes.
02:51:24 1	.0	Q And it's not just that February filling, but you can
02:51:28 1	.1	go back and look, February 5th, and you've got another
02:51:34 1	.2	oxycodone. And this time, 150 tablets for 30 days; correct?
02:51:40 1	.3	A Yes.
02:51:41 1	_4	Q So you've got a 30-day prescription for oxy filled and
02:51:48 1	.5	then 20 days later you've got another 30 days filled. And
02:51:53 1	. 6	this is in the midst of getting the trinity filled; correct?
02:51:57 1	.7	A The oxycodone 30 is an immediate release tablet, and
02:52:01 1	.8	the oxycodone that was filled on the 20th of February was an
02:52:06 1	.9	extended-release oxycodone.
02:52:07 2	20	Q Um-hmm.
02:52:07 2	21	So you've got an extended release and an immediate
02:52:11 2	22	release being written and filled at the same time?
02:52:13 2	23	A Yeah, that's not unusual.
02:52:15 2	24	Q You don't consider that a red flag?
02:52:17 2	25	A No.

02:52:25	1	Q Hum.
02:52:26	2	Oxycodone, we go back to the 16th, on January 16th
02:52:30	3	you've got filled or written the same day, two different
02:52:37	4	oxycodone and another OxyContin for another 90 days.
02:52:41	5	Do you see that as well?
02:52:43	6	A I don't see the 90-day.
02:52:45	7	Q I'm sorry, 30 days. It's 30, 60, 90. My brain is
02:52:50	8	short-circuited. For 30 days each.
02:52:53	9	Do you see that?
02:52:53	10	A Yes.
02:52:54	11	Q And we've also got, if we want to keep going back, a
02:52:58	12	filling that took place on January 16th and January 8th, all
02:53:05	13	within that same month, of the other two drugs in the
02:53:08	14	trinity; right?
02:53:09	15	A Yes.
02:53:12	16	Q All filled at Walmart, same store; correct?
02:53:17	17	A Correct.
02:53:18	18	Q And we can go back and we can look even further and
02:53:22	19	we're going to see earlier in January another 30 days. So
02:53:26	20	now we've got for oxycodone 30, we've got 90 tablets filled
02:53:33	21	in less than two months. We've got 90 days worth, excuse
02:53:38	22	me, not tablets; right?
02:53:39	23	A You're looking at the oxycodone immediate release and
02:53:44	24	oxycodone extended release. So those are two different
02:53:52	25	formulations.

02:53:53 1	Q Okay.
02:53:53 2	A So that would not be looked at in that manner. We
02:53:57 3	would look collectively at the immediate release and we
02:53:59 4	would look collectively at the extended release.
02:54:01 5	Q Well, you can continue to look and go through this
02:54:04 6	list and you've got look at just December. Just in the
02:54:09 7	month of December the fills, including another 30 for oxy
02:54:16 8	40's, another 30 for oxy '60s, diazepam 10's, oxycodone 21,
02:54:26 9	carisoprodol for 30, and diazepam for another 7, all, same
02:54:32 10	doctor; all, same month; all, same store, filled at Walmart.
02:54:39 11	True?
02:54:39 12	A Correct.
02:54:44 13	Q And we can go back to November. And in November we're
02:54:48 14	going to see the same pattern filled (indicating).
02:55:00 15	Correct?
02:55:02 16	A Yeah. I mean, we're seeing the diazepam are being
02:55:07 17	filled for some of them are 20 days, some of them are
02:55:19 18	7, I see.
02:55:19 19	So we also look at day supply in that instance.
02:55:22 20	Q Right.
02:55:22 21	So if you look, for example, in December, you've got
02:55:24 22	the diazepam for 20 and also the diazepam for 7. So you've
02:55:28 23	got 27 days in December of diazepam; right?
02:55:31 24	A Okay.
02:55:31 25	Q And you can go back to October, and you're going to

02:55:36 1	see the same cocktail, the same trinity being filled at
02:55:41 2	Walmart in October, aren't you?
02:55:42 3	A Yes.
02:55:42 4	Q And you're going to see the same trinity being filled
02:55:45 5	by Walmart in September, aren't you?
02:55:48 6	A Yes.
02:55:49 7	Q And the same trinity being filled by Walmart in
02:55:53 8	August.
02:55:54 9	True?
02:55:54 10	A Correct.
02:55:54 11	Q And the same trinity being filled by Walmart, with a
02:56:01 12	few extras, in July.
02:56:03 13	True?
02:56:03 14	A Correct.
02:56:06 15	Q I mean, in July, you've got oxy 60, 30 days. You've
02:56:11 16	got oxycodone 30's for 30 days. You've got OxyContin 40's
02:56:17 17	for 30 days, and OxyContin 60 for 30 days.
02:56:23 18	They've got 120 days of oxy coming out that month,
02:56:27 19	don't they?
02:56:29 20	A He was he was using them in combination, so yes, he
02:56:33 21	did.
02:56:33 22	Q In combination with the rest of the trinity. You've
02:56:36 23	still got the carisoprodol and the diazepam; right?
02:56:39 24	A That's correct.
02:56:41 25	Q And we could keep going back, but suffice it to say

02:56:46	1	you've got the same issue happening. Here, look at May
02:56:49	2	(indicating).
02:56:50	3	May's a monster month, isn't it?
02:56:59	4	Looking at April (indicating).
02:57:08	5	At some point, don't you think the alarm should have
02:57:11	6	sounded sooner?
02:57:12	7	A We had conversations with the doctor multiple times
02:57:14	8	about that patient. I also was familiar with that patient.
02:57:24	9	Q And so this doctor is prescribing this trinity and
02:57:29	10	we're getting early refills, and we're on a lot of drugs for
02:57:35	11	well over a year before you finally said I'm not going to
02:57:42	12	fill any more of these.
02:57:43	13	True?
02:57:44	14	A He wasn't getting early refills.
02:57:46	15	Q Ma'am, are you sure?
02:57:47	16	A Yeah.
02:57:48	17	Q Define early refill by Walmart's standards.
02:57:52	18	A Well, he was taking combinations of oxycodone. So he
02:57:57	19	was taking extended-release formulations at a 40 and a
02:58:00	20	60-milligram to meet his pain need, and he was taking
02:58:05	21	30-milligram immediate release.
02:58:09	22	Q Um-hmm.
02:58:10	23	A So those prescriptions were not filled early, per se;
02:58:16	24	they were just being used in combination.
02:58:19	25	Q Well, you say that. If you look at the days, I think

6719

02:58:22 1 it might show a different story, but maybe I'm wrong. let's look at it. 02:58:26 2 You've got this oxycodone 20 milligrams on the 25th of 02:58:31 3 February; right? 02:58:39 4 02:58:40 5 Correct. You've got OxyContin 60 on the 20th of February? 02:58:41 6 Q Correct. 02:58:47 7 Α 02:58:48 8 Q You've got oxycodone 30 on the 5th of February. Is 02:58:53 9 that extended release or immediate? 02:58:54 10 That's immediate. Α 02:58:55 11 And oxycodone 40. Is that extended or immediate? 0 02:58:59 12 That's extended. Α 02:59:01 13 And OxyContin 60. Is that extended or immediate? Q 02:59:05 14 Extended. Α 02:59:09 15 Q And then, again, its oxycodone 30. That is. . . 02:59:15 16 Filled on January 7th and he filled it again on Α 02:59:18 17 February 5th. And so that's not overlapping in your mind because 02:59:19 18 0 you're within a day or two; right? 02:59:23 19 02:59:24 20 Right. We would not take it directly up to the day. Α 02:59:28 21 And then that is oxycodone 20, is that immediate? Q 02:59:32 22 Α Extended. 02:59:38 23 OxyContin 60. Immediate? Q 02:59:40 24 Extended. Α 02:59:42 25 So you've got those two extended happening within Q

02:59:46 1	five days of each other?
02:59:49 2	A Right.
02:59:50 3	Dr. Levin wrote those prescriptions on the same day
02:59:53 4	for him to use in combination to treat his pain.
03:00:02 5	Q Now, Dr. Levin eventually becomes a doctor that you
03:00:06 6	quit filling for.
03:00:07 7	True?
03:00:08 8	A That is correct.
03:00:08 9	Q All right. Let's move down the road. I guess with
03:00:12 10	patients it's 3 o'clock. Oh, oh.
03:00:16 11	MR. LANIER: Am I supposed to quit, Judge?
03:00:19 12	I'm moving to the next stop.
03:00:20 13	What do you want me to do? I'm great moving on. I'm
03:00:23 14	great quitting.
03:00:24 15	THE COURT: Well, about how long how much
03:00:27 16	longer is your next stop going to be?
03:00:29 17	MR. LANIER: My next stop, I bet I can do it
03:00:31 18	in about 10 to 12 minutes.
03:00:32 19	THE COURT: All right.
03:00:33 20	MR. LANIER: Maybe
03:00:34 21	THE COURT: Why don't we complete that stop,
03:00:36 22	and then we'll break for the afternoon.
03:00:39 23	BY MR. LANIER:
03:00:39 24	Q All right.
03:00:40 25	Ma'am, what I'd like do is look at stores real quick.

1	Okay?
2	A Okay.
3	Q And I'll try to make this stop a brief one. You know,
4	the jury's not heard this yet. Wait until the end of trial
5	to hear this stuff. What an over 20 store is; right?
6	A I'm not familiar with that term.
7	Q You're not familiar with the tell the jury how big
3	the bottles are that bring that have these drugs in them.
9	What are the size of the bottles, the pill jars?
0	MR. MAJORAS: Objection; form to a particular
1	prescription pill.
2	MR. LANIER: Well, I'll be more specific.
3	BY MR. LANIER:
4	Q Oxycodone 5/325 milligrams?
5	A Um-hmm, yes.
6	Q Yeah. What's what is that how many pills in a
7	bottle?
3	A 100.
9	Q Now, did you know that your company decided that
О	stores should not get over 20 of those bottles within a
1	certain time period? I think it was either weekly or twice
2	a week or something like that or twice a month or
3	something like that.
4	MR. MAJORAS: Objection. Foundation.
5	Distribution.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

MR. LANIER: No, it's not distribution. This
is
THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled.
BY MR. LANIER:
Q Did you know about that, ma'am?
A No, I was not aware of that.
Q All right. Let me ask you more specifically about
your store IN Eastlake.
Did you know out of all of the Walmart stores around
the country I'm going to show you Plaintiffs'
Exhibit 20889 did you know out of all of the Walmart
stores around the country in 2012, your Eastlake, Ohio,
store was noted for having a quantity of 37, an order or
an amount which exceeded the 20 bottles?
Did you know about that?
A No.
Q Did you know that your store was not only there, but
repeatedly is one of the top volume stores for oxy in the
entire nation?
A No.
Q So while you were working at Eastlake, nobody ever
told you that y'all had one of the highest volume oxy stores
in the country?
A No.
Q Okay.

03:03:20 1	While we're at the store stop, one last set of
03:03:23 2	questions.
03:03:24 3	I looked at the layout here. First of all, all
03:03:28 4	Walmarts are not laid out the same. True?
03:03:29 5	A Not exactly, no.
03:03:30 6	Q Yeah.
03:03:33 7	So is there a section here where y'all keep the hard
03:03:36 8	copy scripts?
03:03:39 9	A There's a section back behind in a drawer where
03:03:45 10	current kept. The rest are kept in a locked cage in the
03:03:47 11	back.
03:03:48 12	Q In the back
03:03:49 13	A Of the store, I'm sorry. In the back of the store.
03:03:51 14	Q So if you wanted to go check a hard script, you would
03:03:57 15	have to leave the pharmacy, go to another part of the store,
03:04:02 16	unlock the cabinet, pull out the hard prescription to look
03:04:06 17	at it, and then return back to the pharmacy?
03:04:10 18	A I would pull it up on Connexus where I would be able
03:04:13 19	to look at the hard copy of the prescription.
03:04:15 20	Q If there is a copy now in Connexus, but you've been
03:04:19 21	doing this for a long time. They haven't always been on
03:04:21 22	Connexus, have they?
03:04:22 23	A They have not.
03:04:23 24	Q And so if we go back 10 years, you've got to go back
03:04:27 25	there and you've got to go find it; right?

03:04:30 1	A If it's a case of needing to see the prescription,
03:04:33 2	yes, we would have to look at the filed prescription.
03:04:36 3	Q Okay.
03:04:38 4	MR. LANIER: Your Honor, that's my stop on
03:04:40 5	stores. I can go to policies real quick if you want ME to
03:04:43 6	and then I'll be done.
03:04:44 7	THE COURT: All right. Why don't you wrap up
03:04:45 8	then. That's fine.
03:04:46 9	MR. LANIER: All right.
03:04:47 10	BY MR. LANIER:
03:04:47 11	Q Policies.
03:04:53 12	Ma'am, you were not aware there was even an opioid
03:04:57 13	epidemic until the last several years. True?
03:05:02 14	A I know there's been a problem with people abusing
03:05:07 15	opioids for several years.
03:05:10 16	Q Yeah.
03:05:12 17	But when you were asked in your deposition a few
03:05:15 18	months back, "When did you first become aware that there was
03:05:17 19	an opioid prescription problem," you would say you
03:05:20 20	answered, "I would say awareness, probably in the last
03:05:23 21	several years."
03:05:24 22	Right?
03:05:25 23	MR. MAJORAS: Objection. Consistent.
03:05:26 24	THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained. That's what she
03:05:28 25	just said.

03:05:29 1	MR. LANIER: Oh, I'm sorry, Judge. I thought
03:05:31 2	she said there's okay. "Been a problem with people
03:05:34 3	abusing opioids for several years" was your answer.
03:05:39 4	BY MR. LANIER:
03:05:39 5	Q So you didn't understand the idea of an opioid
03:05:42 6	prescription problem until the last several years. Fair?
03:05:46 7	A To the extent of abuse, yes. No.
03:05:49 8	Q And so if we wanted to go back and look at practices,
03:05:52 9	say, in 2012, in 2012, things were quite different at
03:05:57 10	Walmart, weren't they?
03:06:02 11	A In what way?
03:06:03 12	Q Well, for example, in 2012, y'all had your technicians
03:06:07 13	filling opioid prescriptions, not just a registered
03:06:11 14	pharmacist.
03:06:12 15	True?
03:06:12 16	A It's always been that way. We've always had
03:06:16 17	technicians that count our opioid prescriptions.
03:06:20 18	Q Well, to actually fill the controlled substance
03:06:24 19	prescription, that would have been a technician back in
03:06:26 20	2012, wouldn't it?
03:06:28 21	A Yes, and it still is today.
03:06:31 22	Q And those technicians don't have the same training
03:06:35 23	that a registered pharmacist does.
03:06:37 24	Fair?
03:06:38 25	A Technicians are not trained as pharmacists, but they

03:06:43 1	are trained to count the prescriptions.
03:06:45 2	Q Well, but in 2012, an associate would be the one who's
03:06:52 3	checking out the opioid purchase.
03:06:55 4	True?
03:06:59 5	A I'm sorry. Could you repeat?
03:07:01 6	Q Yes, ma'am.
03:07:02 7	In 2012, isn't it true y'all would have an associate
03:07:06 8	check out the customer for the opioid prescriptions?
03:07:11 9	A In 2012 and today, yes.
03:07:13 10	Q Okay.
03:07:15 11	And then in 2012, you could pick up an opioid
03:07:19 12	prescription without an ID, couldn't you?
03:07:22 13	A Correct.
03:07:24 14	Q And so if we go back to 2012, you've got associates,
03:07:33 15	pharm techs who are filling the prescriptions, associates,
03:07:35 16	cashiers, checking them out, and nobody even asking for an
03:07:39 17	ID. That's the way the world was in Walmart pharmacy in
03:07:44 18	2012.
03:07:45 19	True?
03:07:52 20	A Simplified, yes. That's kind of how the process went.
03:08:03 21	Q One last thing that occurred to me as you were giving
03:08:06 22	your direct examination question. You were asked, "Have you
03:08:08 23	had tools available to you to help you do that," and it was
03:08:11 24	in the context of resolving red flags or situations,

whatever you might have called them at the time.

03:08:14 25

03:08:16	1	Remember that?
03:08:17	2	A Yes.
03:08:17	3	Q And your answer was, "Especially as things have
03:08:21	4	evolved, certainly, yes, but we've always used whatever
03:08:27	5	tools were available to us."
03:08:29	6	True?
03:08:30	7	A True.
03:08:31	8	Q But a side note. Everything has taken some time at
03:08:37	9	Walmart to become available as a tool for you to use.
03:08:41]	LO	Fair?
03:08:43	L1	A I mean, some things weren't even OARRS was not
03:08:49]	L2	around back then. NarxCare was not around back then. So it
03:08:52 1	L3	wasn't available for us to use.
03:08:55 1	L 4	Q Well, OARRS is an interesting comment because did you
03:09:00 1	15	know that Walmart was four to five years late in allowing
03:09:04]	16	y'all access to OARRS?
03:09:05 1	L7	MR. MAJORAS: Objection. Misstates or
03:09:08 1	18	mischaracterizes testimony in evidence.
03:09:09 1	L 9	THE COURT: Overruled.
03:09:10 2	20	THE WITNESS: No, I did not know that.
03:09:11 2	21	BY MR. LANIER:
03:09:12 2	22	Q In other words, OARRS came about in 2006, but it
03:09:15 2	23	wasn't until the late 2010, early 2011 range that your
03:09:20 2	24	store started being able to use OARRS.
03:09:23 2	25	Did you remember that?

03:09:23 1	A I did not.
03:09:24 2	Q All right.
03:09:26 3	If you'd have had that tool available earlier, though,
03:09:28 4	I assume, like everything else, you would have used it.
03:09:31 5	Fair?
03:09:32 6	A Fair.
03:09:33 7	Q Okay.
03:09:34 8	MR. LANIER: Your Honor, that's the end of the
03:09:36 9	road.
03:09:36 10	Thank you for letting me finish.
03:09:39 11	Ma'am, thank you for the work that you do do for the
03:09:41 12	community, and I wish you the very best.
03:09:43 13	THE COURT: Okay.
03:09:44 14	Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take our mid-afternoon
03:09:49 15	break, but first, if you'd pass any questions you have for
03:09:52 16	Ms. Militello to Mr. Pitts, then counsel can review those
03:09:55 17	during the break. And then we'll pick up in about
03:09:59 18	15 minutes with the balance of her testimony.
03:10:02 19	(Jury excused from courtroom.)
03:10:04 20	(Recess was taken from 3:10 p.m. till 3:27 p.m.)
03:27:03 21	COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
03:28:55 22	(Jury returned to courtroom.).
03:29:16 23	THE COURT: Okay. Please be seated.
03:29:18 24	And, Ms. Militello, you're still under oath from
03:29:21 25	before the break.

03:29:21 1	Mr. Majoras, you may continue, please.
03:29:23 2	MR. MAJORAS: Thank you, Your Honor.
03:29:23 3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF LORI MILITELLO
03:29:26 4	BY MR. MAJORAS
03:29:26 5	Q Good afternoon, again, Ms. Militello.
03:29:27 6	So at this point in the proceedings, after the lawyers
03:29:31 7	have had their first chance to talk to you, we get questions
03:29:33 8	from the jurors that the Judge invites them to submit. They
03:29:36 9	write them out and we take them.
03:29:37 10	A Okay.
03:29:38 11	Q I'm going to put them on the screen, and I will read
03:29:40 12	them so we have them into the record. Answer them best you
03:29:44 13	can. If you know, great. If you don't, just tell us that.
03:29:47 14	Okay?
03:29:47 15	A Okay.
03:29:48 16	Q So, first question, "What happens when a doctor tells
03:29:53 17	you to fill what is written?"
03:29:58 18	A So, in general, any more doctors are very receptive at
03:30:05 19	kind of discussing the treatment plan for the patient. We
03:30:09 20	try to just act as a partner with them instead of just
03:30:13 21	taking an order from them necessarily, although ultimately,
03:30:17 22	I mean, they are the one evaluating the patient, diagnosing
03:30:22 23	the patient, writing the prescription for the patient.
03:30:25 24	Most of them are still receptive at giving us
03:30:28 25	additional information if we ask for it.

03:30:32 1	Q But who gets final say if they're telling you to fill
03:30:36 2	it and you don't want to fill it?
03:30:37 3	A I get the final say on whether I want to or don't want
03:30:40 4	to, depending on whether I feel it's appropriate.
03:30:43 5	Q Next question.
03:30:45 6	"Prior to OARRS, how did you research red flags?"
03:30:51 7	A Well, it just depended on what I felt the red flag
03:30:59 8	was. It could be as simple as talking to the doctor,
03:31:02 9	calling, discussing discussing with the patient what was
03:31:05 10	going on depending, on the red flag.
03:31:09 11	So there were ways to do it without OARRS. We just
03:31:16 12	maybe had to use some different different ways that we
03:31:21 13	could ascertain the information that we were trying to find
03:31:23 14	out.
03:31:30 15	Q "Is it true that for years that store has had a big
03:31:35 16	theft problem? In fact, the Eastlake police had a mini
03:31:40 17	station there for a while, including the pharma products,
03:31:45 18	pharmacy products."
03:31:46 19	A So I don't I don't know necessarily about the
03:31:54 20	or, I'm sorry, the police mini station there. The pharmacy
03:31:58 21	products, if you're speaking back behind the counter,
03:32:01 22	prescription products, that has never been an issue at our
03:32:04 23	Eastlake store.
03:32:07 24	I don't know what the level of theft is for sure out

front in the store itself. I couldn't speak to that

03:32:11 25

03:32:14 1	necessarily.
03:32:19 2	Q "If it's not a red flag for you but it does or is for
03:32:23 3	another pharmacist at your store, is that wrong?"
03:32:28 4	A So every pharmacist is going to look at a prescription
03:32:31 5	and evaluate it with what they have experienced, what they
03:32:37 6	know about the patient, about the prescriber, about the
03:32:40 7	medication.
03:32:43 8	So a red flag for potentially a new graduate out of
03:32:47 9	pharmacy at our store versus one of us pharmacists that have
03:32:49 10	been there 20 years is different. So it doesn't necessarily
03:32:56 11	mean there's anything wrong; it just means that's part of
03:32:59 12	their process.
03:33:00 13	Q This question's actually pretty close but, "Have you
03:33:04 14	ever had a situation where two pharmacists interpreted a red
03:33:07 15	flag differently?" And I'll read the whole thing. "Meaning
03:33:10 16	you and another pharmacist disagreed on an issue, what might
03:33:14 17	be a problem/concern to one may not may not to another?
03:33:19 18	How is this handled?"
03:33:22 19	A If there's two pharmacists at the store and we're both
03:33:26 20	in the filling process at that point in time, in general,
03:33:33 21	I I can't speak for every pharmacist. I, as a
03:33:35 22	pharmacist, if there's a concern another pharmacist has, I
02 22 40 22	want them to be able to feel comfortable with that concern

03:33:40 23

03:33:42 24

03:33:45 25

So if that's going to be the case, then we err on the side of their caution. And then I just have -- you know,

want them to be able to feel comfortable with that concern.

03:33:49	1	let them take the wheel on resolving the red flags that they
03:33:58	2	have.
03:33:58	3	Q Now there's some feedback in the mic and to make sure;
03:34:02	4	it's not my phone, I'm going to put it over my counsel

A Okay.

table.

03:34:04 5

03:34:04 6

03:34:12 7

03:34:13 8

03:34:17 9

03:34:20 10

03:34:25 11

03:34:29 12

03:34:35 13

03:34:38 14

03:34:43 15

03:34:47 16

03:34:54 17

03:34:57 18

03:35:02 19

03:35:05 20

03:35:10 21

03:35:11 22

03:35:15 23

03:35:19 24

03:35:21 25

Q I hope that helps us.

Next question. "Are dispensed prescriptions for controlled substances that have not been picked up by the patient yet stored in the controlled substance safe or in the bagged prescription location?"

A So the key word is, is -- they're not necessarily -- they're not dispensed, let's say; they're filled. So if the prescription is filled, then it is out front but behind the gate. So it's at a place where the cashier can readily retrieve it, but it's not. . . it's still under a direct supervision of the pharmacist because we're right there in the pharmacy. So although it is not in the safe, it's still under direct supervision of the pharmacy -- pharmacist.

Q I think we -- the next one is a similar question.
C-II safe.

"Are controlled substance prescription kept in the C-II safe while they're waiting to be picked up or are they on the shelf with the bagged prescriptions?"

A They are hanging out front with bag prescriptions.

03:35:33 1	Q A little small.
03:35:34 2	"You stated that in order for you to review a hard
03:35:37 3	copy of the prescription, you needed to go outside the
03:35:41 4	pharmacy in a locked cabinet. Would that mean that you
03:35:44 5	would need to make everyone leave the pharmacy and lock it
03:35:48 6	up? Why would these not be locked up in the pharmacy?"
03:35:52 7	A For controlled substances, we we are generally able
03:35:56 8	to keep quite a large number back in the pharmacy area, but
03:36:02 9	due to space inhibitions, we are to store the majority of
03:36:07 10	old files sorry old files in the back of the store in
03:36:11 11	a locked cage.
03:36:13 12	Q How often do you find yourself having to go back to
03:36:15 13	that area of the store? That's my question.
03:36:18 14	A I I've I very rarely I, myself, have never
03:36:24 15	had to go back there.
03:36:29 16	Q Now, here's a couple of questions. I'll try do them
03:36:32 17	one at a time.
03:36:37 18	"Do technicians have to abide by the CSA? Are they
03:36:42 19	bound to adhere to corresponding responsibility?"
03:36:48 20	A It's the pharmacist's responsibility to make sure
03:36:52 21	everyone in the pharmacy is abiding by the CSA. So they are
03:37:00 22	part of our process of corresponding responsibility, but
03:37:03 23	they themselves are not responsible.
03:37:08 24	Q "Would a hormone doctor write for C-IIs?"
03:37:15 25	A Yeah. He was treating him for a condition that he

03:37:23 1	was also rehab. The patient that he was treating had severe
03:37:28 2	debilitating pain issues, and so that was part of his
03:37:32 3	treatment.
03:37:32 4	Q How were this is my question. How were you aware
03:37:35 5	of that?
03:37:35 6	A Speaking to the patient, and the doctor, but both
03:37:40 7	of them.
03:37:40 8	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And what was the information you had after those
03:37:43 9	conversations?
03:37:44 10	A Well, with the patient, I mean, he would he would
03:37:48 11	always look physically in pain in the line to pick up
03:37:53 12	prescription, he would generally be squatting on the floor
03:37:55 13	because he couldn't bear to stand and wait in line.
03:37:59 14	When I talked to the doctor about his condition, I was
03:38:02 15	told he's in such debilitating pain, that if his pain's not
03:38:07 16	treated properly, he's going to end up with such a quality
03:38:10 17	of life that either he's going to be, you know, living in
03:38:13 18	his basement for the rest of his life or potentially
03:38:17 19	committing suicide because he just can't he can't
03:38:20 20	physically handle it.
03:38:21 21	Q We'll get back to some additional questions about
03:38:24 22	that, but let's go let's go through the juror questions.
03:38:26 23	So this one says, "Prior to dispensing, do pharmacists
03:38:32 24	check the medical doctor's specialty?"
03:38:38 25	A Yeah.

03:38:39 1 So we check -- we kind of do an overall check of the prescription, and if we have additional questions as to what 03:38:44 2 the specialty might be, then certainly we look into that. 03:38:47 And I think the next one relates to the information 03:38:50 4 you had seen in cross-examination about the dispensing. 03:38:55 5 It says -- I think this means, "January 8th equals 03:38:59 6 03:39:02 7 diazepam of 60 tablets. January 16th equals" -- I don't 03:39:09 8 know if you could help me with the pronunciation, please? 03:39:11 9 Carisoprodol. 03:39:12 10 "Carisoprodol of 90 tablets, and on January 22nd equals OxyContin of 30 tablets. 180 pills in 15 days. 03:39:15 11 03:39:22 12 isn't this a red flag for a hormone M.D.?" 03:39:27 13 So the -- I mean, it's 180 tablets, but they're not 180 of the same type of tablet. They are three medications 03:39:31 14 03:39:39 15 that are in a trinity treatment, I understand that. But in 03:39:43 16 conversation with the doctor, in conversation with the 03:39:45 17 patient, the doctor expressed that he's trying to get this patient's pain under control so that he can have some 03:39:51 18 03:39:54 19 quality of life. 03:39:55 20 So we look at each individual medication and how 03:39:59 21 that's being dispensed and time between dispensings, and 03:40:05 22 also take into account what changes the doctor was trying 03:40:09 23 make. 03:40:09 24 So in looking -- and this, again, my question.

looking at the prescriptions that you saw that Mr. Lanier

03:40:12 25

03:40:16 1	showed you for this patient, was that a red flag?
03:40:20 2	A I mean, it was something that I wanted to confer with
03:40:25 3	the doctor about. Certainly I wouldn't have filled that
03:40:28 4	without talking to the doctor or talking to the patient,
03:40:33 5	doing checks on OARRS, that kind of thing first.
03:40:36 6	Q And I'm sorry if I asked this, but do you recall how
03:40:39 7	many conversations you had with the doctor about that
03:40:41 8	particular patient?
03:40:42 9	A I don't recall the number of conversations.
03:40:45 10	Q Was there more than one?
03:40:46 11	A Yes.
03:40:57 12	Q This also relates to the OARRS report for Dr. Levin's
03:41:01 13	Patient Number 9653. It says, "The patient was written a
03:41:05 14	prescription for" that drug I can't pronounce
03:41:08 15	"carisoprodol, on October 24, 2016, and waited to fill the
03:41:15 16	prescription until January 16, 2017. Do you consider that a
03:41:21 17	red flag?"
03:41:22 18	And if you need to look at the form, I can show that
03:41:24 19	to you.
03:41:25 20	A I think I still maybe have it.
03:41:28 21	Q This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21391, and I think the
03:41:35 22	OARRS report starts on Page 0003.
03:41:48 23	A Yeah.
03:41:49 24	In certain situations, I mean, certainly it would be a
03:41:52 25	red flag for a patient to have a prescription and then fill

03:41:54 1	it at a later time period, but because his OARRS report was
03:41:58 2	showing that he was not filling that medication anywhere
03:42:01 3	else but with us or in a timely manner, that didn't
03:42:08 4	necessarily cause a red flag to prohibit filling.
03:42:19 5	Q Two more. Two in the first one.
03:42:22 6	"Are you aware of any corporate blocks that Walmart
03:42:24 7	has in place for prescribers in Lake County? How far back
03:42:28 8	in time do these blocks go? What's the earliest block you
03:42:33 9	are aware of?"
03:42:35 10	A So, yes, I am aware of corporate blocks for
03:42:39 11	prescribers in Lake County. They've kind of progressed over
03:42:44 12	the years.
03:42:46 13	Earliest block that I'm aware of, corporate block,
03:42:53 14	probably four to five years ago, maybe.
03:43:00 15	Q When are consultations with a pharmacist required?
03:43:12 16	A So we request that any new prescription be given a
03:43:15 17	consultation. So when a cashier checks that patient out,
03:43:18 18	that person out, the cashier will put the prescription bag
03:43:21 19	at our counsel window behind the counter and the pharmacist
03:43:26 20	will come over and discuss the medication with the patient.
03:43:28 21	${f Q}$ So those are the juror questions. I want a few
03:43:34 22	follow-up of my own, particularly on what you just said.
03:43:38 23	When you say consultations for new prescription, is
03:43:41 24	that any type of medication?

03:43:41 25

Α

Yes.

03:43:41 1	Q So when you're dispensing a Schedule II controlled
03:43:46 2	substance, are there refills available for Schedule II
03:43:49 3	controlled substances?
03:43:50 4	A There are not, no.
03:43:51 5	Q So whenever a new one comes in, even if it's for the
03:43:54 6	same patient, how do you interpret that from your
03:43:57 7	consultation duties?
03:43:59 8	A So we request that the patient be counseled on any
03:44:02 9	prescription that comes to us from the doctor. So in that
03:44:06 10	case, we would ask that they be at the consultation window.
03:44:10 11	Q Okay.
03:44:13 12	I want to go back to some questions you had about
03:44:16 13	technicians filling or pharmacists filling.
03:44:19 14	Do you recall those?
03:44:19 15	A Yes.
03:44:20 16	Q Okay.
03:44:20 17	First first question. From in Walmart
03:44:24 18	terminology, when we saw maybe we can call one up. If
03:44:28 19	you go to Tab 1 of your binder, and this is Walmart
03:44:43 20	MDL-013430501. Let's get that up on the screen.
03:44:49 21	MR. LANIER: Can we have a copy?
03:44:50 22	MS. FUMERTON: We gave that to you already,
03:44:53 23	Mark.
03:44:54 24	MR. MAJORAS: It's the same one I used earlier
03:44:56 25	in the exam. And in particular if we could blow up the

03:45:00 1	bottom part, the end-of-day label.
03:45:09 2	BY MR. MAJORAS:
03:45:10 3	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. So if we look at the bold lettering in sort of
03:45:14 4	the top right where it says 4PT, that's the four point you
03:45:18 5	talked about earlier?
03:45:19 6	A Right.
03:45:19 7	Q Okay. At the end of that is FIL, F-I-L.
03:45:22 8	Do you see that?
03:45:23 9	A Yes.
03:45:23 10	Q Okay.
03:45:23 11	What does it mean when it says FIL here? What are
03:45:27 12	what is that indicating?
03:45:28 13	A It's the process where our technicians carry around a
03:45:37 14	handheld device where sequentially fills prioritized,
03:45:43 15	depending on time, will come up to their handheld machine.
03:45:48 16	So when it comes up, they will enter on the first drug,
03:45:50 17	which comes up on their screen.
03:45:52 18	They go take that drug off of the shelf. They scan
03:45:55 19	the NDC or the UPC, the bar code on that bottle, which tells
03:46:00 20	them whether they have the right or wrong bottle of
03:46:03 21	medication.
03:46:04 22	Once it scans in, they take it to what we call the
03:46:08 23	filling pod, which in our pharmacy, there are three of those
03:46:12 24	across the prescription bottle bays.

And so they count the medication out there where a

03:46:16 25

label printer is on every pod. The label prints out of that
printer. And then they apply it to that bottle, put it in
the plastic bag they're working out of, and they move to the
next drug, if there is one in that order.

So once they've filled all the drugs or medications

So once they've filled all the drugs or medications for a patient in an order, that bag gets put to a rack for the pharmacist to visually verify those medications.

- Q So in terms of what a technician might do in what your terminology is the fill process, who is the person who exercises corresponding responsibility over that particular prescription?
- A The pharmacist.

03:46:37 6

03:46:43 7

03:46:46 8

03:46:51 9

03:46:56 10

03:46:58 11

03:46:58 12

03:46:58 13

03:47:02 14

03:47:03 15

03:47:07 16

03:47:14 17

03:47:17 18

03:47:23 19

03:47:26 20

03:47:30 21

03:47:32 22

03:47:36 23

03:47:39 24

03:47:41 25

- Q In what way? How do we know that from what we look at here?
- A The visual verify indicates the pharmacist has taken a final look at the medication and in a Controlled II substance like this, we've then triple counted that substance and then we also back count the stock bottle of that medication, and we inventory that directly into the computer to make sure the counts are on.

And so we've also four-pointed that prescription, which is comparing the data from the prescription to the system entry.

So our responsibility lies in making sure that is correct the whole way through.

03:47:42 1	Q So going Mr. Lanier asked you some questions back
03:47:45 2	in 2012 about filling. Were technicians filling
03:47:49 3	prescriptions in terms of doing the count and putting in the
03:47:51 4	bags the way you just described back then?
03:47:54 5	A It was yeah. They were doing the counts. Back
03:47:58 6	then, we used backseats instead of bags, but yes, they would
03:48:02 7	still count the prescription. The prescription would then
03:48:04 8	go into a basket, which would be put on a rack for the
03:48:07 9	pharmacist to then visually verify that medication.
03:48:09 10	Q So even back in 2012, would a controlled substance be
03:48:15 11	dispensed at Walmart without a pharmacist looking at it?
03:48:19 12	A No.
03:48:19 13	Q And exercising his or her judgment?
03:48:21 14	A No.
03:48:21 15	Q Well, how did you do that back then that may or may
03:48:24 16	not be different from today?
03:48:26 17	A I mean, the process is slightly different in how it
03:48:31 18	gets from Point A to Point B, but it still is the process of
03:48:37 19	us verifying prescription medication to label to
03:48:42 20	prescription, all of that has always been the same.
03:48:58 21	MR. MAJORAS: Thank you, Ms. Militello. I
03:49:00 22	appreciate your time this afternoon.
03:49:01 23	No further questions, Your Honor.
03:49:03 24	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Majoras.

25

03:49:03 1	RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF LORI MILITELLO
03:49:27 2	BY MR. LANIER
03:49:27 3	Q Okay. Just the last couple of things and you can head
03:49:37 4	home.
03:49:41 5	We talked about this person who was visiting the
03:49:44 6	hormone doctor, and you described him in Mr. Majoras's
03:49:51 7	questions to you as someone who came in and seemed to be in
03:49:55 8	severe pain?
03:49:56 9	A Yes.
03:49:59 10	Q Are you familiar at all with the symptoms of
03:50:02 11	withdrawal from opioid addiction?
03:50:05 12	A Yes.
03:50:07 13	Q Because the way you described him was a lot like
03:50:12 14	Dr. Lembke described withdrawal for
03:50:16 15	MR. MAJORAS: Objection.
03:50:17 16	MR. LANIER: I haven't finished asking.
03:50:19 17	THE COURT: Overruled.
03:50:20 18	BY MR. LANIER:
03:50:20 19	Q For opiate addiction.
03:50:22 20	Would you agree with such an assessment from a
03:50:24 21	perspective of a pharmacist?
03:50:26 22	A I don't I don't agree with that, no.
03:50:30 23	Q And I do know at least one time when he was there, you
03:50:32 24	also smelled alcohol on his breath?
03:50:34 25	A I did not personally, no.

Militello - Recross/Lanier

03:50:37 1	Q Okay.
03:50:38 2	So, but you've seen that entry in the prescription
03:50:40 3	records as well; right?
03:50:43 4	A Um was that on something you just showed today?
03:50:47 5	Q Yeah. It was in the 21391, the refusal to fill.
03:50:53 6	Said, "The patient wouldn't wait for me to verify proper
03:50:55 7	relationship with the doctor for oxy 30-milligram
03:50:59 8	prescriptions per policy and smelled of alcohol."
03:51:03 9	A Okay. I see that that is written on there, yes.
03:51:06 10	Q Yeah. I'm just saying, at some point, did it trigger
03:51:12 11	in your brain this doesn't sound like a hormone therapy
03:51:15 12	problem?
03:51:19 13	A The doctor's title of hormone, I mean, that's part of
03:51:23 14	his treatment scope, so there's more to it than just that.
03:51:32 15	But, yeah, I see what I see what the pharmacist here had
03:51:35 16	written.
03:51:37 17	Q This is not the first time you'd had trouble with this
03:51:41 18	doctor and what he was doing. True?
03:51:48 19	I'm handing you Plaintiff's 26767, and this is one
03:51:53 20	where you refused to fill several years earlier, and your
03:52:00 21	reason was that the morphine equivalent was 350 and the
03:52:04 22	doctor refused to deal with the pharmacy on the issue.
03:52:08 23	Do you see that?
03:52:09 24	A I do see that.
03:52:11 25	Q Down at the bottom, you said the patient has had

Militello - Recross/Lanier

03:52:16 1	questionable tendencies in the past. The morphine
03:52:20 2	equivalent for the patient is 350.
03:52:23 3	What is the morphine equivalent on the fellow that we
03:52:26 4	were talking about before that you said was in such agony?
03:52:32 5	Do you recall?
03:52:37 6	A On this OARRS report, it reads 465.
03:52:40 7	Q That's a lot more than the 350 that caused you not to
03:52:44 8	write for this fellow; right? Fill for this fellow, excuse
03:52:48 9	me.
03:52:50 10	Right?
03:52:51 11	A That's correct, yeah.
03:52:52 12	Q And then the same doctor has no interest in dealing
03:52:57 13	with the pharmacy professionally. Patient had prescription
03:53:02 14	for hydromorphone and filled a prescription for oxycodone.
03:53:06 15	I felt uncomfortable with the prospect of filling this
03:53:09 16	prescription. In my professional judgment, denied filling.
03:53:13 17	Kudos to you for doing that, but, ma'am, this doesn't
03:53:17 18	hold a candle to this other fellow that years later you all
03:53:21 19	are filling for, does it?
03:53:23 20	MR. MAJORAS: Objection. Form.
03:53:24 21	THE COURT: Overruled.
03:53:26 22	THE WITNESS: It's a different patient, a
03:53:28 23	different situation, so every situation is unique.
03:53:32 24	BY MR. LANIER:
03:53:32 25	Q Okay.

03:53:34 1	By the same token, if we're looking at your
03:53:36 2	situations, I tried to add up how many prescriptions over a
03:53:41 3	six-year period, from 2013 to 2018, that you personally
03:53:46 4	filled for the trinity.
03:53:48 5	A Um-hmm.
03:53:49 6	Q Would you be shocked to know that over 900 times, you
03:53:55 7	did so?
03:53:55 8	MR. MAJORAS: Objection.
03:53:56 9	THE COURT: Overruled.
03:53:57 10	THE WITNESS: I did not know the number of how
03:53:59 11	many times.
03:54:01 12	MR. LANIER: Okay. That's all I've got,
03:54:03 13	Judge. Thank you.
03:54:03 14	Thank you, ma'am.
03:54:05 15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much, ma'am.
03:54:07 16	You may be excused.
03:54:08 17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
03:54:09 18	(Witness excused.)
03:54:32 19	THE COURT: The defendants may call their next
03:54:34 20	witness, please.
03:54:57 21	MS. FUMERTON: Your Honor, may I proceed?
03:54:58 22	THE COURT: Yes. Yes. You may call your next
03:55:00 23	witness.
03:55:00 24	MS. FUMERTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
03:55:02 25	Walmart calls as its next witness, Debbie Mack by

03:55:08 1	video deposition designation.
03:55:11 2	Ms. Mack is a former Walmart employee of 31 years, who
03:55:14 3	joined Walmart as a pharmacist and worked her way up to
03:55:16 4	become a senior director in Walmart's Health and Wellness
03:55:20 5	Practice Compliance at Walmart's home office.
03:55:23 6	What will, be played for you is about 22 minutes of
03:55:27 7	Ms. Mack responding to questions from one of plaintiffs'
03:55:30 8	attorneys, Allison Gaffney.
03:55:34 9	MR. WEINBERGER: Your Honor
03:55:36 10	THE COURT: Well, hold on. Let's go on the
03:55:39 11	headset.
03:55:40 12	MR. WEINBERGER: Can we go on the
03:55:43 13	THE COURT: Yeah.
03:55:54 14	(Proceedings at sidebar:)
03:55:54 15	MR. WEINBERGER: Your Honor, this is a
03:55:57 16	deposition that we, as you know, has been at issue that
03:56:01 17	we've objected to. For and that they've done the
03:56:04 18	designations on.
03:56:05 19	For Ms. Fumerton to introduce this witness as the fact
03:56:11 20	that the plaintiffs' lawyer asked these questions is highly
03:56:16 21	inappropriate.
03:56:16 22	THE COURT: Well, Mr. Weinberger, I think they
03:56:19 23	recognize
03:56:20 24	MR. WEINBERGER: It's not my voice.
03:56:21 25	THE COURT: Well

03:56:22 1	MR. WEINBERGER: It's a woman's voice. It's
03:56:23 2	not my voice. This woman has never her voice has not
03:56:28 3	been on any videos, and
03:56:30 4	THE COURT: Well, candidly, it doesn't matter
03:56:32 5	who's asking the questions.
03:56:34 6	MR. WEINBERGER: Well, the implication
03:56:35 7	well, maybe that's what we need to say.
03:56:38 8	THE COURT: It's a Walmart employee so it
03:56:39 9	doesn't matter.
03:56:40 10	MR. WEINBERGER: Right, it's a Walmart
03:56:41 11	employee who we objected, whose deposition they should
03:56:43 12	have brought her in live.
03:56:44 13	THE COURT: Well, look. It doesn't matter
03:56:46 14	who's asking the question. The witness is presumably
03:56:50 15	telling the truth. Okay? So so the time's being charged
03:56:56 16	to Walmart. They're calling her. It doesn't matter who
03:56:59 17	asked the questions. Walmart's designated this deposition.
03:57:01 18	MR. WEINBERGER: Well, then let's add to the
03:57:03 19	fact that this deposition was allowed because of a sanctions
03:57:07 20	motion.
03:57:07 21	THE COURT: I'm not going into any of that.
03:57:09 22	Walmart's calling Ms. Mack, a former employee, by video,
03:57:13 23	which they're allowed to do. It doesn't matter who asked
03:57:15 24	the questions.
03:57:16 25	Okay. Let's proceed.

03:57:17 1	MR. WEINBERGER: Okay.
03:57:26 2	(Proceedings resumed in open court.)
03:57:26 3	DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF DEBORAH MACK
03:57:26 4	BY MS. GAFFNEY:
03:57:40 5	Q Ms. Mack, you worked for Walmart for 31 years; is that
03:57:44 6	correct?
03:57:44 7	A That's correct.
03:57:48 8	Q And you began working in Walmart Practice Compliance
03:57:55 9	Department in 2006; is that correct?
03:57:56 10	A Yes.
03:57:58 11	Q And in 2012, you became a Senior Director in the
03:58:03 12	Practice Compliance Department in Health and Wellness; is
03:58:08 13	that right?
03:58:08 14	A Yes.
03:58:09 15	Q How would you describe your responsibilities as the
03:58:12 16	Senior Director of Practice Compliance?
03:58:15 17	A So I had 17 states that I was responsible for the
03:58:19 18	compliance requirement, and my main thing I had to do was
03:58:26 19	have a relationship with all the Boards of Pharmacy and so
03:58:29 20	the 17 different states. And so Board of Pharmacy rules,
03:58:35 21	legislation, all that gets passed in each of those states
03:58:39 22	each year, being over an individual that was over controlled
03:58:48 23	substances and then also immunizations, vaccines, point of
03:58:53 24	care testing for that was for the whole company but all
03:58:56 25	the first roles was for 17 states.

03:58:58 1	Q Okay. Thank you.
03:58:59 2	When you said being over an individual that was over
03:59:03 3	controlled substances, can you explain what that means?
03:59:07 4	A The individual did the day-to-day working with asset
03:59:13 5	protection, anything that's happening in stores and
03:59:16 6	notifying the DEA and the states' controlled substance
03:59:24 7	agencies.
03:59:24 8	Q Okay. And who was that individual or individuals?
03:59:28 9	A Shelley Tustison Nelson.
03:59:28 10	Q And in your position, you were as a Senior
03:59:32 11	Director, you were in a higher management position than
03:59:38 12	Mr. Nelson; is that correct?
03:59:38 13	A Yes.
03:59:39 14	Q It reads, "Since 2015, pharmacists have been able to
03:59:43 15	search RTS across the company."
03:59:44 16	To your knowledge, is that an accurate statement?
03:59:58 17	A To my knowledge, pharmacists could search it. I don't
04:00:01 18	know exactly which year they began searching.
04:00:06 19	Q Okay.
04:00:07 20	And do you know what programs pharmacists could use to
04:00:12 21	search RTF? Was it Archer?
04:00:14 22	A Yes.
04:00:15 23	Q And how would you describe, in your own words, the
04:00:17 24	difference between a blanket refusal to fill and a corporate
04:00:20 25	block?

04:00:23 1	A A blanket refusal to fill is when a pharmacist decides
04:00:28 2	I can't fill I will not fill prescriptions for X doctor,
04:00:33 3	and a corporate block is when the company sends something
04:00:38 4	down and blocks a particular doctor and nobody could fill
04:00:40 5	it.
04:00:41 6	Q When you began your role as the Senior Director of
04:00:44 7	Practice Compliance in 2012, did Walmart permit its
04:00:48 8	pharmacists to impose blanket refusals to fill?
04:00:58 9	A Walmart had stated that they wanted pharmacists to
04:01:00 10	look at every prescription before determining whether they
04:01:02 11	felt comfortable filling or not, but it was up to the
04:01:05 12	pharmacist if they filled a prescription.
04:01:08 13	Q And were pharmacists in Walmart pharmacists in 2012
04:01:13 14	allowed to impose blanket refusal to fills on any and all
04:01:18 15	prescriptions coming from a particular prescriber?
04:01:24 16	A They needed to look at each prescription first. So
04:01:27 17	they they were supposed to look at each prescription
04:01:31 18	before making that determination. I mean, that was true
04:01:35 19	when I came to Walmart 31 years ago. The pharmacists always
04:01:40 20	had the say whether to fill a prescription or not.
04:01:43 21	${f Q}$ But they did not have the choice to impose a blanket
04:01:46 22	refusal to fill on a prescriber in 2012; is that correct?
04:01:50 23	A It was always up to the pharmacist whether they filled
04:01:52 24	a prescription.
04:02:01 25	Q Ms. Mack, I'm just asking whether pharmacists at

04:02:04 1	Walmart, in 2012, were permitted to impose a blanket refusal
04:02:07 2	to fill on a prescriber without looking at each prescription
04:02:11 3	individually.
04:02:13 4	A At that time, I would say no, they needed to look at
04:02:15 5	every prescription.
04:02:17 6	Q Ms. Mack, before the short break, we were discussing
04:02:20 7	the rationale for Walmart's prohibition on blanket refusals
04:02:24 8	to fill.
04:02:24 9	And without asking about the specifics of any
04:02:27 10	communication from counsel, I just want to clarify your
04:02:29 11	testimony for the record.
04:02:31 12	It's your testimony that the basis for the prohibition
04:02:34 13	on blanket refusals to fill was the advice of counsel?
04:02:37 14	A I would say it was many things involved in that
04:02:43 15	early-on decision. I personally had spoken to Board of
04:02:47 16	Pharmacy. I know Susanne Hiland spoke to Boards of
04:02:51 17	Pharmacy. We understood the corresponding responsibility of
04:02:54 18	pharmacists, and this guidance was out there for sure, too.
04:03:03 19	And, yes, I would say taken all that together, it made
04:03:08 20	up the discussion between attorneys and our team on blanket
04:03:12 21	refusals.
04:03:15 22	${f Q}$ When you say you personally had spoken to Boards of
04:03:19 23	Pharmacy, which Boards?
04:03:22 24	A Texas Board of Pharmacy was the first one and the

probably the main one. And their opinion softened over

04:03:27 25

04:03:32 1	time. But back then, the early 2000s, it was very much that
04:03:36 2	a pharmacist must look at every prescription before filling.
04:03:39 3	They could ultimately decide not to fill everything for
04:03:44 4	Dr. X, but they had to look at every prescription first.
04:03:48 5	Q And were those conversations with the Texas Board
04:03:53 6	of Pharmacy, when did those take place?
04:03:59 7	A I would say the first one for me was around 2007 or
04:04:04 8	2008. I had multiple ones with the executive director at
04:04:11 9	that time, Gay Dodson.
04:04:13 10	And then years later, as their opinion started to
04:04:18 11	soften somewhat, I had additional conversation with the
04:04:25 12	chief attorney, Kerstin Arnold.
04:04:33 13	Q When you say the chief attorney, was that at the Board
04:04:40 14	of Pharmacy?
04:04:40 15	A Yes.
04:04:41 16	Q And these discussions that you had over the years with
04:04:46 17	the Texas Board of Pharmacy, are they memorialized anywhere?
04:04:49 18	A No, not I don't think they're memorialized anywhere
04:04:56 19	that's available or they're just they were verbal
04:05:00 20	conversations every time.
04:05:01 21	Q And then after those verbal conversations, did you
04:05:05 22	ever communicate the content of that conversation in writing
04:05:08 23	to anyone at Walmart?
04:05:12 24	A Not in writing, but I did communicate it.
04:05:16 25	Q And how did you communicate it?

04:05:22 1	A In meetings as we were discussing this type of
04:05:27 2	information, I would communicate what I had learned from the
04:05:31 3	Board of Pharmacy.
04:05:32 4	There were other boards later on as well, but Texas
04:05:35 5	was the very first one.
04:05:36 6	Q Other than the Texas Board of Pharmacy, did you have
04:05:42 7	communications with any other Boards of Pharmacy in which
04:05:46 8	they discussed the evidence on blanket refusal to fill?
04:05:54 9	A The Oregon Board of Pharmacy, I spoke to them about
04:05:58 10	it. California Board of Pharmacy, I spoke to them. Idaho,
04:06:14 11	I spoke to them on several different issues. But for sure
04:06:16 12	Oregon and California.
04:06:21 13	Q And when did the conversation with the Oregon Board of
04:06:24 14	Pharmacy take place?
04:06:29 15	A I could not possibly pinpoint the year for you.
04:06:35 16	Q Could you
04:06:36 17	A Like I said, it was later than Texas, but I don't know
04:06:38 18	exactly when that occurred.
04:06:48 19	Q And how about the conversations you referenced with
04:06:51 20	the California Board of Pharmacy; when did those take place?
04:06:56 21	A Again, I don't know the date, but it was around the
04:07:01 22	time that California was. It was later than Texas. Texas
04:07:05 23	was the very first one. I remember it extremely well.
04:07:09 24	Q Okay.
04:07:11 25	Just to clarify for the record, you said it was around

	Deposition lestimony of Debotan Mack
04:07:13 1	the time that California was. Did you mean Oregon?
04:07:19 2	A Oh, you're asking me about California. So around the
04:07:23 3	time, Oregon, yes.
04:07:24 4	Q Okay.
04:07:35 5	At what point did Walmart begin permitting its
04:07:39 6	pharmacists to impose blanket refusals to fill?
04:07:42 7	A Well, they they could always refuse if they looked
04:07:48 8	at the prescription first. So even blanket refusal to say
04:07:55 9	everything for Dr. X or Dr. Smith, if they looked at every
04:07:58 10	one of these prescriptions as they came in, they could
04:08:01 11	always decide not to fill. I mean, the opportunity was
04:08:07 12	there, they just had to look at the prescription.
04:08:17 13	${f Q}$ At what point did Walmart permit its pharmacists to
04:08:20 14	refuse to fill all prescriptions from a prescriber without
04:08:23 15	looking at each prescription individually?
04:08:25 16	A I would say the first time that the policy changed
04:08:29 17	somewhat to take out the blanket refusal. I think that
04:08:36 18	might have been somewhere around 2015.
04:08:40 19	Q And what was the basis for that change in 2015?
04:08:43 20	A As I continued talking to Texas, they started
04:08:50 21	softening on their approach. At first, their approach was a
04:08:53 22	pharmacist should look at every prescription before they
04:08:55 23	make a decision. And then the well, I was at a Texas

Board meeting and I heard Kerstin Arnold, the attorney,

soften so much in that -- so much, you know, for that

04:09:01 24

04:09:06 25

04:09:09 1

04:09:11 2

04:09:15 3

04:09:19 4

04:09:24 5

04:09:26 6

04:09:29 7

04:09:34 8

04:09:37 9

04:09:40 10

04:09:47 11

04:09:51 12

04:09:55 13

04:09:58 14

04:10:02 15

04:10:09 16

04:10:13 17

04:10:21 18

04:10:25 19

04:10:30 20

04:10:32 21

04:10:36 22

04:10:37 23

04:10:42 24

04:10:49 25

particular statement.

So I went up and talked to her afterwards and she said, yes, if a pharmacist has already decided they can't fill for Dr. Smith, they could blanket refuse that. And that was the first time I had ever heard a Board that actually said do this without looking at every prescription. So it was pretty monumental to me that I remember that.

And those are the types of things, you know, our team would bring back and we would talk in these meetings with the attorneys and things were evolving and things were changing and we were updating policies and changing policies and it wasn't flipping a light switch that all of a sudden, you need to change it, but it was evolving over time.

- Q And when did that particular Texas Board meeting that you've just described occur?
- A I don't know the actual date, but it was years after 2007. 2007 was the first time and then years later. So I'm going to say five or six, seven years later, this occurred. And it had always -- I had always heard Texas say, look at every prescription and then this was just very different that day. So I think their -- their opinion evolved over time as well.
- Q Okay. Ms. Mack, you mentioned communications with the Texas, Oregon, California, and Idaho Boards of Pharmacy.

 Were there any others that you communicated with regarding

04:10:52 1	blanket refusals to fill?
04:10:55 2	A Nevada. Could have very possibly talked to Oklahoma,
04:11:11 3	Kansas, Oregon, Mexico about that because that was as
04:11:16 4	time was evolving, that was becoming a bigger topic of
04:11:22 5	conversation as I talked to different directors.
04:11:25 6	Q And did you ever have any conversations with the Ohio
04:11:29 7	Board of Pharmacy regarding blanket refusals to fill?
04:11:33 8	A I don't think so. I mean, I did have Ohio for a very,
04:11:38 9	very short time, but I don't remember that ever being a
04:11:41 10	topic of conversation.
04:11:42 11	Q And when you would have calls with representatives
04:11:44 12	from Boards of Pharmacy, what would be what would prompt
04:11:48 13	those calls?
04:11:49 14	A Well, that was the major part of my job was working
04:11:54 15	with the executive directors and the Boards of Pharmacy. So
04:11:57 16	whether it be to touch base and see what's going on in their
04:11:59 17	state or to ask questions, you know, what they tell their
04:12:06 18	pharmacists about this or that, it was just that was the
04:12:12 19	major communication tool. We didn't I couldn't travel to
04:12:15 20	17 states and be at every Board of Pharmacy meeting. So I
04:12:19 21	typically called them and talked to them about various
04:12:23 22	things.
04:12:23 23	Q Okay.
04:12:24 24	So typically, you would initiate those calls to the

Board representatives?

04:12:27 25

04:12:28 1	A I would say typically I called them, but they
04:12:30 2	definitely called me as well.
04:12:31 3	Q Besides this the Texas Board meeting that you
04:12:35 4	described that indicated a possible change in position for
04:12:38 5	the Texas Board, did any other board of did any Boards of
04:12:44 6	Pharmacy communicate to you that it was okay to permit
04:12:48 7	blanket refusals to fill?
04:12:50 8	A No. That was the only board that I felt had a little
04:12:55 9	bit of a change of their opinion.
04:13:04 10	Q Did the DEA ever communicate guidance on blanket
04:13:09 11	refusals to fill to you?
04:13:10 12	A No.
04:13:15 13	Q And when Walmart changed its policy on blanket
04:13:18 14	refusals to fill in 2015, were you involved in that policy
04:13:24 15	change?
04:13:25 16	A Yes, I was.
04:13:27 17	Q What was your involvement in the policy change?
04:13:31 18	A My involvement would have been telling what what
04:13:40 19	I'd heard at the Texas Board of Pharmacy and, yeah, that
04:13:44 20	pharmacists have reached out occasionally wanting the
04:13:52 21	corporation to make some kind of decision for them.
04:13:53 22	Q Do you send if a pharmacist had reached out
04:13:56 23	occasionally wanting the corporation to make some kind of
04:14:00 24	decision for them, could you describe what you mean by that?
04:14:06 25	A Pharmacists called us, me, all the time. Sometimes

through their market directors, sometimes through their regional directors and sometimes they just picked up the phone and called my office.

And I will say occasionally, pharmacists would say I just wish -- or they would say, can Walmart decide we can't fill these prescriptions, and I would go through the whole policy of, you know, you're the pharmacist and you have -- you're at: The store level, you know the patient, you know the doctors, you're looking at the situation. It's the pharmacist's decision.

Walmart has never told its pharmacists what they had to do. They've prided themselves in letting the pharmacists be the pharmacists. Walmart didn't want to be the pharmacists for them.

So that conversation would happen and typically when we would hang up from that conversation, a pharmacist understood their role much better and understood that they really are the ones that are armed with what to do on the case, you know. Walmart is in Bentonville. They don't know. And they never -- Walmart never wanted to tell the pharmacists what to do.

- Q Ms. Mack, you are a registered pharmacist yourself; is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Are you currently registered in Arkansas?

04:14:13 1

04:14:16 2

04:14:17 3

04:14:19 4

04:14:23 5

04:14:28 6 04:14:33 7

04:14:39 8

04:14:41 9

04:14:44 10

04:14:45 11

04:14:49 12

04:14:54 13

04:14:56 14

04:14:58 15

04:15:02 16

04:15:05 17

04:15:08 18

04:15:15 19

04:15:18 20

04:15:21 21

04:15:25 22

04:15:30 23

04:15:30 24

04:15:31 25

04:15:40 1	A Yes.
04:15:41 2	Q In any other states?
04:15:42 3	A Texas.
04:15:42 4	Q This is an e-mail from Shelley Tustison to you dated
04:15:47 5	October 22, 2013; correct?
04:15:48 6	A Yes.
04:15:48 7	Q And at that time, did you supervise Ms. Tustison?
04:15:51 8	A Yes.
04:15:52 9	Q And just looking at the the last sentence in the
04:15:56 10	first paragraph that begins, "Even after, even after the
04:16:01 11	pharmacist established that there's a doctor/patient
04:16:03 12	relationship, the pharmacist is still allowed to refuse a
04:16:06 13	prescription on an individual prescription basis. No
04:16:09 14	blanket refusals are allowed by the Boards of Pharmacy."
04:16:15 15	Was that statement, "No blanket" the last part of
04:16:18 16	that statement, "No blanket refusals are allowed by the
04:16:21 17	Boards of Pharmacy," an accurate statement at this time,
04:16:24 18	which is 2013?
04:16:25 19	A To my knowledge, at that time, there was not a board
04:16:28 20	that said you can blanket refuse a prescription. But once
04:16:34 21	again, the first sentence also says, you know, you use your
04:16:37 22	professional judgment and if you want to refuse a
04:16:39 23	prescription, you refuse it.
04:16:42 24	And if that doctor writes so many that you've looked
04:16:45 25	at them, you want to refuse them all, you can refuse them

04:16:47 1	all.
04:16:47 2	Q And, in fact, Walmart in 2015 decided to permit
04:16:51 3	blanket refusals to fill; is that correct?
04:16:53 4	A Yes, they did take it out of the policy and it was
04:16:59 5	more permitted, but this was 2013. But once again, I don't
04:17:09 6	know if you're catching my point, but for the 31 years I
04:17:13 7	worked at Walmart, a pharmacist had the right to refuse to
04:17:17 8	fill a prescription. And if I looked at 20 of Dr. Smith's
04:17:22 9	and I knew I wasn't going to fill it for Dr. Smith, at some
04:17:25 10	point, I would look at them much quicker and know that I
04:17:29 11	wasn't going to fill them.
04:17:31 12	So there wasn't anything making me think I had to fill
04:17:36 13	a prescription. I filled based on the knowledge I had
04:17:40 14	surrounding that patient and that doctor and that
04:17:42 15	prescription.
04:17:43 16	Q What is the basis for Walmart's prohibition on blanket
04:17:48 17	refusals to fill for pharmacists in Ohio?
04:17:50 18	A I don't have the answer to that.
04:17:52 19	Q Okay.
04:17:54 20	And we've been talking for a while about blanket
04:17:56 21	refusals to fill, so now we're talking about corporate
04:18:00 22	blocks, which as you've explained earlier, are different.
04:18:04 23	Did any representatives from Boards of Pharmacy ever

Did any representatives from Boards of Pharmacy ever tell you that corporate blocks were not permitted?

A Yes.

04:18:06 24

04:18:12 25

04:18:15	1
04:18:19	2
04:18:25	3
04:18:30	4
04:18:37	5
04:18:41	6
04:18:48	7
04:18:53	8
04:18:56	9
04:19:03	10
04:19:06	11
04:19:13	12
04:19:15	13
04:19:17	14
04:19:23	15
04:19:29	16
04:19:35	17
04:19:41	18
04:19:52	19
04:19:54	20
04:19:58	21
04:20:02	22
04:20:04	23
04:20:10	24
04:20:11	25

Q And would those be the same Boards of Pharmacy that you described earlier?

So just to clarify, you mentioned Texas, Oregon,
Nevada, Idaho and California. Would those be the same, Ms.
Mack, for communications regarding corporate blocks?

A Those would be the same and they would have -- the discussion would have been around asking what is Walmart doing, what is a corporate block.

And originally, I mean, when that first came out, as Boards would call and talk about it, we would help them understand that it varied — it would be very rare in the grand scheme of how many prescriptions there are that there would be a corporate block, and there would have been a lot of information put into whether to corporate block or not, it wasn't — and it wasn't — it was very — it was a process. But yes, those same types of states would have talked about whether the pharmacist should do that or Walmart itself could corporate block.

THE COURT: Let's go on the headphones for a second, please.

(Proceedings at sidebar:)

THE COURT: Okay. I understand there's one more pharmacist witness from Walgreens.

MR. SWANSON: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Stoffelmayr, roughly how long

04:20:13 1	do you expect he or she to be?
04:20:15 2	MR. STOFFELMAYR: I think the direct will be
04:20:17 3	between one and two hours, so we would not expect to finish
04:20:20 4	the direct today.
04:20:22 5	THE COURT: Well
04:20:24 6	MR. STOFFELMAYR: I'm happy to start or
04:20:26 7	THE COURT: Then why don't we start and then
04:20:27 8	when you think it's a convenient break around, I don't know,
04:20:32 9	5:00, 5:15, somewhere in there, we'll do that.
04:20:36 10	MS. SWIFT: We will do that. Thank you,
04:20:37 11	Judge.
04:20:37 12	THE COURT: Okay.
04:20:43 13	(Proceedings resumed in open court.)
04:20:43 14	THE COURT: Okay. I think Walgreens has the
04:20:46 15	next witness. Mr. Stoffelmayr.
04:20:49 16	MR. STOFFELMAYR: Thank you, Your Honor.
04:20:50 17	Walgreens calls Amy Stossel.
04:21:00 18	THE COURT: Yes, you're metallic.
04:21:02 19	MR. STOFFELMAYR: I thought I had gotten over
04:21:04 20	whatever the condition was but no, that's not me.
04:21:50 21	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Stossel. If
04:21:52 22	you could raise your right hand, please.
04:21:54 23	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are
04:21:56 24	about to give will be the truth the whole truth and nothing
04:21:58 25	but the truth under pain and penalty of perjury?

04:22:00 1	THE WITNESS: I do.
04:22:01 2	THE COURT: Thank you.
04:22:01 3	And you may remove your mask while testifying, please.
04:22:04 4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
04:22:04 5	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF AMY STOSSEL
04:22:10 6	BY MR. STOFFELMAYR:
04:22:10 7	Q All right.
04:22:11 8	Good afternoon, Ms. Stossel. You and I have met, but
04:22:14 9	in case anyone has forgotten over the last five or
04:22:17 10	six weeks, I'm Kaspar Stoffelmayr again. I represent
04:22:22 11	Walgreens.
04:22:22 12	Ms. Stossel, why don't you introduce yourself to the
04:22:25 13	jurors if you would.
04:22:25 14	A Hi. I'm Amy Stossel. I'm a pharmacist at Walgreens.
04:22:32 15	Q Let's get a couple things out of the way.
04:22:34 16	How long have you been a pharmacist at Walgreens?
04:22:37 17	A I've worked at Walgreens for 25 years.
04:22:40 18	Q Where do you live?
04:22:41 19	A I live in Willoughby, Ohio.
04:22:44 20	Q And that, I think everyone knows, that's in
04:22:46 21	Lake County; correct?
04:22:47 22	A Correct.
04:22:48 23	Q Where do you work?
04:22:50 24	A I work at Walgreens in Willoughby, Ohio, actually.
04:22:53 25	Q Okay. Do you have any family?

04:22:54 1	A I do.
04:22:55 2	Q Tell us about them if you would.
04:22:57 3	A Sure. Sure.
04:22:58 4	So I have a son, who's 14 years old, and I have a
04:23:02 5	daughter, who's 15 years old.
04:23:03 6	Q Okay. And where did you grow up; also in Lake County?
04:23:06 7	A No.
04:23:07 8	Actually, I grew up in Marietta, Ohio, which is in
04:23:11 9	southern Ohio.
04:23:11 10	Q And how does one get from Marietta, Ohio, to
04:23:15 11	Willoughby, Ohio?
04:23:17 12	A Ah-ha.
04:23:18 13	So when I was in college, I met my husband, and he was
04:23:23 14	from Madison, Ohio. And so after college, I moved to this
04:23:28 15	area where I've been for about 20 years.
04:23:31 16	Q All right.
04:23:31 17	I've put up on the screen just sort of a little
04:23:35 18	overview of what I want to cover with you this afternoon and
04:23:38 19	I think we'll end up continuing tomorrow morning.
04:23:41 20	First thing we're going to do is talk a little bit
04:23:44 21	more about who is Amy Stossel so the jurors can hopefully
04:23:47 22	get to know you a little bit.
04:23:49 23	The jurors have heard a lot about Walgreens
04:23:51 24	pharmacists. They've heard from some folks who used to be
0.5	

working pharmacists at Walgreens but then moved to other

04:23:56 25

jobs, but you will be the first time the jurors have actually met a real life working Walgreens pharmacist. So I want to spend a little time talking about who you are and then having you explain to the jurors what is it like to work as a pharmacist at Walgreens.

And the then last thing is we'll talk about what goes on when you fill a controlled substances prescription at Walgreens, which, again, the jurors have heard a lot about but they haven't heard about it from the perspective of a pharmacist who's actually filling those prescriptions. All right?

A Okay. Sure.

Q Okay.

04:23:58 1

04:24:01 2

04:24:05 3

04:24:09 4

04:24:12 5

04:24:15 6

04:24:17 7

04:24:21 8

04:24:24 9

04:24:27 10

04:24:29 11

04:24:29 12

04:24:30 13

04:24:31 14

04:24:35 15

04:24:37 16

04:24:45 17

04:24:51 18

04:24:52 19

04:24:54 20

04:24:58 21

04:25:00 22

04:25:01 23

04:25:06 24

04:25:10 25

A little more about who is Amy Stossel. Why did you decide to become a pharmacist in the first place?

A So when I was in high school, I actually went to my local hospital where they had kind of like a candy striper program. It was called Medical Explorers.

One of my friends and I volunteered at our hospital.

I had to be like a freshman or sophomore in high school, and we went around to different areas of the hospital and volunteered.

One of the areas was in nursing. And then one day, there were no slots available to volunteer in the nursing, and I ended up in the pharmacy of the hospital. They kind

04:25:13 1	of really liked it. It was interesting, and it really
04:25:20 2	it really caught my attention. I really liked it, and it
04:25:25 3	was very interesting to me so that was what really
04:25:31 4	caught my eye.
04:25:31 5	${f Q}$ So did you then go to college to study pharmacy?
04:25:35 6	A I did. I went.
04:25:36 7	Q Where did you go?
04:25:38 8	A Yeah, I went to Ohio Northern University.
04:25:40 9	Q Did you like it there?
04:25:41 10	A I did, yeah.
04:25:44 11	Q You said jump ahead a little bit. But when you
04:25:47 12	were well, tell us about the pharmacy program. Did you
04:25:50 13	do like a bachelor's degree and then pharmacy school? Was
04:25:54 14	it go straight through, because I've heard there's all
04:25:56 15	kinds of different ways to end up with a pharmacy degree.
04:25:59 16	A Yeah.
04:25:59 17	So when I was in college, actually the program was a
04:26:03 18	five-year program. And one of the reasons why I chose Ohio
04:26:07 19	Northern University was because it was what's called a
04:26:10 20	zero-to-five program.
04:26:12 21	So when you apply to pharmacy school, you got into the
04:26:18 22	pharmacy program at the very beginning and you started off
04:26:22 23	in the program all the way through. You were already in the
04:26:26 24	pharmacy program. You didn't have to apply once you got in

04:26:29 25

the program.

04:26:29 1	Q What time period are we talking about? When did you
04:26:32 2	graduate from Ohio Northern?
04:26:33 3	A Oh, sure. I graduated from high school in 1991. So I
04:26:38 4	started college in 1991 and graduated from college in 1996.
04:26:41 5	Q All right.
04:26:42 6	And just to preview some of the topics we're going to
04:26:45 7	talk about later, when you were in pharmacy school at Ohio
04:26:48 8	Northern, did you learn about things like the corresponding
04:26:53 9	responsibility rules for pharmacists?
04:26:54 10	A Yes.
04:26:55 11	Q Did you learn about topics like red flags and how to
04:26:59 12	resolve them?
04:26:59 13	A Yes.
04:27:02 14	Q Just so we you know, I guess, know where you're
04:27:07 15	coming from, what does that mean to you? When we say a red
04:27:10 16	flag, in your practice as a pharmacist, not in court but in
04:27:12 17	your real practice as a pharmacist, what does that mean to
04:27:15 18	you?
04:27:15 19	A So, a red flag is just a reason I might be
04:27:18 20	uncomfortable with filling a prescription.
04:27:23 21	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Did they how did they teach you about red flags or
04:27:27 22	things that make you uncomfortable? How do you learn about
04:27:29 23	that when you go to pharmacy school?
04:27:31 24	A So in my last year of pharmacy school, I had one

specific class that we really talked about this a lot and

04:27:33 25

04:27:39 1	that class was a pharmacy in ethics class. We talked about
04:27:43 2	red flags quite a bit in that class.
04:27:44 3	Q When you go to pharmacy school, do you have I know
04:27:47 4	we've heard from other folks about rotations and about
04:27:50 5	internships, but do you also have like a lab class?
04:27:53 6	A Yeah.
04:27:53 7	There were a lot of lab classes in college, actually.
04:27:56 8	Q And did any of those touch on topics like dispensing
04:28:00 9	controlled substances and how to recognize if a prescription
04:28:03 10	raises concerns or it's okay to go ahead and fill it?
04:28:06 11	A Yeah, we actually had a class called a pharmacy
04:28:10 12	practice lab class that we did a lot of that in that class.
04:28:17 13	Q After pharmacy school, you did an internship; correct?
04:28:21 14	A Um-hmm.
04:28:21 15	Q Where did you do your internship?
04:28:23 16	A At Walgreens.
04:28:24 17	Q Where where was that Walgreens? Was it over near
04:28:27 18	Toledo or where?
04:28:28 19	A No. That Walgreens was in Maple Heights.
04:28:30 20	Q Okay. And then when did you take your pharmacy boards
04:28:35 21	to get your license?
04:28:36 22	A I took those pharmacy boards in 1996, in the summer.
04:28:40 23	Q Did you pass?
04:28:41 24	A I did.
04:28:41 25	Q First time?

04:28:42 1	A I did.
04:28:43 2	Q Okay.
04:28:43 3	A Yes.
04:28:45 4	Q Have as a have you ever worked anywhere since
04:28:48 5	pharmacy school other than at a Walgreens?
04:28:51 6	A No. No, I've worked at started at Walgreens and
04:28:54 7	I've never left.
04:28:55 8	Q What made you choose Walgreens?
04:28:58 9	A So a couple different things.
04:29:01 10	My husband graduated a year before me in pharmacy
04:29:05 11	school. He started off in Drug Mart and he was working 12
04:29:12 12	and 13-hour days at Drug Mart. He would come home really
04:29:16 13	exhausted from those long days. And so at Walgreens, when I
04:29:19 14	interviewed, most of the shifts at Walgreens were eight-hour
04:29:23 15	days. I really liked the prospect of just working an
04:29:27 16	eight-hour day. So that was one of the reasons.
04:29:30 17	I also liked the different locations of the stores at
04:29:33 18	Walgreens. So that was another reason why I chose to work
04:29:37 19	there.
04:29:37 20	Q In all your time at Walgreens, has it has it lived
04:29:41 21	up to your expectations as to what they told you it would be
04:29:43 22	like?
04:29:43 23	A Yeah, it definitely has.
04:29:45 24	Q What do you like most about being a Walgreens
04:29:48 25	pharmacist?

04:29:48 1	A So I really like dealing with all of the different
04:29:53 2	people that come into my stores.
04:29:56 3	Over the years, I've seen a really diverse group of
04:29:59 4	people come into all of my different locations that I've
04:30:02 5	worked at. I work with some really great people, store
04:30:09 6	managers, technicians. I've encountered some really great
04:30:13 7	other employees that I've worked with at Walgreens.
04:30:16 8	Q I know you've worked at a bunch of different stores
04:30:18 9	over the years, and we don't have to map out by store
04:30:22 10	number, store number, but can you just give us a sense, over
04:30:25 11	your time at Walgreens, how much of that time was spent in
04:30:29 12	stores at Lake County versus other counties in the area?
04:30:31 13	A Sure. I've probably spent about half of my time in
04:30:34 14	Lake County.
04:30:34 15	Q Where would you have worked at the other half?
04:30:37 16	A The other half, I've worked in Cuyahoga County.
04:30:41 17	Q Kind of the eastern end, closer to Lake County or
04:30:43 18	where?
04:30:44 19	A Yeah. Most of the time when I wasn't in Lake County,
04:30:46 20	I worked in Euclid, Ohio.
04:30:49 21	Q At some point during your Walgreens career, did you
04:30:52 22	get promoted to pharmacy manager?
04:30:55 23	A I did.
04:30:55 24	Q How quickly did that happen?
04:30:57 25	A I was a pharmacist for about a year and a half, two

04:31:03 1	years. And then I got promoted to a pharmacy manager.
04:31:05 2	Q And how long did you work as a pharmacy manager?
04:31:07 3	A I would say probably four or five years.
04:31:10 4	Q Okay. Then what, you got demoted? How come you went
04:31:14 5	back to being a pharmacist?
04:31:15 6	A I actually started a family. I had my kids and so I
04:31:20 7	switched to more of a part-time role and didn't work as a
04:31:26 8	pharmacist manager during those years.
04:31:27 9	Q So in a part time role, you couldn't be a pharmacist
04:31:30 10	manager, you had to step back to a staff pharmacist?
04:31:32 11	A Right.
04:31:33 12	As a pharmacist manager, the Ohio law says that you
04:31:36 13	have to spend the majority of your time in the store and be
04:31:42 14	the primary pharmacist in that store, and in a part time
04:31:46 15	role, you wouldn't be able to be the primary pharmacist in
04:31:50 16	the store location.
04:31:51 17	Q Are you working full time today?
04:31:53 18	A I am.
04:31:54 19	Q All right. Tell us what we're looking at on the
04:32:00 20	screen there.
04:32:00 21	A So this is a photo of my concurrent location in
04:32:05 22	Willoughby. That's the store that I work at today.
04:32:07 23	${f Q}$ How long have you worked at this store on SOM Center
04:32:11 24	Road in Willoughby?
04:32:12 25	A I've been at this location for about four years.

04:32:14 1	Q I've seen a lot of pictures, been to a lot of
04:32:17 2	Walgreens, I've seen a lot of pictures of Walgreens. This
04:32:20 3	is not the fanciest Walgreens in the State of Ohio.
04:32:23 4	Is it a good place to work?
04:32:24 5	A It is. It's a great store to work at.
04:32:27 6	Q What makes it a great store to work at?
04:32:29 7	A I have a great team at this location. It's a very
04:32:33 8	busy location, which I like.
04:32:34 9	Q Why do you like that, being at a busy store versus
04:32:37 10	somewhere a little more laid back?
04:32:38 11	A I see a lot of patients at this store. One of the
04:32:41 12	reasons that I went to pharmacy school in the first place
04:32:43 13	was to help people, and I can help a lot of different people
04:32:48 14	at this location because we see so many.
04:32:50 15	Q I notice it says 24 hours, but it doesn't say 24-hour
04:32:55 16	pharmacy. Is it a 24-hour store or a 24-hour pharmacy?
04:32:58 17	What what's the store what are the store hours?
04:33:00 18	A So this is a 24-hour store location, but the pharmacy
04:33:06 19	is not open 24 hours. Our pharmacy hours currently are 9:00
04:33:12 20	a.m. to 9:00 p.m.
04:33:13 21	Q If if the pharmacy is open, 8:00 p.m. at night or
04:33:19 22	middle of the day, does there have to be a pharmacist there
04:33:23 23	on duty?
04:33:24 24	A Correct. If there the pharmacy is open, a

pharmacist must be there.

04:33:27 25

04:33:28	1	Q If a pharmacist calls in sick and they can't find a	
04:33:32	2	replacement, can they open that pharmacy?	
04:33:34	3	A No.	
04:33:36	4	Q Now, one day a week, you work at a different location	n;
04:33:39	5	correct?	
04:33:39	6	A Correct.	
04:33:39	7	Q What's what's that about? What's that like?	
04:33:42	8	A So one day a week, I work at our specialty pharmacy,	
04:33:45	9	which is located in downtown Cleveland on Chester Avenue.	
04:33:49	10	Q What is a specialty pharmacy? What does that mean?	
04:33:52	11	A So, a specialty pharmacy deals with medications which	n
04:33:56	12	might be a little bit more expensive but are tailored to	
04:34:00	13	specific medical conditions.	
04:34:04	14	A lot of those medications are limited distribution	
04:34:07	15	drugs, which means that they can only be distributed to	
04:34:13	16	certain locations and certain stores.	
04:34:15	17	Q Are those some of the kinds of medications that are	
04:34:18	18	very special handling requirements, like refrigeration or	
04:34:21	19	things like that?	
04:34:22	20	A They can be, yes.	
04:34:25	21	Q All right.	
04:34:26	22	Let's go back to a regular pharmacy, like your	
04:34:29	23	pharmacy in Willoughby. And I want to ask you a few	
04:34:33	24	questions about when the pharmacy orders medications. Oka	у?
04:34:35	25	A Sure.	

04:34:37 1	L	Q Now, I think we heard a little bit about this but
04:34:41 2	2	probably weeks ago, there is an inventory management system
04:34:45	3	called SIMS; correct?
04:34:47	1	A Yes, correct.
04:34:48 5	5	Q Am I right that SIMS will automatically place orders
04:34:51	5	for the pharmacy based on what the computer system thinks is
04:34:54	7	the right inventory level?
04:34:56 8	3	A Correct.
04:34:57)	Q So if it thinks you're supposed to have a hundred
04:35:00 10		pills and it sees that you're down to 40 pills, it will
04:35:03 11	L	order 60 for you; correct?
04:35:05 12	2	A Correct.
04:35:06 13	3	Q I'm sure that's an oversimplified example, but things
04:35:09 14	1	like that?
04:35:10 15	5	A Um-hmm.
04:35:10 16	5	Q Now, if you want to let's start with non-controlled
04:35:13 17	7	substances, say it's amoxicillin.
04:35:16 18	3	Say all the preschoolers in town are sick and you need
04:35:19 19	9	more amoxicillin. Can you as a pharmacist on SOM Center
04:35:24 20		Road go into the system and order more amoxicillin for the
04:35:27 21	L	store?
04:35:27 22	2	A Yes.
04:35:28 23	3	Q Is there a any check on your ability to get more
04:35:31 24	1	antibiotics for the preschoolers?
04:35:33 25	5	A No. I can go in and place the order.

04:35:35 1	Q All right.
04:35:35 2	What about it's a controlled substance? If you have a
04:35:39 3	new patient and suddenly you need more morphine than you
04:35:44 4	generally have in stock, can you just go to the computer
04:35:48 5	system and say double our morphine order?
04:35:51 6	A No. It's a little more complicated.
04:35:52 7	Q Tell us what happens.
04:35:54 8	A So in order to place an order for additional
04:35:58 9	controlled substances, you do have to go into another part
04:36:04 10	of our computer system and request to order more of that
04:36:12 11	particular medication.
04:36:13 12	Q And is that request just automatically granted?
04:36:17 13	A No. You
04:36:18 14	Q What happens?
04:36:19 15	A You would request to order, let's say, two additional
04:36:24 16	bottles of Adderall and you would get a message back
04:36:32 17	immediately saying order approved or order not approved.
04:36:35 18	${f Q}$ Do you have any insight into what the limit is for
04:36:40 19	whether the order is going to be approved or whether you've
04:36:44 20	ordered too much and it gets not approved?
04:36:46 21	A No, not at all.
04:36:47 22	Q Do you have any way of finding out what the limit is?
04:36:49 23	Could you ask somebody?
04:36:50 24	A No, not that I know of.
04:36:51 25	Q All right.

04:36:52 1	If it says order not approved but you've got a patient
04:36:54 2	who needs that Adderall or needs that morphine, what are you
04:36:57 3	going to do next?
04:36:58 4	A So, if it says order not approved, then I would have
04:37:01 5	to send a request to my direct supervisor and I would have
04:37:08 6	to fill out a form that would state exactly why I needed to
04:37:13 7	order more of this medication.
04:37:15 8	Q And what happens next?
04:37:18 9	A So that request, I believe, goes to the supervisor and
04:37:24 10	then it would also go to our corporate integrity department.
04:37:29 11	Q And that's at the corporate offices in Illinois
04:37:31 12	somewhere?
04:37:31 13	A Um-hmm.
04:37:32 14	Q Okay. And what do they do?
04:37:34 15	A They would either approve or deny that request.
04:37:37 16	Q Do they ever actually deny it or is it more of a
04:37:40 17	rubber stamp situation?
04:37:42 18	A No, it actually gets denied. I had one recently that
04:37:45 19	got denied.
04:37:46 20	Q And what did you do? How did you what did you do
04:37:50 21	for the patient if you couldn't order extra controlled
04:37:52 22	substances that they needed?
04:37:53 23	A So I had to turn the patient away.
04:37:56 24	Q What was the medication in that case?
04:37:58 25	A It was called Datrana. It's actually a patch that a

04:38:02 1	patient would wear for methylphenidate, which is a like an
04:38:09 2	Adderall stimulant medication.
04:38:11 3	Q Okay. That actually raises a you mentioned
04:38:14 4	Adderall a couple times. We've been talking in this case
04:38:17 5	about controlled substances and mostly talking about
04:38:20 6	opioids, but what are some other controlled substances
04:38:23 7	besides opioids?
04:38:24 8	A Sure. So there are some different classes of
04:38:28 9	controlled substances. Some are benzodiazapines, like
04:38:34 10	Valium or Xanax. Those might be some that you've heard of.
04:38:40 11	There are also anabolic steroids, like testosterone. There
04:38:47 12	are also there's a controlled substance that's an
04:38:49 13	antidiarrheal medication. So there are many different types
04:38:54 14	of controlled substances.
04:38:56 15	Q Since the day you left pharmacy school, have you
04:39:00 16	always known that controlled substances come with the risk
04:39:03 17	of addiction and abuse?
04:39:04 18	A Yes.
04:39:06 19	Q Since the day you left pharmacy school, have you
04:39:09 20	always known that controlled substances raise concerns about
04:39:12 21	diversion?
04:39:13 22	A Yes.
04:39:16 23	Q In the course of your practice, say in the course of a
04:39:19 24	day or a week, just ballpark, what percentage of the
04:39:23 25	prescriptions you fill are for controlled substances at a

04:39:26 1	store like your store on SOM Center Road?
04:39:30 2	A I mean, I would say maybe 10 percent.
04:39:37 3	Q And the rest of your prescriptions would be
04:39:39 4	non-controlled substances of all kinds?
04:39:40 5	A Correct.
04:39:40 6	Q What are the out of curiosity, at your store like
04:39:45 7	on SOM Center Road, what are the prescriptions you fill most
04:39:48 8	for these noncontrolled substance, what do you see people
04:39:51 9	come in for?
04:39:51 10	A For noncontrolled substances?
04:39:53 11	Q Yeah.
04:39:53 12	A Diabetes medications, blood pressure medications.
04:39:56 13	Those are the big ones at my location.
04:40:02 14	Q So your store, I'm not going to ask you to tell
04:40:05 15	everyone your home address, but how far away from the store
04:40:07 16	do you live?
04:40:07 17	A I live about 10 minutes from my store.
04:40:09 18	Q And that's where you're raising your teenage kids?
04:40:12 19	A Um-hmm.
04:40:17 20	Q Is it has there ever been a time when you weren't
04:40:21 21	concerned about the diversion of controlled substances or
04:40:23 22	opioids in the community where you're raising your kids?
04:40:26 23	A No, not at all.
04:40:28 24	Q Have you ever worked with a Walgreens pharmacist who
04:40:31 25	you thought wasn't concerned about diversion into the

04:40:34	1	community where they live and raise their families?
04:40:36	2	A No.
04:40:37	3	Q Have you ever had a manager or a field leadership or
04:40:44	4	anyone in the chain of command at Walgreens who you thought
04:40:46	5	wasn't concerned about drug diversion in your community?
04:40:49	6	A No.
04:40:49	7	Q If you felt that way, would you still work at
04:40:51	8	Walgreens?
04:40:52	9	A No, probably not.
04:40:53 1	0	Q All right.
04:40:58 1	1	We're going to I want to talk about a number of
04:41:01 1	2	topics. This is just sort of a high-level list of topics
04:41:05 1	3	we're going to hit between now and sometime tomorrow morning
04:41:07 1	4	when you get off the stand. Talk about training.
04:41:11 1	5	We'll talk about OARRS. The jury's heard a lot about
04:41:15 1	6	OARRS but we want to talk about your experience. Want to
04:41:17 1	7	hear about what actually goes on when you fill a
04:41:20 1	8	prescription, red flags, refusals, and then we'll end up
04:41:23 1	9	talking a little bit about some of your some of your
04:41:25 2	0	stories about working with law enforcement and, finally, the
04:41:29 2	1	drug disposal topics, which people have heard about.
04:41:32 2	2	Let's start with training. When you joined so you
04:41:37 2	3	joined Walgreens 1996/97?
04:41:40 2	4	A That's correct, 1996.
04:41:42 2	5	Q Was there any kind of new hire training you had to go

6780

04:41:45	1	throug	gh at Walgreens?
04:41:46	2	A	Yes.
04:41:47	3	Q	We heard from another witness that today. It's a full
04:41:50	4	two we	eeks of new hire training. Was it that intense back
04:41:53	5	then?	
04:41:53	6	A	I don't believe so. I don't remember the specifics,
04:41:56	7	but I	don't think it was two weeks.
04:41:59	8	Q	Was it more than a day, more than an afternoon?
04:42:02	9	A	I believe that it was a few days.
04:42:03	10	Q	And did that new hire training, in the '90s, already
04:42:08	11	cover	controlled substances dispensing?
04:42:10	12	A	Yes.
04:42:11	13	Q	Since since that time, since you joined the
04:42:15	14	compai	ny, do you have to do continuing education as a
04:42:17	15	pharma	acist?
04:42:17	16	A	Yes.
04:42:18	17	Q	Are you allowed to choose the continuing education
04:42:21	18	topics	s that are most important to you for your practice?
04:42:24	19	A	Yes.
04:42:26	20	Q	Do those ever include controlled substances topics?
04:42:30	21	A	Yes, um-hmm.
04:42:32	22	Q	It's a you have to do, like, so many hours for
04:42:35	23	every	year or every two years of continuing education?
04:42:37	24	A	Correct.
04:42:38	25	Q	Correct?

A Yes.
Q Is there a requirement that some of those hours cover
legal obligations and that sort of thing?
A Yes. So after
Q Tell us about that. Yeah.
A Yeah.
So every two years, as a pharmacist, you're required
to complete continuing education hours. Every two years,
you have to complete 40 hours of continuing education. Two
of those hours, every two years, have to be on the law
topics.
Q And do those law topics sometimes involve controlled
substances?
A Correct.
Q Since you joined Walgreens, have you done any further
company organized training on controlled substances
dispensing?
A Yes.
Q Tell us a little bit about that.
A So there are within our computer system, there is a
learning and talent management portal where we receive
training through Walgreens. We will receive training in
this portal on certain topics, and over this time that I've
been a pharmacist, we've received training in that portal on
a number of times for good faith dispensing or for

04:43:59 1	controlled substances.		
04:44:00 2	Q So the jury heard again, this is a couple weeks		
04:44:04 3	ago, or maybe more now about a time period when Walgreens		
04:44:08 4	decided that it was going to change the way training		
04:44:14 5	happened so they would implement a special periodic training		
04:44:17 6	program, say 2012, 2013.		
04:44:20 7	If you think back to the periods you worked at		
04:44:22 8	Walgreens before that, so 1996 up to 2010, '11, 'j12, was		
04:44:26 9	there any training on controlled substances dispensing going		
04:44:29 10	on back then?		
04:44:30 11	A Yes.		
04:44:31 12	Q So this wasn't something brand new for you when the		
04:44:34 13	new training started in 2012 or 13?		
04:44:36 14	A No.		
04:44:39 15	Q What about when the company's controlled substances		
04:44:44 16	dispensing policies get updated, how do you find out about		
04:44:46 17	that?		
04:44:47 18	A So there are a number of ways in Walgreens that you		
04:44:52 19	can find out about different policies. Sometimes we'll		
04:44:54 20	receive e-mails. Sometimes my direct pharmacy manager will		
04:45:00 21	tell me about different policies that have been updated.		
04:45:05 22	There's also, in our computer system, another place		
04:45:11 23	called Compass and Compass is where we receive a lot of our		
	1		

company information and those policy updates will, a lot of

time, be in this place called Compass where that company

04:45:15 24

04:45:21 25

04:45:25 1	policy or company information resides.
04:45:28 2	Q Have there been occasions whether there was a
04:45:32 3	significant update to policies where you had to do a
04:45:34 4	particular training module on the new policy to make sure
04:45:36 5	you understood it?
04:45:36 6	A Yes.
04:45:37 7	Q How often does that happen?
04:45:40 8	A It happens all the time. Any time that there is a
04:45:44 9	change in policy, any time that there is a new policy that
04:45:50 10	comes about, there are some new training modules that come
04:45:54 11	in that learning and talent management portal, and then
04:45:58 12	those trainings are required by us to be completed.
04:46:01 13	Q And if you don't complete it, what happens?
04:46:04 14	A I'm not sure. I always get mine completed, so I
04:46:09 15	don't know.
04:46:09 16	Q You sound like a rule follower. Okay. All right.
04:46:13 17	Let me shift gears, and we'll talk to you a little bit about
04:46:16 18	OARRS.
04:46:17 19	And the jury has heard a lot about what OARRS is and
04:46:20 20	what it looks like, but I just want to ask you about your
04:46:24 21	personal experiences with it. Okay?
04:46:25 22	A Um-hmm.
04:46:26 23	Q When you started practicing pharmacy in the late '90s,
04:46:31 24	did OARRS exist?
04:46:32 25	A No.

04:46:33 1	Q Do you know if anything even remotely like OARRS
04:46:37 2	existed any states in the country from what they taught you
04:46:39 3	in school?
04:46:40 4	A No.
04:46:42 5	Q The jury has heard that in 2011, the Board of Pharmacy
04:46:46 6	rules changed to require a pharmacist to check OARRS for
04:46:50 7	certain kinds of prescriptions.
04:46:51 8	Do you remember that?
04:46:52 9	A Yes.
04:46:55 10	Q Before 2011, when it became required to use OARRS, did
04:47:02 11	you ever use OARRS?
04:47:03 12	A Yeah, I did.
04:47:09 13	Q When did you first get access to OARRS?
04:47:11 14	A So I actually got access to OARRS back in May of 2009,
04:47:17 15	but I applied much earlier than that.
04:47:19 16	Q What do you mean? Explain that. You had to apply to
04:47:21 17	get access to OARRS? Couldn't Walgreens just hand it to
04:47:24 18	you, say it's on the computer now, go ahead and use it?
04:47:26 19	A Yeah. It wasn't a Walgreens application process. It
04:47:32 20	was an application process through the State Board of
04:47:37 21	Pharmacy.
04:47:37 22	So I had to actually apply through the State Board of
04:47:41 23	Pharmacy in order to obtain access to OARRS.
04:47:44 24	$oldsymbol{Q}$ When did you first apply to the Board of Pharmacy to
04:47:49 25	get access?

04:47:49 1	A It was April of 2008.
04:47:50 2	Q Forgive me for asking, but how do you remember April
04:47:54 3	of 2008? That's pretty impressive?
04:47:56 4	A I know. I actually I actually looked it up to see
04:47:58 5	when I applied. I still have the e-mails from the State
04:48:03 6	Board of Pharmacy.
04:48:04 7	${f Q}$ All right. So you applied in 2008. And when did they
04:48:07 8	give you access?
04:48:08 9	A It was May May of 2009. I actually got an e-mail
04:48:14 10	that told me your access has been approved.
04:48:16 11	Q Why did it take a year for you to get access to OARRS?
04:48:20 12	A I don't know.
04:48:20 13	${f Q}$ Was it anything Walgreens have anything do with the
04:48:23 14	delay?
04:48:24 15	A No.
04:48:25 16	All of that was through the State Board of Pharmacy.
04:48:27 17	It was independent of Walgreens.
04:48:29 18	Q So why if it wasn't required to use OARRS back
04:48:33 19	then, why would you want access to OARRS? What made you do
04:48:36 20	that?
04:48:37 21	A Well, I mean, I guess I'm kind of a geek, but it was
04:48:43 22	exciting when OARRS became available. So OARRS, as you
04:48:51 23	know, has all of the information that patients have for
04:48:57 24	controlled substances. So any prescriptions that they've
04:49:01 25	filled for controlled substances would all be listed in that

04:49:05 1 OARRS report.

04:49:07 2

04:49:13 3

04:49:18 4

04:49:22 5

04:49:27 6

04:49:30 7

04:49:36 8

04:49:39 9

04:49:40 10

04:49:41 11

04:49:44 12

04:49:47 13

04:49:51 14

04:49:54 15

04:50:01 16

04:50:02 17

04:50:06 18

04:50:13 19

04:50:18 20

04:50:21 21

04:50:26 22

04:50:32 23

04:50:36 24

04:50:41 25

Prior to having OARRS, that information was not directly available within one screen on a computer. There was a lot more leg work that needed to be done in order to obtain that information prior to filling a prescription. So to have all of that on one screen was kind of exciting.

- Q For you in your practice, was getting access to OARRS back then, was it something that meant it took you longer to fill a prescription or something that actually saved you time?
- A Yeah, it would save you some time to --
- Q Explain how it would save time. Because now you're going on a computer screen and doing all that.
- A Well, with OARRS, of course, you're logging in, you're putting in some information in order to generate the OARRS report and pull it up on the screen.

So, yes, that takes a little bit of time. But prior to OARRS, if I had a question about a patient's medication, let's say they were bringing in a prescription to me and I wasn't certain that I had the full history in my Walgreens computer, maybe there was a gap in their history and I wasn't certain, gosh, they've gotten a prescription here in January and in March, but where's their February prescription, where were they filling it. And I needed to fill in that gap for myself, I might have to call the CVS

04:50:45 1	next door to me and say, hey, do you have this gentleman on
04:50:48 2	file, did you fill a prescription for this gentleman in
04:50:50 3	February, where were they getting their prescription filled?
04:50:54 4	And so it takes far longer to call a CVS next to me
04:51:00 5	and wait on hold and try to obtain that information or try
04:51:03 6	to call the prescriber's office to see, did you issue a
04:51:06 7	prescription for this person in February than it is to just
04:51:15 8	pull up that OARRS report.
04:51:16 9	Q So even pharmacists have to wait on hold when they
04:51:18 10	call the pharmacy?
04:51:19 11	A Yes. Yes, we do.
04:51:20 12	Q Okay. I thought you had like a code.
04:51:23 13	When you went to get access to OARRS in the 4018
04:51:28 14	I'm sorry, 2008/2009 time period, were there any other
04:51:33 15	Walgreens pharmacists who were getting access to OARRS that
04:51:36 16	you knew about?
04:51:36 17	A I'm sure there were.
04:51:38 18	I know my husband did, but I'm sure there were.
04:51:40 19	Q Was your husband working at Walgreens during that
04:51:43 20	time?
04:51:43 21	A He was.
04:51:44 22	Q He had left Discount Drug Mart?
04:51:47 23	A He did, yes.
04:51:47 24	Q Did Walgreens ever do anything to try to discourage
04:51:50 25	you or your husband or other Walgreens pharmacists from

04:51:52	1	getting on OARRS before it was required?
04:51:54	2	A No.
04:51:56	3	Q We heard that there were some pharmacies where the
04:52:00	4	computer system, a pharmacist would work at, would, you
04:52:04	5	know, not allow them to check OARRS from the pharmacy
04:52:07	6	computer system, so they might have to use their phones or
04:52:10	7	something like that.
04:52:12	8	At Walgreens, were you able, right from the beginning,
04:52:15	9	to access OARRS through the computer terminal you work at?
04:52:18]	LO	A Yes.
04:52:21]	L1	Q Ever since you got access to OARRS in 2009, was there
04:52:25 1	L2	ever a time when anyone at Walgreens told you they thought
04:52:29 1	L3	it wasn't a good idea, they didn't want you checking OARRS,
04:52:33 1	L 4	anything like that?
04:52:34]	L5	A No.
04:52:34]	L6	Q Anyone ever tell you it was too expensive or took too
04:52:37]	L7	long?
04:52:37]	L8	A No.
04:52:39]	L9	Q All right.
04:52:42 2	20	MR. STOFFELMAYR: Your Honor, if it's all
04:52:43 2	21	right, I'll cover one more topic and that will get us
04:52:46 2	22	between 5:00 and 5:15.
04:52:48 2	23	THE COURT: That's good.
04:52:49 2	24	BY MR. STOFFELMAYR:
04:52:50 2	25	Q All right.

04:52:50 1	Ms. Stossel, since you joined Walgreens back in 2006,
04:52:55 2	has Walgreens always had policies on controlled substances
04:52:59 3	dispensing?
04:53:00 4	A Yes.
04:53:01 5	Q At Walgreens, do they call that good faith dispensing
04:53:04 6	policies?
04:53:04 7	A Yes.
04:53:05 8	Q All right. Let me show you one of these.
04:53:15 9	Your Honor and I've got copies for everybody
04:53:17 10	this is exhibit WAG-MDL-18. It's already in evidence.
04:53:40 11	MR. STOFFELMAYR: Your Honor, may I approach
04:53:41 12	the witness?
04:53:41 13	THE COURT: Sure.
04:53:44 14	MR. STOFFELMAYR: Mr. Pitts, may I give you a
04:53:46 15	copy for the Judge?
04:53:59 16	BY MR. STOFFELMAYR:
04:54:00 17	Q But my colleague, who is listening more carefully than
04:54:02 18	I am speaking, pointed out that I said you started at
04:54:05 19	Walgreens in 2006. That's not correct, is it?
04:54:08 20	A Oh, no. I'm sorry. 1996.
04:54:10 21	Q That's what I thought I said, but apparently not.
04:54:14 22	Do you have in front of you it's the same document
04:54:18 23	that's on the screen Exhibit 18, a 1998 version of the
04:54:22 24	Good Faith Dispensing Policy?
04:54:24 25	A Correct.

04:54:25	1	Q All right.
04:54:25	2	And I just want to focus us for a second, we're not
04:54:28	3	going to spend too long on this document, on this list here.
04:54:33	4	Is this a list of circumstances that, in your
04:54:37	5	parlance, in your practice, you might think of as red flags?
04:54:40	6	A Yes.
04:54:41	7	Q When you got a policy like this in 1998, was it
04:54:47	8	telling you anything you didn't already know from pharmacy
04:54:49	9	school?
04:54:50	10	A No.
04:54:55	11	Q Did you ever see this? When Walgreens would give you
04:54:58	12	a policy like this, did you ever see it as a complete list
04:55:02	13	of the only circumstances that would make you uncomfortable
04:55:05	14	filling a prescription?
04:55:06	15	A No.
04:55:08	16	Q In your training in pharmacy school and your practice,
04:55:11	17	have you ever limited yourself to a concrete list and say
04:55:14	18	these are the things that make me uncomfortable and nothing
04:55:16	19	else could?
04:55:18	20	A No.
04:55:18	21	Q Well, why not? Why wouldn't you just say here's my
04:55:21	22	list and that's all I worry about?
04:55:23	23	A There's no concrete list. It would be based on the
04:55:28	24	prescription in front of me and the patient that's in front
04:55:31	25	of me and the drug history and the patient information.

04:55:36	1	There	are so many things that I would take into account.
04:55:41	2	Q	All right. Let's jump ahead to the 2012 policy.
04:56:18	3		Ms. Stossel, I've given you what's already in
04:56:22	4	evider	nce, it's exhibit WAG-MDL-304, a 2012 update to the
04:56:27	5	Good I	Faith Dispensing Policy.
04:56:28	6		Do you have that?
04:56:29	7	A	I do.
04:56:31	8	Q	And it's considerably longer than that policy we
04:56:34	9	looked	d at from 1998, isn't it?
04:56:37	10	A	Correct.
04:56:41	11	Q	All right.
04:56:42	12		I'm going to start we're not going to go through
04:56:45	13	this p	page by page, but I want to just kind of orient you to
04:56:52	14	the po	olicy.
04:56:52	15		It begins with procedures for good faith dispensing of
04:56:57	16	contro	olled substances; correct?
04:56:58	17	A	Correct.
04:56:59	18	Q	And the first one is to ask for a patient ID.
04:57:03	19		Do you see that?
04:57:03	20	A	I do.
04:57:04	21	Q	So before 2012, if an unfamiliar person came in to
04:57:09	22	pick ι	up a controlled substances prescription, would you
04:57:12	23	never	check ID?
04:57:14	24	A	I would ask for the ID if I needed it.
04:57:17	25	Q	So this was was this something brand this was

04:57:20 1	not something brand new to your practice in 2012, like oh,
04:57:23 2	we got to start checking IDs now?
04:57:25 3	A No. No.
04:57:27 4	Q Next one is prescriber. "Confirm the prescriber has
04:57:31 5	the authority to prescribe controlled substances by
04:57:33 6	verifying the validity of prescriber information, the DEA
04:57:37 7	number, and the state license number."
04:57:39 8	Before they gave you a policy that says check to make
04:57:42 9	sure the prescriber has a valid DEA number, was that
04:57:46 10	something no one worried about?
04:57:49 11	A We always worried about that.
04:57:52 12	Q PDMP, you've been checking the PDMP since considerably
04:57:59 13	before 2012; correct?
04:58:00 14	A Correct.
04:58:00 15	Q And at this point in time, all your colleagues in Ohio
04:58:04 16	were checking the PDMP as well; right?
04:58:06 17	A Correct.
04:58:07 18	Q We'll talk a little later about data review and DUR
04:58:11 19	review.
04:58:12 20	The next page is there's several pages include a
04:58:17 21	much longer list of what you might consider red flags;
04:58:20 22	correct?
04:58:21 23	A Yes.
04:58:22 24	Q Here's one we've heard a lot about, unusual geographic
04:58:27 25	distance. What does that mean to you in your practice?

04:58:30 1	A So that would mean a distance between the patient and
04:58:40 2	the prescriber, or a distance between the patient and the
04:58:45 3	pharmacy that might make me uncomfortable.
04:58:50 4	Q In your practice, might make me uncomfortable or
04:58:53 5	unusual, how many miles is that? Is it 30 miles? Is it
04:58:56 6	20 miles? Is it 150 miles? What counts?
04:59:00 7	A It really depends on the situation. There's no
04:59:08 8	concrete number that would make me pause or make me
04:59:12 9	uncomfortable.
04:59:14 10	Q All right.
04:59:16 11	We're not going to go through all of these, but let me
04:59:19 12	ask it this way. Even in the 2012 policy where you've got
04:59:24 13	this much longer list of, call them red flags, call them
04:59:28 14	things that might make you uncomfortable, was it telling you
04:59:31 15	about any factors that you weren't already hadn't already
04:59:35 16	learned about in pharmacy school?
04:59:37 17	A No.
04:59:38 18	Q Did you treat this much longer list as the complete
04:59:43 19	list of all the things you had to think about that might
04:59:48 20	make you uncomfortable?
04:59:49 21	A No.
04:59:50 22	Q Again, if I said why not, would you say the same thing
04:59:52 23	you said five minutes ago?
04:59:53 24	A Yes.
04:59:56 25	Q Okay. I want to focus us on a couple things on this

05:00:01 1	page.
05:00:02 2	Section 6 says, document. It is imperative that
05:00:08 3	pharmacists document all efforts used to validate good faith
05:00:11 4	dispensing?
05:00:12 5	Has that always been your understanding at Walgreens,
05:00:15 6	that they wanted you to document the steps you take to
05:00:19 7	for lack of a better term resolve a red flag? We've
05:00:22 8	heard people use that term.
05:00:23 9	A Yes.
05:00:24 10	Q Is that something they told you to do in pharmacy
05:00:27 11	school?
05:00:29 12	A Yes.
05:00:35 13	Q Let's go down a bit. Pharmacist's action.
05:00:37 14	Now, do you see it says, "After reviewing the elements
05:00:40 15	of good faith and following the validation procedures, the
05:00:43 16	pharmacists must use his or her professional judgment to
05:00:46 17	determine how to proceed"?
05:00:46 18	Do you see that?
05:00:47 19	A I do.
05:00:48 20	Q And I want to I'll focus, I want to ask you about
05:00:51 21	the third one, but we'll just go through the first two real
05:00:54 22	quick.
05:00:54 23	The first one is dispense. If everything checks out,
05:00:57 24	you're going to dispense the medication; is that right?
05:00:59 25	A Correct.

05:00:59 1	Q Second one is if the prescriber tells you there's
05:01:02 2	something wrong with the prescription, what circumstance
05:01:05 3	could that happen?
05:01:06 4	A So if you would if you would have a question about
05:01:11 5	a prescription, if a red flag was raised and you called the
05:01:16 6	prescriber to clarify something, and at the end of the
05:01:21 7	conversation, the prescriber says, "Please do not dispense
05:01:27 8	this prescription," then that's where this prescription then
05:01:30 9	becomes not valid to dispense. So the prescriber has
05:01:34 10	essentially canceled that prescription.
05:01:36 11	Q Would that would I'm sure there's lots of ways
05:01:39 12	that could happen.
05:01:41 13	Would a simple version of that be if a prescriber
05:01:43 14	says, "I've never heard of this person. I didn't write the
05:01:46 15	prescription"?
05:01:46 16	A Sure, yes.
05:01:47 17	Q And in that case, are you going to dispense, ever?
05:01:50 18	A No, I would not dispense that particular prescription.
05:01:53 19	Q All right. So let's focus on the third one here.
05:01:57 20	Refusal to dispense.
05:02:01 21	And it begins, "If the prescriber informs the
05:02:03 22	pharmacist that a prescription for a controlled substance is
05:02:07 23	valid." So what does what does that tell you? What's
05:02:13 24	this circumstance? If the prescriber says it is valid, what
0.5	

does that mean?

05:02:16 25

05:02:16 1	A So that means if I were to call the prescriber in this
05:02:20 2	same scenario and the prescriber said to me, "Well, I see
05:02:26 3	your concern but I still would like you to go ahead and
05:02:30 4	dispense this prescription."
05:02:31 5	Q And in your practice, have there been times like that
05:02:34 6	when you voiced a concern and a prescriber says, "I want you
05:02:37 7	to go ahead and fill it anyway"?
05:02:38 8	A Yes.
05:02:39 9	Q And then what is the rest of this telling you as a
05:02:43 10	Walgreens pharmacist, the what are they telling you? How
05:02:47 11	do you interpret how do you understand this?
05:02:48 12	A So it's saying to me that despite this instruction
05:02:54 13	from the doctor, I still want you to go ahead and dispense
05:02:57 14	this prescription, that I've determined that based on all of
05:03:03 15	these elements of good faith dispensing, that I still do not
05:03:08 16	feel comfortable dispensing this prescription and I am going
05:03:12 17	to refuse to dispense the prescription.
05:03:15 18	Q And in your years at Walgreens, when situations
05:03:20 19	well, let me ask you this.
05:03:21 20	Have you had situations like that come up, when a
05:03:23 21	doctor says, "I want you to fill the prescription," and you
05:03:25 22	still weren't comfortable?
05:03:26 23	A Yes.
05:03:27 24	Q And in those circumstances, what have you done if you
05:03:30 25	still weren't comfortable, despite the doctor saying you

05:03:32 1	need to fill this?
05:03:33 2	A I've refused to dispense the prescription.
05:03:35 3	Q And has Walgreens backed you up when that happened?
05:03:39 4	A Yes.
05:03:40 5	Q Have you ever received complaints from doctors in
05:03:44 6	situations like that?
05:03:45 7	A Probably.
05:03:47 8	Q Have your managers ever received complaints because
05:03:50 9	Stossel wouldn't fill a prescription that the doctor wanted
05:03:53 10	filled?
05:03:53 11	A Probably.
05:03:54 12	Q Have you ever gotten in trouble for that?
05:03:56 13	MR. WEINBERGER: Objection.
05:03:59 14	MR. STOFFELMAYR: What's the objection?
05:03:59 15	THE COURT: Overruled.
05:04:02 16	BY MR. STOFFELMAYR:
05:04:02 17	Q Have you ever gotten in trouble for that?
05:04:03 18	A No.
05:04:05 19	Q Have you ever had your pay docked or your bonus
05:04:09 20	reduced because a doctor complained that you didn't fill a
05:04:11 21	prescription?
05:04:12 22	A No.
05:04:15 23	MR. STOFFELMAYR: All right, Judge. This
05:04:16 24	would be a pretty good breaking point if that's all right.
05:04:19 25	THE COURT: All right. Very good.

05:04:20 1	Then ladies and gentlemen, we will break for the
05:04:24 2	evening.
05:04:25 3	Usual admonitions. Don't read, listen, encounter
05:04:29 4	anything whatsoever regarding this case or anything close to
05:04:32 5	it in the media. Do not discuss this case with anyone.
05:04:36 6	And we'll come back tomorrow morning with the balance
05:04:38 7	of this witness' testimony.
05:04:40 8	Have a good evening.
05:04:41 9	(Jury excused from courtroom at 5:04 p.m.)
05:05:23 10	THE COURT: Okay. Have a good evening, Ms.
05:05:25 11	Stossel. We'll see you tomorrow morning.
05:05:27 12	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
05:05:28 13	(Witness excused.)
05:05:28 14	THE COURT: If you'd close the back door,
05:05:31 15	please, and then I'll take up a few items.
05:05:43 16	All right. So it's my understanding that when we
05:05:48 17	conclude with Ms. Stossel, the defendants are going to rest;
05:05:51 18	is that right? We may have another witness.
05:05:54 19	MR. STOFFELMAYR: Nope. That's it. I'm just
05:05:56 20	looking around to make sure no one's going to veto me, but
05:05:59 21	yes, that's our plan.
05:06:00 22	THE COURT: Okay. And then and then the
05:06:05 23	plaintiffs are planning to call Mr. Catizone, I think.
05:06:07 24	MR. LANIER: At this point, Your Honor, we'll
05:06:10 25	caucus afterwards, but my temptation is no.

05:06:13 1	THE COURT: All right. Well, okay. Either
05:06:19 2	way, we'll wrap up tomorrow morning.
05:06:21 3	Okay. Well, then I want overnight, I'd like
05:06:28 4	counsel to work together on the documents for all the
05:06:34 5	witnesses we had today, Cook, Militello, and Stossel, the
05:06:46 6	ones we've done and the ones we have. You'll know which
05:06:49 7	ones you're using, and then the two depositions, Ashley and
05:06:52 8	Mack, I don't know if the parties plan to put any in. There
05:06:55 9	probably won't be many, so we can get those wrapped up
05:06:58 10	tomorrow.
05:07:00 11	Have you worked out that issue with Mr. Hill's video
05:07:07 12	clip or you're still working on that?
05:07:09 13	MR. LANIER: We have worked it out,
05:07:10 14	Your Honor.
05:07:10 15	MR. DELINSKY: Yeah, Your Honor, I can do
05:07:12 16	you want me to I think it probably makes sense to read
05:07:14 17	into the record.
05:07:14 18	THE COURT: Okay.
05:07:15 19	MR. DELINSKY: What we've agreed to just to
05:07:16 20	make sure there's no confusion.
05:07:17 21	THE COURT: Okay.
05:07:18 22	MR. DELINSKY: There will be no formal
05:07:19 23	striking of anything that has come in, okay, no instruction
05:07:24 24	to the jury is is number one.
05:07:28 25	Number two, there is an agreement that the use of Mr.

05:07:33 1	Hill's testimony that was provided after the video played,
05:07:39 2	okay, is appropriate for plaintiffs to use. The video
05:07:47 3	itself will not be played any further. I think that means
05:07:50 4	in closing argument.
05:07:51 5	It was only a demonstrative. It's not in evidence
05:07:55 6	anyways. It will not be played.
05:07:56 7	The content of the video will not be referenced other
05:08:01 8	than to the extent Mr. Hill, in his testimony afterwards,
05:08:07 9	you know, he confirmed it.
05:08:10 10	There's a few and that's the end of the agreement,
05:08:12 11	Your Honor. There's two, I think, subtleties. Mr. Lanier
05:08:17 12	said, and he was fair to say so, he may say, you saw the
05:08:21 13	video and then Mr. Hill testified. That's fine.
05:08:25 14	On the flip side, there was some prejudicial
05:08:27 15	references in the video to death, and I think we're in
05:08:30 16	agreement, that will not be that was not the subject of
05:08:33 17	Mr. Hill's testimony after the video and that will not be
05:08:36 18	referenced or discussed in closing.
05:08:38 19	THE COURT: Okay.
05:08:39 20	MR. LANIER: I dare say Mr. Delinsky has it
05:08:42 21	right.
05:08:42 22	THE COURT: Good. Very good.
05:08:46 23	Okay.
05:08:47 24	MR. DELINSKY: And thank you, Your Honor for
05:08:49 25	giving us the opportunity.

05:08:50 1	THE COURT: Well, I'm glad you worked that
05:08:51 2	out.
05:08:52 3	MR. DELINSKY: So I think, Your Honor, I don't
05:08:54 4	know if you want to withdraw the motion or declare it moot
05:08:57 5	or
05:08:57 6	THE COURT: Well, I'll just say it's now moot,
05:09:00 7	based on the discussion we just had.
05:09:02 8	MR. DELINSKY: Okay. Super, Your Honor.
05:09:04 9	THE COURT: That's fine.
05:09:06 10	MR. DELINSKY: Thank you.
05:09:07 11	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
05:09:08 12	So tomorrow we'll wrap up. We'll deal with the
05:09:12 13	exhibits.
05:09:15 14	I want to I'll have to finalize what the time
05:09:19 15	limits are going to be for closing arguments. We can take
05:09:24 16	that up tomorrow morning.
05:09:28 17	And then
05:09:29 18	^ PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL: Your Honor, in that
05:09:29 19	regard. My general view, though, it's very apparent I used
05:09:34 20	and needed more time than the defense, but my general view
05:09:38 21	is I've always tried to live by that maximum that I can hold
05:09:45 22	myself to whatever they need. So my goal would be to for
05:09:49 23	them to figure out what they need, I'll hold myself to that,
05:09:51 24	and I'll divide it in a way where it covers my rebuttal as
05:09:56 25	well as my close if that works. So I'll leave it up to

05:10:00 1	them.
05:10:00 2	If they can prepare a suggestion to you, I won't buck
05:10:03 3	their suggestion.
05:10:10 4	THE COURT: What are the defendants thinking?
05:10:11 5	Because I think it's important that we get this I don't
05:10:13 6	want to break this up in over 2 days.
05:10:17 7	MR. LANIER: Agreed.
05:10:17 8	THE COURT: So
05:10:19 9	MR. DELINSKY: Your Honor, if we could have
05:10:20 10	the night to discuss that.
05:10:22 11	THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine.
05:10:23 12	MR. DELINSKY: Internally. I want to look
05:10:25 13	back as to what the split was in opening and, Your Honor, in
05:10:30 14	other cases, there have been limits, too, on how much can be
05:10:34 15	used in rebuttal. I know Mr. Lanier
05:10:37 16	THE COURT: Look, I I'm going to make sure
05:10:41 17	that substantial percentage of Mr. Lanier's time is on his
05:10:46 18	opening.
05:10:46 19	MR. DELINSKY: Okay.
05:10:46 20	MR. LANIER: Absolutely. I've got to open
05:10:49 21	fully. There's no gamesmanship.
05:10:51 22	THE COURT: No, I've been around the block a
05:10:53 23	few times.
05:10:54 24	MR. LANIER: Yeah.
05:10:54 25	THE COURT: And it's not fair.

05:10:56 1	MR. LANIER: I will not disappoint you,
05:10:58 2	Your Honor.
05:11:01 3	MR. MAJORAS: And we also want to make sure,
05:11:02 4	and I guess, with our being able to have rebuttal, we don't
05:11:06 5	want a repeat of what happened during opening where we got a
05:11:12 6	certain limitation on opening and then the defense
05:11:15 7	THE COURT: You can definitely follow these
05:11:17 8	because the opening was split over two days.
05:11:19 9	MR. WEINBERGER: Right, but the defendants got
05:11:21 10	about my calculation was at least 45 minutes, if not more
05:11:25 11	than that, over what they had been allotted.
05:11:27 12	THE COURT: Yeah. Well that's why we've got
05:11:29 13	to be this time, I'm going to hold everyone because I do
05:11:33 14	not want to be going at seven o'clock at night.
05:11:38 15	MR. MAJORAS: We understand, Your Honor.
05:11:39 16	THE COURT: It's not fair to the jury and it's
05:11:40 17	not fair to whoever's there at 7 o'clock.
05:11:43 18	MR. LANIER: Thank you, Judge.
05:11:43 19	THE COURT: We'll figure out and again,
05:11:45 20	defendants, again, obviously, there are three parties. A
05:11:49 21	lot of what you have to say is the same and you only need
05:11:52 22	one person to say it eloquently, so if you can figure this
05:11:56 23	out. So we'll set that tomorrow.
05:11:58 24	And then I do want to figure out, you know, are we
05:12:02 25	going to just proceed, assuming we don't lose anyone between

05:12:07 1	now and next Monday, excuse Juror 13 or if everyone agrees
05:12:10 2	to keep him, then we
05:12:12 3	MR. LANIER: As plaintiffs, we would ask to
05:12:14 4	excuse him, excuse Juror No. 13.
05:12:16 5	THE COURT: All right.
05:12:16 6	MR. LANIER: I know it's a tough thing to do,
05:12:18 7	but we've got to get a unanimous verdict, and it's hard
05:12:22 8	enough with 12; 13 is percent harder.
05:12:28 9	THE COURT: No. That's we'll proceed as we
05:12:33 10	had planned from the beginning. That's fine.
05:12:35 11	MR. DELINSKY: Your Honor
05:12:36 12	MR. LANIER: Your Honor, if I could ask one
05:12:38 13	more question with regards to this.
05:12:39 14	Is the Court okay if we set up that small screen right
05:12:44 15	here (indicating) like we did for opening and do the same
05:12:46 16	thing, or is that is that an anathema?
05:12:51 17	MR. MAJORAS: Did we conclude that didn't
05:12:53 18	interfere with we had a problem with the audio and I
05:12:55 19	don't know whether that was an issue or not.
05:12:58 20	MR. LANIER: Yeah, I think it worked fine for
05:12:59 21	everybody. I think everybody used it.
05:13:01 22	THE COURT: I think it worked.
05:13:04 23	MR. MAJORAS: The court reporter had issues on
05:13:06 24	the headphones. That's what I'm remembering.
05:13:08 25	THE COURT: Yeah. We've got to why don't

1	
05:13:11 1	we
05:13:12 2	MR. LANIER: My only concern, Your Honor, is
05:13:14 3	it's helpful for the jury.
05:13:15 4	THE COURT: Okay. It's okay. Maybe someone
05:13:20 5	might bring it in Wednesday and test it out
05:13:23 6	MR. LANIER: Perfect.
05:13:23 7	THE COURT: with the court reporter and
05:13:24 8	make sure we don't have any interference because I don't
05:13:30 9	want to have, you know, a delay Monday with that, so we'll
05:13:33 10	do a test.
05:13:34 11	MR. MAJORAS: We can do that, Your Honor.
05:13:35 12	THE COURT: We'll do a test Wednesday. That's
05:13:36 13	fine.
05:13:38 14	MR. LANIER: We'll do that, Your Honor.
05:13:39 15	MR. DELINSKY: Your Honor, may I just be heard
05:13:40 16	on two quick items. With regard to the alternate juror
05:13:47 17	THE COURT: Right.
05:13:48 18	MR. DELINSKY: Juror No. 14
05:13:50 19	MR. LANIER: 13.
05:13:52 20	MR. DELINSKY: 13?
05:13:53 21	THE COURT: I think it's actually 14.
05:13:55 22	MR. DELINSKY: My request would be that we
05:13:59 23	hold off on dismissing that
05:14:02 24	THE COURT: Well, Mr. Delinsky, I'm going to
05:14:03 25	wait until

05:14:04 1	MR. DELINSKY: Yeah. Correct.
05:14:05 2	THE COURT: until the end of the day
05:14:07 3	Monday.
05:14:08 4	MR. DELINSKY: Yes. We're on the same page.
05:14:09 5	THE COURT: It's after closing arguments and
05:14:11 6	after my instructions. Literally it's the moment before the
05:14:15 7	jury goes out to deliberate.
05:14:16 8	MR. DELINSKY: Yes.
05:14:17 9	THE COURT: Because, you know, someone could
05:14:18 10	get sick.
05:14:19 11	MR. DELINSKY: Yes. Exactly. Same. Thank
05:14:22 12	you, Your Honor.
05:14:22 13	THE COURT: It's not likely, but, so I wait
05:14:24 14	till the very end.
05:14:27 15	MR. DELINSKY: Yeah.
05:14:27 16	THE COURT: And then I will instruct him
05:14:31 17	essentially to continue following the rules and not to talk
05:14:34 18	to anyone until he knows there's a verdict and we'll tell
05:14:38 19	him.
05:14:38 20	MR. DELINSKY: All right.
05:14:38 21	THE COURT: I think that's a good idea.
05:14:40 22	MR. DELINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor.
05:14:40 23	THE COURT: That's what I generally do.
05:14:41 24	MR. DELINSKY: Okay.
05:14:43 25	Your Honor, the second issue, and I raise this with

05:14:47 1 great timidity, okay. We talked this morning about one of the plaintiffs exhibits that Your Honor admitted through Mr. 05:14:54 2 05:14:57 3 Hill. It was P1253, that was the East Main case. 05:15:02 4 THE COURT: Right. MR. DELINSKY: And I think Mr. Lanier's use of 05:15:02 5 it was fair in that he was impeaching part of Mr. Hill's 05:15:04 6 05:15:08 7 testimony. 05:15:08 8 Mr. Hill was saying DEA systemically began educating 05:15:12 9 people on red flags one year, this is two years earlier. 05:15:15 10 contains the word red flags. 05:15:16 11 I think that's fair. But throughout the day, 05:15:18 12 Your Honor, I've read the opinion and the complexity that I 05:15:21 13 see is that these opinions lay out in great detail the 05:15:26 14 complete record in the underlying cases. And a lot of 05:15:29 15 collateral issues come in. And to give Your Honor an 05:15:31 16 example, there's a reference in this opinion to what I think 05:15:36 17 plaintiffs have -- and other people refer to as the "blue highway." There's -- there's a discussion of pills coming 05:15:39 18 from Florida or doctors --05:15:43 19 05:15:45 20 THE COURT: Let me see the opinion. Maybe --05:15:47 21 MR. DELINSKY: Sure, Your Honor. It has some 05:15:48 22 of my highlights, but I don't think that matters. 05:15:51 23 MR. LANIER: And I'll be glad to go over that 05:15:53 24 with Mr. Delinsky. I don't want anything in there that

doesn't belong in there.

05:15:56 25

05:15:56 1	THE COURT: All right. Well, maybe we can
05:15:58 2	edit it.
05:15:58 3	MR. LANIER: Yeah.
05:15:59 4	MR. DELINSKY: I think that's fine,
05:16:01 5	Your Honor.
05:16:01 6	THE COURT: It was in for the reason
05:16:03 7	Mr. Lanier used it, not not to put in all the details and
05:16:09 8	contents of the case because the witness doesn't even know
05:16:12 9	that.
05:16:12 10	MR. LANIER: Correct.
05:16:12 11	THE COURT: So let's edit.
05:16:14 12	MR. LANIER: Yeah. We don't need to waste
05:16:16 13	your time with that right now, Your Honor, until we have a
05:16:18 14	chance to work at it together.
05:16:19 15	THE COURT: All right.
05:16:20 16	MR. WEINBERGER: And we still have to work on
05:16:22 17	the CVS Holiday case.
05:16:23 18	MR. LANIER: Yeah.
05:16:24 19	MR. WEINBERGER: And what we're going to do
05:16:25 20	with that.
05:16:26 21	THE COURT: We're running out of time.
05:16:27 22	MR. WEINBERGER: Oh, I understand that,
05:16:29 23	Your Honor, and frankly the more the longer the trial
05:16:33 24	goes, the more of the CVS Holiday case gets, you know, read
05:16:36 25	into the record through witnesses. So

05:16:42 1	MR. DELINSKY: We'll work on those,
05:16:43 2	Your Honor.
05:16:44 3	MR. WEINBERGER: All right. We'll work on it.
05:16:45 4	MR. DELINSKY: Thank you both.
05:16:46 5	MR. MAJORAS: Your Honor, John Majoras. One
05:16:48 6	minor issue.
05:16:48 7	I have if I may approach in just a moment. I have
05:16:52 8	put together, this would still be over our objection, but
05:16:55 9	some slight revisions to the language you had proposed on
05:16:58 10	the limiting instruction about the Boards of Pharmacy. I'll
05:17:01 11	pass that to plaintiffs at the same time. I'm not asking
05:17:03 12	for a decision on it right now, but something to consider.
05:17:05 13	THE COURT: Well, I'll certainly look. Let me
05:17:08 14	see it.
05:17:09 15	MR. MAJORAS: You'll have the top paragraph
05:17:10 16	will be the one we're proposing with the ability to see how
05:17:13 17	it's changed in the lower paragraph.
05:17:14 18	THE COURT: All right. Well, let's
05:17:22 19	MR. WEINBERGER: While he's bringing that up,
05:17:24 20	Your Honor, are you in terms of finalizing exhibits, you
05:17:31 21	talked earlier about us having to get together and making
05:17:35 22	sure that
05:17:36 23	THE COURT: Right. Right.
05:17:39 24	MR. WEINBERGER: I think that if we could use
05:17:43 25	tomorrow afternoon to do that.

05:17:44 1 05:17:46 2 05:17:49 3 05:17:54 4 particularly careful. 05:18:00 5 05:18:01 6 05:18:03 7 05:18:08 8 05:18:13 9 05:18:15 10 05:18:22 11 05:18:26 12 05:18:29 13 the trial. What is it?" 05:18:31 14 05:18:34 15 05:18:43 16 MR. HYNES: Your Honor. . . 05:19:06 17 05:19:08 18 05:19:16 19 05:19:20 20 that's -- that's the whole point. 05:19:23 21 05:19:25 22 05:19:28 23

05:19:30 24

05:19:35 25

THE COURT: Use tomorrow afternoon. We should wrap up tomorrow morning. Tomorrow afternoon, people should stay and go -- work with Mr. Pitts and Julian to go through and make sure everything is the way it should be. And I'm I had a criminal case where everyone assured me that that had happened and something got in that shouldn't, and we had a mistrial. Now, it's not likely that will happen here because that will happen to be the defendants' prior record, which it was -- in a jury -- you're as old as I am. A lot of things can happen and the jury came back and said, the question was, "We don't recall seeing this exhibit in And the reason they didn't see it is it had no business being there and so ended that trial. So. . . THE COURT: Mr. Majoras, is there a reason you're crossing out the descriptor that the testimony is from one or more of the defendants' employees? I mean, MR. MAJORAS: Well, Your Honor, the -- I think the whole point is that there was testimony related to the Board of Pharmacy. My concern and our objection still remains is that it prejudicially pinpoints particular witnesses and calls into question those witnesses, but I

thought that was one way to address it. 05:19:38 1 MR. WEINBERGER: Well, there certainly weren't 05:19:43 2 05:19:45 3 any conversations with --THE COURT: Well, you say you may consider 05:19:46 4 their recollections, but there's no -- it doesn't tie into 05:19:48 5 05:19:51 6 anyone. 05:19:53 7 MR. MAJORAS: The witness's recommendation if 05:19:55 8 that helps, or, I'm sorry, recollection. I gave away all my 05:19:58 9 copies, Your Honor. 05:19:58 10 THE COURT: All right. Well. . . 05:20:09 11 MR. WEINBERGER: You know, particularly -- I 05:20:10 12 hate to beat a dead horse here, but particularly with the 05:20:14 13 confusion that was created by the introduction of this 05:20:20 14 testimony by Ms. Fumerton as if, you know, we were 05:20:27 15 endorsing --05:20:27 16 THE COURT: Well, I'm not -- I've seen this. 05:20:30 17 I'm inclined to stick with my language. I think I 05:20:34 18 spent a lot of time on it. I think it's important to -- so 05:20:42 19 the jury knows what I'm talking about, it's conversations 05:20:45 20 that certain defendants employees said they had with members 05:20:52 21 of Boards of Pharmacy. And I do want to reference that 05:20:57 22 it's -- it's admissible as evidence of defendants. 05:21:06 23 MR. MAJORAS: Your Honor, I think in 05:21:07 24 particular, the language they claimed they had certainly 05:21:12 25 raises suspicion or the way it's phrased as to whether or

05:21:17 1	not they even had the conversation.
05:21:19 2	Your Honor, as I said, we raised our objection. I
05:21:21 3	understand the ruling. These were my proposals.
05:21:34 4	THE COURT: All right. I agree.
05:21:36 5	I think I'm going to change claim to testify. They
05:21:38 6	testified they had. That's a more neutral statement. I
05:21:41 7	agree.
05:21:41 8	MR. WEINBERGER: We agree with that.
05:21:42 9	MR. MAJORAS: Thank you, Your Honor.
05:21:44 10	THE COURT: It wasn't my intent to cast
05:21:46 11	aspersions on it.
05:21:47 12	So we'll change that's a good suggestion. We'll
05:21:50 13	change that.
05:22:01 14	Oh, are we likely to have, this last day, any
05:22:09 15	stipulations or admissions or answers to interrogatories?
05:22:14 16	We haven't had any. If not, we can delete Pages 14 and 15.
05:22:20 17	MR. WEINBERGER: I don't I don't
05:22:21 18	anticipate.
05:22:23 19	MR. LANIER: We do not anticipate anything
05:22:24 20	from the plaintiffs' side.
05:22:25 21	THE COURT: All right.
05:22:27 22	MR. STOFFELMAYR: We had discussed potentially
05:22:29 23	reading one interrogatory.
05:22:30 24	THE COURT: Well
05:22:31 25	MR. STOFFELMAYR: I think we're leaning

05:22:33 1	against it, but we should circle and make sure.		
05:22:35 2	THE COURT: Well, if there is one, that stays		
05:22:37 3	in.		
05:22:37 4	MR. STOFFELMAYR: I think there will not be.		
05:22:39 5	THE COURT: Stipulations are out. If it's		
05:22:40 6	not, it's out. We'll cover it tomorrow.		
05:22:51 7	Okay. And I circulated the I read carefully the		
05:22:57 8	defendants' submissions, which came in Friday on the jury		
05:22:59 9	instructions and the plaintiffs', which came in today. I		
05:23:02 10	took some things from both.		
05:23:06 11	We did a lot of work on it. I'm comfortable with the		
05:23:09 12	way it is. Probably no one's completely happy, but I think		
05:23:12 13	it's as accurate and as clear as I can make it. But if		
05:23:19 14	someone sees something they still think is really wrong,		
05:23:23 15	we'll discuss it tomorrow. Okay.		
05:23:28 16	Anything else anyone needs to bring up or wants to		
05:23:31 17	bring up?		
05:23:32 18	Okay. Have a good evening. We'll see you tomorrow.		
19	COUNSEL EN MASSE: Thank you, Your Honor.		
20	(Proceedings adjourned at 5:23 p.m.)		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF KENNETH COOK

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF KENNETH COOK

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF KENNETH COOK

RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF KENNETH COOK

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DEMETRA ASHLEY

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DEMETRA ASHLEY

RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF DEMETRA ASHLEY

DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF DEMETRA ASHLEY

556470	6	
	6814	
6514		
6556		
6582		
6591		
6593		
6621		
6633		
6641		
6642		
6645		
6702		
6729		
6742		
6748		
6763		

11-8-2021

DATE

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DEMETRA ASHLEY	6642
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF LORI MILITELLO	6645
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF LORI MILITELLO	6702
REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF LORI MILITELLO	6729
RECROSS-EXAMINATION OF LORI MILITELLO	6742
DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF DEBORAH MACK	6748
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF AMY STOSSEL	6763
CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct to the record of proceedings in the above-entitle prepared from my stenotype notes.	_

/s/ Heather K. Newman

HEATHER K. NEWMAN, RMR, CRR